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# 4 Management service template (stage 1)

## 4.1 General

This template shall be used for the production of all requirement specifications for management and orchestration of 3GPP networks.

Instructions in *italics* below shall not be included in the requirements specifications.

Usage of fonts shall be according to the 3GPP drafting rules in TR 21.801 [5] for a TS (with some basic examples given in the 3GPP TS template).

## 4.2 Template for requirement specifications

R4 Management capability name

*The management capability name above shall be replaced with the name of the management capability which is to be specified.*"

R4.a Description

*For production of the contents of this clause, describe the general information of management capability.*

R4.b           Use cases

*For production of the contents of this clause, describe the motivation for one or more of the requirements in R4.c (referring to the requirement label(s)). The use case should also be labelled. The use case is not to clarify how to use a certain feature, detailed sequence diagrams are not needed as use case. The use case is to describe what are the benefits of the capability, what it is good for.*

*An example of use case is the following:*

*X Monitor of the number of active UEs*

*The number of the active UEs in each cell is a valuable measurment for operators to know how many UEs are with buffered data per cell basis. This kind of information can help operators to tune the admission control parameters for the cell and to do load balancing between cells to ensure that the target percentage or number of the UEs admitted achieve the target QoS. However, the number of single uplink or downlink UEs can not effectively reflect the actual number of active UEs either on the downlink or on the uplink in the network.*

*As the active UEs reflect UEs have data to transmit or receive , the ratio of the number of active UEs to the number of the RRC connected UEs can be established, which can be used to monitor the the cell. In addition, compared with the number of RRC connected users, the number of active UEs is a more effective measurement to reflect the control plane capacity of wireless network.*

R4.c Requirements

R4.c.1 Management Capability Requirements

*For production of the contents of this subclause, describe the management capability requirements which are exposed to the consumer. Each requirement shall have a requirement label.*

*Editor’s Notes: The format of the requirement label should align with 3GPP-wide labels if there is any decision on that (currently under SA discussion).*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Requirement label** | **Description** | **Related use cases** |
|  |  |  |

R4.c.2 Management Functional Requirements

*For production of the contents of this subclause, describe the management functional requirements which are management implementation related. Each requirement shall have a requirement label.*

*Editor’s Notes: The format of the requirement label should align with 3GPP-wide labels if there is any decision on that (currently under SA discussion).*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Requirement label** | **Description** | **Related use cases** |
|  |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **End of Change** |