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## 5.15 Multi-access media delivery

### 5.15.1 Description

#### 5.15.1.0 Introduction

Media streaming applications traditionally obtain content from a single source over a single path within a network. This imposes several limitations:

1. Performance is constrained to that of the source and path chosen. Any limits on network bandwidth and latency between the client and that source are directly translated to the client’s achievable Quality of Service (QoS) and Quality of Experience (QoE).

2 Disruptions or degraded performance caused by the source in use or on any of the network links between the client and source can lead to poor user experience, often in the form of lower playback quality, rebuffering, or complete playback failure.

This Key Issue considers integration of different technologies into the 5G Media Streaming System that addresses these, and similar, issues by allowing media streaming applications to efficiently access content across multiple access networks. Different client implementations may then beneficially use the content on these multiple access networks either serially or concurrently, potentially guided by the service or network provider. Study of integration of different technologies into the 5G Media Streaming System is of relevance to address content provisioning, content hosting, impacts on user plane reference points M2 and M4, and on media session handling at reference point M5 as well as potential benefits in terms of quality and resource usage.

Challenges that multi-access architectures aim to address may include:

1. *Disruptions to QoS and QoE resulting from degraded performance or loss of availability of one or more network interfaces/access networks.* An example is disruption such as significant delays and loss of throughput caused during the process of switching from one access network to another as transport layer connections are migrated to new endpoint addresses on a different access network, or are destroyed and need to be re-established.

2. *Inability to efficiently utilise multiple network interfaces/access networks concurrently to achieve a target QoS or QoE.* An example is the inability of a UE to effectively utilise its connection with a secondary, reliable but high-cost, 5G access network in support of the primary, unreliable but inexpensive, access network using Wi-Fi.

#### 5.15.1.1 Multi-access using more than one USIM

A UE contains at most one USIM. Multiple UEs may be combined in a single device to form a composite terminal that is able to access more than one access network concurrently.

Editor's Note: Common scenario in media production where 5G modem units provide multiple SIM card slots intended for concurrent use. (Smartphone UEs with multiple slots aren't typically able to use more than one at the same time.) This Key Issue should also study what (if any) changes to the 5GMS System are needed to take advantage of this. Unlikely to be transparent to the 5GMS Client, requiring the use of multipath transport protocols, or applications specifically written to work with multiple paths.
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#### 5.15.1.3 Multi-access using ATSSS

Clause 5.32 of TS 23.501 [23501] describes ATSSS (Access Traffic Steering, Switching, and Splitting) an optional feature supported by the UE and 5G Core network for multi-access. Some of the key principles this feature defines that are relevant for our study are:

1. The ATSSS feature enables a *Multi-Access PDU Connectivity Service* allowing for the exchange of PDUs between the UE and a Data Network by simultaneously using one 3GPP access network and one non-3GPP access network via two independent N3/N9 tunnels between a PDU Session Anchor UPF (PSA UPF) and the RAN/AN.

NOTE 1: The limits on the number and type of access network refer to Release 18 and may differ in subsequent releases.

2. The Multi-Access PDU Connectivity Service is facilitated by a *Multi-Access PDU (MA PDU) Session* that may have User Plane resources on two access networks. In the context of the generalised media delivery architecture specified in TS 26.501 [15]:

- If conveyed over an MA PDU Session, the application flow between the Media Session Handler and the Media AF (e.g., 5GMS AF) at reference point M5 may use two different access networks.

- If conveyed over an MA PDU Session, the application flow between the Media Access Client (e.g., Media Player or Media Streamer) and the Media AS (e.g., 5GMS AS) at reference point M4 may use two different access networks.

3. The UE is supplied with policy rules ("ATSSS rules") by the network for deciding how to distribute uplink traffic across multiple access networks. Similarly, the UPF anchor is supplied with policy rules ("N4 rules") by the network for deciding how to distribute downlink traffic across the two N3/N9 tunnels and the two access networks. The network entity configuring ATSSS rules and N4 rules is the SMF. The SMF may map PCC rules from the PCF to create these ATSSS and N4 rules.

4. The UE indicates its support for ATSSS (steering functionalities and steering modes) in the *PDU Session Establishment Request* that is sent to request a new MA PDU Session.

5. If the UE requests a network slice instance, the same S-NSSAI is allowed to span both access networks.

NOTE 2: Support for QoS when PDUs are conveyed over a PDU Session belonging to a network slice that spans non-3GPP access network is unknown.

6. For QoS support, the same 5G QoS model used for conventional PDU Sessions also applies to MA PDU Sessions, i.e. QoS Flow is the finest granularity of QoS differentiation. However, QoS Flow is access-agnostic: the same network QoS applies to each of the different access network comprising the MA PDU Session, i.e. the same QoS is available across two different paths in different access networks. The network (SMF) may provide QoS rules to the UE via one access network that are used for both the 3GPP access network and non-3GPP access network.

- In the context of the generalised media delivery architecture, application flows at reference point M5 and/or M4 using a MA PDU Session may have similar network QoS as when they are transmitted via the 3GPP access network alone.

