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# 9 Conclusions and Recommendations

In this TR, the available information from other organizations (e.g. ITU-T, IEEE, MPEG group) are collected, and the relevant observed information based on the AR/MR QoE reference model/observation points are discussed and presented based on OpenXR specification [22]. Based on the information observed in the observation points, many AR/MR QoE metrics are introduced, e.g. registration latency, tracking pose prediction error, etc. All AR/MR QoE metrics introduced in this study are measurable and some of them are implementable based on the OpenXR implementation. For the AR/MR QoE metrics introduced in this study, they may be used by normative work in order to perform the AR/MR QoE measurements and reporting for subsequent optimizations, e.g. reporting to the 5G network for network optimization.

Based on the details in the above, the following next step is proposed as below:

If the value of “Normative work proposed” column in below Table 9-1 is “yes”, then it is proposed to specify the corresponding AR/MR QoE metrics in TS 26.119. The column also adds a reference in the report, where this implementability and relation to the impact on the user experience is provided. Otherwise the implementability and the impact on the user experience need further studies.

Table 9-1: Identify the implementability and impact to user experience of QoE metrics

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **QoE metrics** | **Implementable, e.g. based on openXR** | **Impact to user experience** | **Normative work proposed** | **Comment** |
| Registration latency | yessee clause X.X | yessee clause X.X | Y | Implementability are proved with openXR specs, impacts on users’ experience are easily observed |
| Scene startup latency and Interaction latency | yessee clause X.X | yessee clause X.X | Y | Implementability are proved with openXR specs, impacts on users’ experience are easily observed |
| Pose error and time error | yessee clause X.X | yessee clause X.X | Y | Implementability are proved with openXR specs, impacts on users’ experience are easily observed |
| ACD | yessee clause X.X | yessee clause X.X | Y | Implementability are proved with openXR specs, impacts on users’ experience are easily observed |
| ADRP | yessee clause X.X | yessee clause X.X | Y | Implementability are proved with openXR specs, impacts on users’ experience are easily observed |
| Device related QoE metrics | yessee clause X.X | yessee clause X.X | Y | Implementability are proved with openXR specs, impacts on users’ experience are easily observed |
| Tracking pose prediction error | For further study | For further study  | For further study | Implementability and impacts on users’ experience needs further studies. |
| Pose correction error | For further study  | For further study  | For further study | Implementability and impacts on users’ experience needs further studies. |
| AUR | yessee clause X.X | yessee clause X.X | For further study | Implementability are proved with openXR specs, impacts on users’ experience are easily observed |

|  |
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