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#  Proposed changes

# In clause 4.4.2.4:

\* \* \* \* 1st change \* \* \* \*

NOTE 4: This field may be optionally present given the signaling of the “pdu-set-size” extension attribute in the SDP offer/answer negotiation as per Clause 4.4.2.5.

NOTE 5: Guidelines to set the PDU Set Size in bytes by an Application Server are provided in Clause 4.4.2.6.3.

NOTE 6: The use of NPDUS for NAT46/NAT64 correction is for FFS.

\* \* \* \* End of 1st change \* \* \* \*