NOTE 3: Support for PDU Session QoS when PDUs are conveyed over a non-3GPP access network is unknown.

7. The network may provide Measurement Assistance Information to the UE and/or UPF to assist them in determining which measurements (packet round-trip time measurements, packet loss rate measurements) are to be performed before deciding how to distribute traffic across the two access networks.

8. The ATSSS rules provided to the UE by the network contain information about the type of steering to be used to distribute traffic across multiple access networks. This allows traffic to be steered, switched and split across multiple access networks. From clause 5.32.8 of TS 23.501 [23501], the supported steering mechanism defined in this release are:

- *Higher-layer MPTCP (Multipath TCP) functionality* – The UPF provides MPTCP proxy functionality. Corresponding MPTCP functionality in the UE may communicate with the MPTCP proxy in the UPF to distribute and aggregate traffic across multiple access networks.

- *Higher-layer MPQUIC (Multipath-enabled QUIC) functionality* – The UPF provides MPQUIC proxy functionality. The corresponding MPQUIC functionality in the UE may communicate with the MPQUIC proxy in the UPF to distribute and aggregate traffic across multiple access networks.

- *ATSSS-LL (ATSSS Low-Layer) functionality* – The UPF allows steering, switching, and splitting of traffic across two access networks based on information from the IP layer and below.

9. The ATSSS rules provided to the UE by the network indicate which steering mode is to be applied to matching traffic for each Service Data Flow (SDF). The steering mode determines how the matching traffic is to be distributed across 3GPP and non-3GPP access networks. Supported steering modes in Release 18 include:

- *Active-Standby:* Used to steer matching SDF packets onto one access network (the "Active access") when this is available, and onto another (the "Standby access") when the Active access is unavailable.

- *Smallest Delay:* Matching SDF packets are steered to the access network with smallest packet round-trip time.

- *Load-Balancing:* Used to split the delivery of SDF packets between both the access networks if both of them are available.

- *Priority-based:* Used to steer SDF packets onto an access network with a higher priority.

*- Redundant:* Used to duplicate SDF packets on both access networks if both of them are available.

To support the operation of media delivery services specified in TS 26.501 [26501], TS 26.506 [26506], and TS 26.502 [26502] with multi-access, there is a need to first document clear potential issues to split, steer, and switch the M4 application flows of the above media delivery services based on methods specified in ATSSS architecture.

Further, when the UE and the network agree to use a Multi-Access PDU Session as described above for a 5G Media Streaming service, it is not clear how the dynamic policy feature specified in TS 26.501 [26501] and TS 26.510 [26510] is activated and implemented for application flows over multiple access networks.

#### 5.15.1.4 Key Issue objectives

Specifically, the following issues need to be studied:

- If M4 application flows are carried over two access networks, what does "activate dynamic policy with QoS requirements" mean – whether the requested network QoS is applicable to one, or more, or all access paths.

- Is it feasible to request QoS for a subset of access paths over specific access networks?

- Are any enhancements to the ApplicationFlowDescription type described in TS 26510 [26510] needed to support identification of M4 application flows over multiple access networks?

### 5.15.2 Collaboration scenarios

#### 5.15.2.0 Introduction

The following collaboration scenarios correspond to clauses A.x, A.y and A.z in TS 26.501 [26501] in which a 5GMS Client is connected to one more 5GMS AS instances via multiple access networks (e.g., a 3GPP Access and a non-3GPP Access, such as Wi-Fi). The 5GMS AS instances may be deployed in the Trusted DN or in an external DN. Where a 5GMS AS instance is deployed in a Trusted DN, connectivity between it and the 5GMS Client via the non-3GPP Access is still achieved via the UPF.

#### 5.15.2.1 Multi-access media delivery without using ATSSS

In this scenario, based on the description in clause 5.15.1.2, the Media Stream Handler in the 5GMS Client is connected (either directly or via functions within the UE) to multiple data, or access, networks (e.g., an unmanaged Wi‑Fi network and the 5G network). The client requests media streaming content from one or more 5GMS Application Servers. The 5GMS Client may choose to switch between access networks or use multiple simultaneously. This allows the client to distribute network load across access networks, optimise costs, as well as improve QoS.

The client’s Media Session Handler discovers the URL of each Application Server from Media Entry Points provided in Service Access Information, acquired either from the 5GMS Application Function (AF) at reference point M5, or else obtained from the 5GMS Application Provider via reference point M8.

Figure 5.15.2.1-1 shows the client communicating with a single Application Server through different data networks. Neither data network has direct communication with its peers. The 5GMS AS communicates (minimally) with the Application Provider at reference point M2 and with the 5GMS AF (not depicted) via reference point M3. In some scenarios, the 5GMS Client and 5GMS AS may use lower-layer functionality and/or functions to manage multi-access media delivery. In these cases, a single reference point M4 may be split among multiple access networks such that the 5GMS Client and 5GMS AS are unaware of the use of multi-access delivery.

