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# X.1 Description

In Release 18, TS 26.501 [15] and TS 26.510 [26510] added support for media delivery in multiple formats. A downlink media streaming service may be provisioned to support multiple ingest and distribution formats. Among popular streaming formats are MPEG DASH [MPEG-DASH] and HLS [HLS]. Both of these delivery formats allow the use of a common media format known as CMAF [CMAF] for distribution.

Furthermore, the DASH-IF Live Ingest specification [DASHIF-INGEST] enables streaming of the content to an ingest point using CMAF with an additional DASH MPD or HLS m3u8 manifest. TS 26.501 [15] and TS 26.512 [16] also specify the optional use of this protocol for uplink media contribution and egest.

DASH/HLS interoperability is a key issue in supporting highly scalable distribution systems for CDN-based distribution as well as for MBS/MBMS distribution. Offering common CMAF segments that can be consumed by both DASH and HLS media players promises to address these issues.

The CTA WAVE DASH-HLS Interoperability Specification [CTA5005A] specifies how to generate CMAF content that can be delivered using both a DASH MPD and an HLS m3u8 manifest. These guidelines cover the following use cases:

1. *Basic on-demand and live streaming:* The CMAF content is provided without encryption for on-demand or live consumption.

2. *Low-latency live streaming:* The CMAF content is provided to be consumed in a low-latency fashion with an end-to-end delay less than 3 times that of the CMAF segment duration.

3. *Encrypted media content:* The content of case 1, but MPEG Common Encryption is applied.

4. *Presentation splicing:* The content is similar to case 1, but consists of multiple CMAF presentations, either concatenated or spliced in the middle from one to another.

5. *Carriage of timed event data:* Timed metadata is delivered with the CMAF content, either as part of the media segments, or as part of the presentation manifest, and is expected to be delivered and processed along the media timeline.

6. *Carriage of track roles:* Content is annotated with the role of each track/switching set and these annotations need to be delivered to the client to be used for the selection process.

In addition to the above, the following additional use cases are considered in this Key Issue:

7. *Content steering:* When the content is deployed using the two-manifest format, content steering should work seamlessly for both, optimising the delivery of CMAF media segments to any client using any of the manifest formats.

8. *Server guided content insertion:* The same content (e.g. commercial advertisements) encoded in CMAF should be inserted by clients during the playback of on-demand or live content including pre-roll and mid-roll advertising breaks and early termination scenarios.

In this Key Issue, the following questions are studied:

a) Whether the existing codec profiles for 5G Media Streaming in TS 26.511 [96] satisfy the conditions defined by CTA-WAVE in [CTA5005-A], or if any additional constraints must to be added to leverage the same CMAF content for the above use cases.

b) In deployments of the above use cases, whether various 3GPP-defined service descriptions can be realised in the two formats with the same quality or whether there are any limitations in the various deployment scenarios.

c) In deployments of the above use cases, whether the reporting mechanism in 3GPP works on par for both formats, including the use of the CMCD [CTA-CMCD].

## X.2 Collaboration scenarios

### X.2.1 Collaboration Scenario 1: CMAF content and both DASH and HLS manifest provided by the 5GMSd Application Provider

In this collaboration scenario, DASH and HLS presentation manifests for the same content are generated by the 5GMSd Application Provider and are delivered to the 5GMSd AS. The CMAF media segments are common between the two manifests and are either pushed to the 5GMSd AS promptly by the 5GMSd Application Provider, or pulled bythe 5GMSd AS from the 5GMSd Application Provider on first request from a 5GMSd Client.

### X.2.2 Collaboration Scenario 2: CMAF content and one manifest format provided by the 5GMSd Application Provider

In this collaboration scenario, the CMAF content and either a DASH MPD or an HLS m3u8 presentation manifest is provided by the 5GMSd Application Provider to the 5GMSd AS. The content is either pushed to the 5GMSd AS promptly by the 5GMSd Application Provider, or pulled by the 5MGSd AS from the 5GMSd Application Provider on first request from a 5GMSd Client. The content preparation function of the 5GMSd AS generates the other manifest format upon receiving the provided manifest format.

## X.3 Architecture mapping

3. Based on existing architectures, develop one or more deployment architectures that address the key topics and the collaboration models.

## X.4 High-level call flow

4. Map the key topics to basic functions and develop high-level call flows.

## X.5 Gap analysis and requirements

5. Identify the issues that need to be solved.

## X.6 Candidate solutions

6. Provide candidate solutions including call flows, protocols and APIs for each of the identified issues.

## X.7 Summary and conclusions