

Report on test step BasicM (New name for L2M) problems - v150

produced on: 25/11/2002 17:15:01
page 1 of 2

| Nr. of error report | Test step name | Version | Problem | Comment from MCC Task 160 | Done date |
|---------------------|--------------------------------|---------|---|---|------------|
| 020039 | | | | | |
| | ts_CalculateActTime | v1.5.0 | Line 6 the configurations cell_FACH_2_PRACH & cell_FACH_2_PRACH_NoConn shall be included as well | Accepted - v151 | 17/10/2002 |
| | ts_RRC_ConnEst | v1.5.0 | According to 34.108, the value of the constant tsc_UL_DPDCH_SF_SRB should be sf64 instead of sf256 | Accepted - v151 - done. New constants have been declared do make a distinction between SRB13.6k and SRb 3.4k | 18/10/2002 |
| | ts_RRC_ConnEst | v1.5.0 | According to 34.108, the value of the constant tsc_DL_DPCH1_SFP_SRB should be sfd128:pb4 instead of sfd256:pb4 | Accepted - v151 - done. New constants have been declared do make a distinction between SRB13.6k and SRb 3.4k. | 18/10/2002 |
| | ts_RRC_ConnEst | v1.5.0 | According to 34.108, the value of the constant tsc_DL_DPCH1_ChC_SRB should be sf128:9 instead of sf256:255 | Accepted - v151 - done. New constants have been declared do make a distinction between SRB13.6k and SRb 3.4k. | 18/10/2002 |
| | ts_RRC_SendRB_SetUp_DCH_Speech | v1.5.0 | According to 34.108, the tb_Size of the first TF shall be set to 81 instead of 0, then 39 one block and then 81 one block | Accepted - v151 | 22/10/2002 |
| 020043 | | | | | |
| | ts_GMM_IdleUpdated | v1.5.0 | Added IF_PRESENT string to IE "readyTimer" in the PDU constraint "cr_AttachReqAss". Because, this IE is an OPTIONAL. (I think, the PDUconstraint should be cr_AttachReqAny" because, when we start the test case, mobile will send its identity as IMSI or P-TMSI, depending on the presence of temporary identity in the SIM card. In the PDU constraint "cr_AttachReqAss", expecting P_TMSI). | Accepted - v151 | 28/10/2002 |
| | ts_InitVariables | v1.5.0 | "The default value for 'powersCCPCH' should be px_PowersCCPCH1 instead of px_PowerpCCPCH. " | Accepted - v151 | 28/10/2002 |

Report on test step BasicM (New name for L2M) problems - v150

produced on: 25/11/2002 17:15:02
page 2 of 2

| Nr. of error report | Test step name | Version | Problem | Comment from MCC Task 160 | Done date |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|---------|--|---------------------------|------------|
| | ts_RRC_ConnEst | v1.5.0 | "According to 34.108, the value of the constant tsc_UL_DPDCH_SF_SRB should be sf64 instead of sf256 " | Accepted - v151 | 28/10/2002 |
| | ts_RRC_ConnEst | v1.5.0 | According to 34.108, the value of the constant tsc_DL_DPCH1_SFP_SRB should be sfd128:pb4 instead of sfd256:pb4 | Accepted - v151 | 28/10/2002 |
| | ts_RRC_ConnEst | v1.5.0 | According to 34.108, the value of the constant tsc_DL_DPCH1_ChC_SRB should be sf128:9 instead of sf256:255 | Accepted - v151 | 28/10/2002 |
| | ts_RRC_ReceiveConnSetupCmpl | v1.5.0 | On FACH state, the ue radio access capability is not provided by UE (it is not requested in RRC connection setup), then the assignment of tcv is not acceptable. | Accepted - v151 | 28/10/2002 |
| | ts_SS_1DCH_DCCH_Cfg | v1.5.0 | Discrepancy between the type of the secondary scrambling code declared in 34.123-3 and the type in the ATS | Accepted - v151 | 28/10/2002 |

This report contains:

Number of problems: 12

Completed problems: 12