#### A diagram of a network Description automatically generated

Figure 5.15.2.1-1: Multi-access media delivery without using ATSSS

#### 5.15.2.2 Multi-Access media delivery using ATSSS

Figure 5.15.2.2-1 shows the collaboration scenario for multi-access media delivery using ATSSS. In this scenario, the multi-access delivery is supported by ATSSS functionalities deployed in both the UE and the UPF, as described in clause 5.15.1.3 of the present document. These are responsible for steering, switching, and splitting of M4 application flows. Depending on the ATSSS mechanism selected, the 5GMS Client and the 5GMS AS may be unaware of multi-access media delivery.



Figure 5.15.2.2-1: Multi-access media delivery using ATSSS

### 5.15.3 Architecture mapping

Editor’s Note: Based on existing architectures, develop one or more deployment architectures that address the key topics and the collaboration models.

#### 5.15.3.1 ATSSS mapping into 5GMS architecture

Figure 5.15.3.1-1 shows the detailed collaboration scenario for multi-access media delivery using different ATSSS steering functionalities described in clause 5.15.1.3 of the present document.



Figure 5.15.3.1-2: Multi-access media delivery using different ATSSS steering mechanisms

In figure 5.15.3.1-2, the UE and the network may negotiate the use of one or more ATSSS steering mechanisms:

1. If the UE and the network agree on using the low-layer steering mechanism (ATSSS-LL) as specified in clause 5.32 of TS 23.501 [23501]:

a. The 5GMS Client and the 5GMS AS are unaware of multi-access media delivery.

b. Traffic steering, switching, and splitting decisions at the UE and UPF are based on information at IP the layer and below.

c. A data switching function in the UE decides how to steer, switch, and split M4 flows across the 3GPP and non-3GPP accesses based on provisioned ATSSS rules and local conditions (e.g., signal loss conditions).

d. Any type of traffic, including the TCP traffic, UDP traffic, Ethernet traffic, etc. from the 5GMS Client may be steered, switched, or split.

2. If the UE and the network agree on using the high-layer MPTCP Steering mechanism as specified in clause 5.32.6.2.1 of TS 23.501 [23501]:

a. The 5GMS Client and the 5GMS AS may be unaware of multi-access media delivery.

b. Traffic steering, switching, and splitting decisions at the UE and UPF are based on information at the IP layer and above.

c. The network enables an MPTCP proxy in the UPF for the multi-access PDU Session.

d. The network allocates three IP addresses/prefixes to the UE – one for the multi-access PDU Session and two additional IP addresses/prefixes called “MPTCP link-specific multipath” addresses associated with each of the 3GPP and non-3GPP Accesses. The “MPTCP link-specific multipath” addresses may not be routable via N6.

e. TCP application flows at reference point M4 from the Media Stream Handler of the 5GMS Client in a UE allowed to use MPTCP functionality are sent to the MPTCP proxy over the two access networks using the two link-specific multipath addresses, and the MPTCP proxy functionality in the UPF uses the multi-access PDU Session IP address/prefix to communicate with the 5GMS AS in the DN.

f. Any non-MPTCP traffic from the 5GMS Client is routed over either the 3GPP Access or the non-3GPP Access based on a received ATSSS rule for non-MPTCP traffic as specified in clause 5.32.2 of TS 23.501 [23501].

3. If the UE and the network agree on using the high-layer MPQUIC Steering mechanism as specified in clause 5.32.6.2.2 of TS 23.501 [23501].

a. The 5GMS Client and the 5GMS AS may be unaware of multi-access media delivery.

b. Traffic steering, switching, and splitting decisions at the UE and UPF are based on information at the IP layer and above.

c. The network enables an MPQUIC proxy in the UPF for the multi-access PDU Session.

d. The network allocates three IP addresses/prefixes to the UE – one IP for the multi-access PDU Session and two additional IP addresses/prefixes called “MPQUIC link-specific multipath” addresses associated with each of the 3GPP and non-3GPP Accesses. The “MPQUIC link-specific multipath” addresses may not be routable via N6.

e. A QoS Flow selection and steering mode selection component in the Media Stream Handler of the 5GMS Client determines the number of multipath QUIC connections to be set up for the application flows at reference point M4. Each QUIC connection carries one QoS flow (based on QoS rules) i.e. each multipath QUIC connection carries the UDP traffic mapped to a single QoS flow

f. QUIC-based UDP application flows at reference point M4 from the Media Stream Handler of a 5GMS Client are sent over the two access networks to the MPQUIC proxy using the two link-specific multipath addresses with multiple QUIC paths, and the MPQUIC proxy functionality in the UPF uses the multi-access PDU Session IP address/prefix to communicate with the 5GMS AS in the DN.

### 5.15.4 High-level call flow

Editor’s Note: Map the key topics to basic functions and develop high-level call flows.

### 5.15.5 Gap analysis and requirements

The following potential open issues are identified:

### 5.15.6 Candidate solutions

Editor’s Note: Candidate solutions for identified key issue.

### 5.15.7 Summary and conclusions

\* \* \* \* End of changes \* \* \* \*