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#### 6.8.3.1 Assessment/discussion of hardware impact

This potential solution requires the device to decode the auxiliary channels and forward them to the XR runtime. This task is expected to be straightforward and light in terms of processing. As documented in [37], the carriage of auxiliary pictures does not impact the decoding of the primary layers for which the complexity remains unchanged. The decoding of the added auxiliary channels can be done by reusing single-layer HEVC decoding instances. A demuxer software update on top of an existing 4:2:0 decoder is expected to be sufficient to enable the feature with minimal complexity and power consumption overhead.

**===== CHANGE #2 =====**

In this scenario, additional data is carried, through auxiliary pictures. As multiple solutions are possible, the performance should be evaluated as follows:

* For stereoscopic content:
	+ A 2-views MV-HEVC bitstream with up to two auxiliary pictures for depth and alpha channels.
	+ A 3D-HEVC+depth bitstream with one auxiliary channel for alpha channel.
* For regular 2D content:
	+ A 2D MV-HEVC bitstream with up to two auxiliary pictures for depth and alpha channels.

As the coding of the auxiliary pictures themselves would not change between those configurations, it is needed to identify what would be the impact on distribution when adding those auxiliary pictures to a regular 2D or stereo HEVC encoded bitstream to enable pose correction optimization. The performance of alpha channel coding with HEVC is supported in the industry, at distribution friendly data rate [38], and is then not subject to particular concerns in terms of performance.

Regarding the depth channel coding, the 5:1 fixed ratio has been established as typically a good value to be used when it comes to static bitrate allocation between texture and depths [39] for older codecs. However, the solution #4.1 focuses on HEVC, for which the topic was addressed during MV-HEVC standard development. From [40], it is estimated that the ratio between texture and rate can be lowered to reach an overhead in the range of 8%, which can be further reduced when adjusting the depth resolution [41]. Thus, it is assessed that the coding and distribution of depth channel can be done at a reasonable and acceptable additional data rate.

**===== END OF CHANGES =====**