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**1. Overall Description:**

SA4 thanks SA2 for the LS on FS\_XRM Ph2 (S2-2407351/S4-241422) and would like to provide the feedback as following:

* **Question1 [for SA4, RAN2 and RAN3]:**
  + SA2 discusses indicating periodicity via in-band signaling (i.e. in GTP-U) for dynamic changes of the periodicity and kindly asks RAN2 and RAN3 to feedback on that approach.
  + To SA4: is it possible for application server to provide the periodicity to the PSA UPF in RTP header extension?.

**Reply 1:**

Yes, including periodicity information in an RTP Header extension may be possible, but not always, i.e. provided that the sender implementation supports it and the traffic is periodic in nature.

SA4 is currently studying signaling of dynamic traffic characteristics which may include periodicity using RTP Header Extension. However, some experts in SA4 believe that other mechanisms may be more efficient than RTP Header extension in case the traffic is strictly periodic.

* **Question2 [for SA4 and RAN2]:** There is some discussion about time to next burst.
  + To SA4: is it possible that the application server provides the time to next burst (i.e. the time interval between the current burst and the next burst) in the 1st packet of the burst via N6?

**Reply 2:** Yes, it is possible depending on the sender implementation and connectivity between the sender and 5G System. If N6 jitter and bandwidth variation is known or can be predicted, senders can estimate how much time it will take to send all packets in a data burst and determine the start time of the next burst within an implementation-dependent error margin. Therefore, the application server can provide an estimate of TTNB in the first packet of the burst.

SA4 would like to note that TTNB estimation is only applicable for bursty traffic (e.g. video), and the same UE may receive other traffic which may be continuous (e.g. audio). A UE may also receive multiple traffic flows originating from different traffic sources with respective TTNBs and the resulting data burst traffic pattern seen at the RAN may not be well represented by any of the TTNBs.

SA4 is studying the topic in Key Issue #12: “Enhancements of Data Burst Marking” of FS\_5G\_RTP\_Ph2 as documented in clause 5.12 of draft TR 26.822.

**2. Actions:**

**To SA2:**

**ACTION:** SA4 kindly asks SA2 to take the above into account and provide feedback if any.

**To RAN2:**

**ACTION:** SA4 kindly asks RAN2 to provide feedback on the feasibility of sending TTNB in the last packet of the burst and other feedback on the replies above if any.

**3. Date of Next SA4 Meetings:**

SA4#130 18th Nov – 22nd Nov 2024 Orlando, FL, USA

SA4#131 17th Feb – 21st Feb 2025 Geneva, Switzerland