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Start of second Change:

# 7 Characterization for Existing Codecs

## 7.1 Introduction

This clause provides a characterization of existing codecs. This serves pre-dominantly as an example to introduce the characterization framework.

## 7.2 H.265/HEVC Characterization against H.264/AVC

### 7.2.1 Introduction

This clause provides characterization results for H.265/HEVC against H.264/AVC for different scenarios and metrics.

## 7.3 External Characterization Results

### 7.3.1 Introduction

This clause introduces external characterization results that have been conducted in a similar fashion as introduced in clause 5.7, but are not based on the metrics developed in this report.

### 7.3.2 H.265/HEVC Characterization against H.264/AVC.

 In JCTVC-Q1011 [xx] the JCTVC verification test reports that the HEVC standard achieves a substantial improvement in compression capability relative to its predecessor, the AVC standard, in accordance with the “HEVC verication test plan” in JCTVC-P1011 [xx]. The precise performance results reported are a consequence of the specific configurations and software used.

The verification test was conducted for the HEVC Main profile and the AVC High profile using the HM12.1 (reference HEVC codec) and JM18.5 (reference AVC codec). Four picture resolutions UHD, 1080p, 720p and 480p were tested. Each resolution was represented by 5 test sequences, giving a total of 20 test sequences. For each test sequence 4 test points were chosen.

A bit depth of 8 bits for 480p, 720p and 1080p sequence and of 8 & 10 bits for 4K sequences was used. The coding structure was a combination of Random Access (RA) with an Intra refresh period at approximately 1 second intervals with picture reordering allowed and Low Delay (LD) with no intra refesh and no picture reordering.

A subjective evaluation was conducted comparing the same twenty test sequences.

Analysis of the subjective test results show that the HEVC bitstreams, generated at half or less than half the bit rate of the AVC reference bitstreams, were found to achieve comparable quality in 86% of the cases. This can be seen equivalently as the HEVC Main profile bitstreams requiring on average 59% fewer bits compared to the AVC reference bitstreams, to achieve the same subjective quality.

Figure 7.3.2-1 provides an overview of the results.

The bit rate savings are similar for the different resolutions tested, with higher resolution sequences having slightly more savings. The average bit rate savings for the test sequences with UHD, 1080p, 720p and 480p resolutions are estimated at approximately 64%, 62%, 56% and 52%, respectively.



Figure 7.3.2-1: Average bit rate savings (measured by BD-Rate) of the conducted HEVC tests compared to corresponding AVC tests. The average of highest bit rate points over all sequences in each resolution was used in this illustration.
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