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### 6.3.7 Spatial Anchors and Trackables

#### 6.3.7.1 Background

To establish the pose of the virtual objects in the user real environment, the concept of AR anchoring has been defined based on trackable and spatial anchor entities.

A trackable [24] is a real-world object that can be tracked by the XR runtime. Each trackable provides a local reference space, also known as a trackable space, in which an AR anchor can be expressed.

An AR anchor [24], called an anchor or spatial anchor in this document, is a real-world pose in a trackable space. Each spatial anchor provides a local reference space, also known as an anchor space, in which the pose of a virtual asset can be expressed.

NOTE: the definitions of trackable and anchor should be aligned with those in 3GPP TS 26.119.

Figure 6.3.7.1-1 illustrates an AR anchoring example. A trackable (2D marker type) provides a local reference space. The spatial anchor refers to this trackable. A virtual asset (virtual chest) is attached to this anchor.



Figure 6.3.7.1‑1: Spatial relationships between trackable, spatial anchor and virtual asset
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# 9 Conclusions and Recommendations

In this TR, the available information from other organizations (e.g. ITU-T, IEEE, MPEG group) are collected, and the relevant observed information based on the AR/MR QoE reference model/observation points are discussed and presented based on OpenXR specification [22]. Based on the information observed in the observation points, many AR/MR QoE metrics are introduced, e.g. registration latency, tracking pose prediction error, etc. All AR/MR QoE metrics introduced in this study are measurable and some of them are implementable based on the OpenXR implementation. For the AR/MR QoE metrics introduced in this study, they may be used by normative work in order to perform the AR/MR QoE measurements and reporting for subsequent optimizations, e.g. reporting to the 5G network for network optimization.

Based on the details in the above, the following next step is proposed as below:

If the value of “Normative work proposed” column in below Table 9-1 is “yes”, then it is proposed to specify the corresponding AR/MR QoE metrics in TS 26.119. The column also adds a reference in the report, where this implementability and relation to the impact on the user experience is provided. Otherwise the implementability and the impact on the user experience need further studies.

Table 9-1: Identify the implementability and impact to user experience of QoE metrics

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **QoE metrics** | **Implementable, e.g. based on openXR** | **Impact to user experience** | **Normative work proposed** | **Comment** |
| Registration latency | yessee clause 8 | yessee clause 8 | Y | Implementability are proved with openXR specs, impacts on users’ experience are easily observed |
| Scene startup latency and Interaction latency | yessee clause 8 | yessee clause 8 | Y | Implementability are proved with openXR specs, impacts on users’ experience are easily observed |
| Pose error and time error | yessee clause 6.3.5.3 | yessee clause 8 | Y | Implementability are proved with openXR specs, impacts on users’ experience are easily observed |
| ACD | yessee clause 6.3.7.4.1 | yessee clause 8 | Y | Implementability are proved with openXR specs, impacts on users’ experience are easily observed |
| ADRP | yessee clause 6.3.7.5.1 | yessee clause 8 | Y | Implementability are proved with openXR specs, impacts on users’ experience are easily observed |
| Device related QoE metrics | yessee clause 6.3.6.1 and 6.2.1.2 | yessee clause 8 | Y | Implementability are proved with openXR specs, impacts on users’ experience are easily observed |
| Tracking pose prediction error | For further study | For further study  | For further study | Implementability and impacts on users’ experience needs further studies. |
| Pose correction error | For further study  | For further study  | For further study | Implementability and impacts on users’ experience needs further studies. |
| AUR | For further study  | For further study | For further study  | Implementability and impacts on users’ experience needs further studies. |

The metrics may be reported for QoE proposal including QoE metrics considered to have the need for normative work in the above table, as well as one way delay RTT.
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