**3GPP TSG-RAN WG1 Meeting #118bis** **R1- 24NNNN**

Hefei, China, October 14th – 18th, 2024

Agenda Item: 8.1

Source: Moderator (Ericsson).

Title: Feature Lead summary #1 for Maintenance of Positioning for RedCap UEs

Document for: Discussion, Decision

# Introduction

This document summarizes the draft CRs received in RAN1#118b during the maintenance of NR positioning.

For Redcap positioning maintenance, the following draft CRs have been identified. The draft CRs identified as alignment CR candidates are treated by the general alignement CR discussion for positioning maintenance.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Tdoc#** | **Title and proposal** | **Comments** |
| R1-2407841 | Draft CR on active semi-persistent SRS resource configuration, vivo |  See issue 1 |
| R1-2408176  | Correction to the collision rule for RedCap SRS frequency hopping, Huawei, HiSilicon |  See issue 2 |
| R1-2408288, | Corrections to TS 38.214 on SRS for positioning with frequency hopping, Intel Corporation | See issue 2 |
| R1-2408510, | Draft CR for collision handling of positioning SRS with Tx hopping in TDD system, ZTE Corporation, Sanechips | See issue 2 |
| R1-2408916 | Draft CR for correction to SRS for positioning with tx hopping in 38.214, Ericsson | See issue 2 |

# Maintenance for Redcap Positioning

## Active semi-persistent SRS resource configuration

### Background

In x7841 it is proposed to clarify the UE behaviour when the SRS with Tx hopping transmitted via semi persistent activation is outside the active UL-BWP.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Tdoc#** | **Title and proposal** |
| R1-2407841  | If the UE has an active semi-persistent SRS resource configuration configured by *SRS-Resource* and has not received a deactivation command, the semi-persistent SRS configuration is considered to be active in the UL BWP which is active, otherwise it is considered suspended. |

###  First round

Companies are encouraged to provide their view on the draft CR in R1-2407841 below:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comment** |
| Qualcomm | We need further discussion on this. The above statement is also be applicable for SRS for positioning without frequency hopping that is configured within a BWP. With the suggested change, it appears as if all SRS for positioning resources are excluded.  |
| Intel | Agree with Qualcomm’s observation. Perhaps we should implement the update to *exclude only* the positioning SRS with FH case. Thus, the following is suggested for consideration: If the UE has an active semi-persistent SRS resource configuration that is not configured via SRS-PosTx-Hopping and has not received a deactivation command, the semi-persistent SRS configuration is considered to be active in the UL BWP which is active, otherwise the semi-persistent SRS resource configuration that is not configured via SRS-PosTx-Hopping is considered suspended. |
| vivo | We are okay with the first modification with Intel, but we are not sure the secend modifcation by intel is necessary. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | We do not support this change.The procedure of positioning SRS with frequency hopping should be interpreted on top of the existing, which overrides the existing behaviour when applicable.The proposed change potentially also extends other non-hopping positioning SRS being active on deactivated BWP, as QC mentioned, which is a new feature. |
| Ericsson | We agree that we may not need to repeat the wording twice as suggested by vivo. The statement should also capture the RRC\_INACTIVE SP SRS for positioning. Maybe the following change can be added:If the UE has an active semi-persistent SRS resource configuration provided in RRC\_CONNECTED state, that is not configured via SRS-PosTx-Hopping and has not received a deactivation command, the semi-persistent SRS configuration is considered to be active in the UL BWP which is active, otherwise it is considered suspended. |

## Collision handling of positioning SRS with Tx hopping in TDD

### Background

In x8176, x8288, x8510, x8916 the issue on collision handling for TDD is discussed. This issue was already tackled in RAN1#118 but did not converge.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Tdoc#** | **Title and proposal** |
| R1-2408176  | If the SRS symbol(s), including the switching time to and from the active bandwidth part, of the transmit frequency hopping collides with PUSCH or PUCCH, and if the UE determines the SRS to be dropped, the colliding SRS symbol(s) are dropped. The UE is not expected to handle the collision between the SRS symbol(s) including the switching time to and from the active bandwidth part and DL signals and channels in the active bandwidth part. |
| R1-2408288, | When the reduced capability UE is configured by the higher layer parameter *SRS-PosTx-Hopping*, including a switching time to and from the active bandwidth part, the UE shall use the same priority rules as defined in Clause 6.2.1.When the reduced capability UE is configured by the higher layer parameter *SRS-PosTx-Hopping*, if the SRS symbol(s), including a switching time to and from the active bandwidth part, of the transmit frequency hopping collides with other physical channels/signals or with downlink or flexible symbols or has insufficient time-gap relative to PRACH or SSB occasions, the UE shall use the same priority rules as defined in Clause 6.2.1 in this specification and Clauses 8.1, 11.1, and 17.2 in [6, TS38.213]. |
| R1-2408510, | If the SRS symbol(s), including the switching time to and from the active bandwidth part, of the transmit frequency hopping collides with PUSCH or PUCCH, and if the UE determines the SRS to be dropped, the colliding SRS symbol(s) are dropped. In unpaired spectrum, if the SRS symbol(s), including the switching time to and from the active bandwidth part, of the transmit frequency hopping collides with DL signals or channels on flexible symbols, and if the UE determines the SRS to be dropped, the colliding SRS symbol(s) are dropped.When the reduced capability UE is configured by the higher layer parameter *SRS-PosTx-Hopping*, including a switching time to and from the active bandwidth part, the UE shall use the same priority rules as defined in Clause 6.2.1 and Clause 11.1, 11.2A and 17.2 in [6, TS38.213]. |
| R1-2408916 | When the reduced capability UE is configured by the higher layer parameter *SRS-PosTx-Hopping*, including a switching time to and from the active bandwidth part, the UE shall use the same priority rules as defined in Clause 6.2.1 as well as Clauses 7.5, 8.1,11.1, 11.2 and 17.2 in [6,38.213]. |

### First round

From the received draft CRs we can either:

 (a) not support the case of collision in TDD, as proposed in x8176

 (b) update the collision rules to include the rules set in 38.213 for transmission of PRACH and PUSCH/PUCCH/SRS (clause 8.1) and for the cases of group common transmission (clause 11) and half duplex (Clause 17.2). as proposed in x8288, x8510, x8916.

Companies are encouraged to provide their view on supporting option a) or option b) below. For option B, the draft CR in x8510 can be taken as a starting point:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comment** |
| Qualcomm | Option B. Ok with the CR in x8510 |
| Intel | Option B. As elaborated in R1-2408287, in addition to collision handling for DL channels/signals, we need to include clause 8.1 (insufficient time-gap with PRACH or SSB – *note: this includes cases in which PRACH/SSB may not actually overlap on the same symbols*) as well as cancellation of SRS in case of overlap with DL or Flexible systems indicated by dynamic SFI, which are not covered by Option A or the CR in x8510.Thus, we prefer the version in x8288.Also, strictly speaking, reference to clause 11.2A should be dropped as it’s not a case of collision handling but cancellation indication. However, we are okay to include it as well as it can be seen as an example of “collision handling” with the SRS and the UL CI time-frequency region.  |
| vivo | We support option A, for the compromise, x8510 without 11.2A can be acceptable. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Option (a).We would like clarify the understanding here:For a DL symbol (also flexible symbol indicated as DL) without any DL signals and channels that UE is required to receive, can the switching period happen there?We think if the rule should be specified, it should be fixed for good. |
| Ericsson | We prefer option B, using x8288 would be fine, alternatively the version from x8510 is also ok.  |

# Offline Sessions

# Online sessions

# Conclusion

Issue 1

Issue 2
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