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# Introduction

This is the report for the following offline discussion:

* [AT126][301][NR NTN Enh] SMTC impacts for soft satellite switch (Sequans)

Scope: discuss the impact on SMTC adjustment for soft satellite switch and possible impact on decision for H115

Intended outcome: report of offline discussion

Deadline for companies' feedback: Wednesday 2024-05-22 20:00 (but F2F discussion is invited) 🡺 F2F planned Wed 16:30-17:00 BO3

Deadline for rapporteur's summary (in R2-2405757): Thursday 2024-05-23 08:00

[R2-2405672](file:///C:\Data\3GPP\Extracts\R2-2405672_%5bH010%5d%5bH115%5d%20and%20MIB%20acquisition.docx) [H010][H115] and skipping MIB acquisition Sequans Communications discussion Rel-18 NR\_NTN\_enh-Core

Proposal 2: RAN2 to discuss if any fields require updating, and why (particularly SMTCs)

* Continue the discussion in [301]

[R2-2405680](file:///C:\Data\3GPP\Extracts\R2-2405680_Soft%20switch%20SSB%20time%20offset%20and%20SMTC%20impact.docx) Soft satellite switch SSB time offset and SMTC impact Sequans Communications discussion Rel-18 NR\_NTN\_enh-Core

Proposal 1: Consider soft switch where SSB-timeOffset is applied only between t-serviceStart and t-service

* Continue the discussion in [301]

# Discussion

## SMTC impacts of soft satellite switch

The soft satellite switch changes the SSB timing. Potential impacts on SMTCs have been investigated in ‎[1]. The SSB timing change might be realized at gNB either with or without changing the cell timing, so both options are considered.

We assume as an example a SSB periodicity of 20ms, and an SSB-offset of 10ms. We consider mobility with neighbor TN cells, and with other NTN cells “synched” with the NTN unchanged PCI cell (performing a similar change in a synchronized way).

Upon **each soft satellite switch**, potential impact on SMTCs would be as follows:

* NTN cell SMTC, in TN cell: off by 10ms => need to be updated
* TN cells SMTCs, in NTN cell
  + With shifted cell timing: off by 10ms => need to be updated
  + With shifted SSB location (no cell timing change): no impact
* NTN cells SMTCs, in NTN cell
  + With shifted cell timing: no impact
  + With shifted SSB location (no cell timing change): off by 10ms => need to be updated

“Need to be updated” above means:

* In IDLE/INACTIVE, SMTCs offsets update in SIB2/SIB4
* In CONNECTED, RRC reconfiguration of the SMTCs offsets (if configured)

**Q1: Do companies agree on the impacts on SMTC configuration as described above?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |

## SIB acquisition at satellite switch (related to [H115])

SIB acquisition at satellite switch could enable to mitigate the signaling load (avoid SI change notification for IDLE/INACTIVE UEs in green cases above).

**Q2: Companies views on SIB acquisition at satellite switch?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |

## Soft switch without SSB timing change

An alternative would be to consider that the SSB-timeOffset would be applicable **only** between t-serviceStart and t-service, so that the overall SSB timing is not changed by the soft switch procedure, hence avoiding SMTCs impact.



Figure - Soft switch without SSB timing change

The cell timing/SSB location would not change (as for the hard switch). The only difference of soft switch would be the additional SSBs sent during the soft switch duration, with SSB-timingOffset. The UE would just sync PSS/SSS to acquire timing (and freq) and can apply it at T-service by removing SSB-timingOffset.

**Q3: Companies views on Soft switch without SSB timing change?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |

# Conclusion

# References

1. R2-2405680, “*Soft satellite switch SSB time offset and SMTC impact*”, Sequans Communications