

Title: LS on USIM and ISIM selection in the UE
Response to: LS T2-040349 (S3-040714)
Release: Release 6
Work Item: Generic Authentication Architecture / Presence Security

Source: SA3
To: SA1
Cc: SA2, T2, T3

Contact Person:
Name: Guenther Horn, Siemens AG
Tel. Number: +498963641494
E-mail Address: guenther.horn@siemens.com

Attachments: S3-040742

1. Overall Description:

SA3 would like to thank T2 for their LS on USIM and ISIM selection in the UE (T2-040349 = S3-040714). The LS from T2, as well as the contribution S3-040742 attached to this LS, deal with the question, which of the possibly several UICC applications (USIM or ISIM) shall be chosen when performing authentication over the Ub reference point in the Generic Bootstrapping Architecture (GBA).

In the CR to TS 33.220, contained in S3-040742, which was accepted at SA3#35, SA3 modified the section of TS 33.220 on which T2 based their comments. However, the particular feature on which T2 commented is still present.

T2 made their comment on the usability of the UICC application selection dialog described in S3-040648, now superseded by S3-040742. For reference, the relevant section of the CR contained in S3-040742 is included below:

Ö the GBA function shall choose among the active UICC applications; if there is more than one active UICC application, the GBA function may show a UICC application choosing dialogue to the end user (the list contains the "Labels" from the application list of the UICC), from which the end user chooses the UICC application to be selected;Ö î

T2 commented as follows:

îT2 wished to question the rationale for expecting the user to select between the UICC applications, ... Is it feasible to expect the average user to be able to select the appropriate application?

The above comment should be treated as an observation for SA3 to consider. However, it is expected that detailed discussion of this matter would be more appropriate if conducted between SA1 and SA3.î

SA3 acknowledges the concerns raised by T2, but would like to point out that the proposed UICC application selection dialogue with the user

- is optional for implementation and use;
- would be based on labels in the UICC understandable to the user (e.g. corporate use vs. private use);
- would give the user the most control over which of his subscriptions is used in which context.

This is why SA3 considers it useful to allow this optional dialogue with the user.

2. Actions:

To SA1 group.

ACTION: SA3 kindly asks SA1 to communicate any concerns SA1 may have regarding the proposed procedure.

3. Date of next SA3 Meetings:

SA3#36	23 - 26 Nov 2004	Shenzhen, China
SA3#37	21 ñ 25 Feb 2005	Sophia Antipolis, France

Source: Siemens, Nokia
Title: GBA USIM/ISIM selection
Agenda item: GBA (6.9.2)
Document for: Information/Decision

1 Introduction

At SA3#34 a new section 4.4.8 of TS 33.220 dealing with selection of UICC application for GBA was introduced (approved CR S3-040648). This document points to a necessary correction and, in addition, proposes improvements to the selection process as defined at SA3#34. The correction concerns the fact that a “default USIM” is not defined in 3GPP specifications, and that the term “selection” is used in a way not compatible with other 3G specifications. Instead of default USIM, we should use the notions of “last selected USIM” and “last selected ISIM”. The two main goals of the improvements are (i) the optional possibility for a Ua application to choose a particular UICC application (not only UICC type) and (ii) more deterministic behaviour and better understandability of the selection process by the user.

2 Discussion

Note: For terminology used in this discussion please see section 2.5.

2.1 Indication of UICC type by Ua application

The existing specification gives the Ua application three choices to indicate a preferred UICC application to GBA function: no preference, USIM, and ISIM.

Consider an example of a UICC containing 4 applications: USIM and ISIM, two each for private and corporate use respectively. There may be Ua applications which only intend to use a particular UICC application, e.g. a corporate Ua application which always intends to use the “corporate ISIM” on UICC. In the current specification this Ua application could only indicate “ISIM”. If the user happened to only have selected the “private ISIM” at the time the Ua application is calling GBA function, then the wrong ISIM would be used. To circumvent this problem, a fourth choice for indication of UICC application is introduced: the Ua application may indicate the “Label” of the intended UICC application (as used in other places of section 4.4.8 and as contained in EF_{DIR} on the UICC).

As this mechanism is only intended for Ua applications really in need of a particular UICC application, unsuccessful selection of the indicated UICC application results in failure of the selection process (cf. next paragraph).

As this mechanism may introduce some dependency between persistent data stored on UICC and on the ME (e.g. in case the Ua application is configured for a certain “Label” statically), there is the possibility that selection of UICC indicated by “Label” may not succeed. In this case the selection process fails, and we see no possibility to standardize a fallback, as every Ua application using the indication “Label” may have its own criteria for fallback. Thus any fallback solution in this case should be left to the Ua application. E.g. the Ua application always has the possibility to start a second try to select a UICC application with less stringent indication of UICC application.

How the Ua application determines this “Label” is out of scope of this specification. The Ua application may be configured on installation, or the Ua application may itself read the EF_{DIR} on the UICC and present a dialogue for choosing a UICC application to the user. This would also e.g. allow a user dialogue on application level even if the GBA function itself does not offer a user dialogue for choosing.

2.2 Selection within active UICC applications on indication of “no preference”

The existing specification states that in case the Ua application indicates “no preference”, first all active ISIMs are checked. In case of a user dialog offered by GBA function only active ISIMs are offered, and in case no user dialog is offered any of the active ISIMs is chosen. Only if no ISIM is active, then the user (or the GBA function) gets the possibility to choose a USIM.

We think that this behaviour is not really consistent with the notion of “no preference”. Any Ua application having a preference for USIM or ISIM can and should indicate this in the request. Thus the indication “no preference” really should treat USIMs and ISIMs equally. Thus we propose to offer to the user a list of all UICC applications in this case. The treatment for the case the GBA function does not offer a user dialogue is discussed in the next subsection.

2.3 Selection within active UICC applications of appropriate type without user dialogue

In case several active UICC applications exist eligible for GBA, and no user dialogue is offered by GBA function, then the current text says that any of the active UICC applications of appropriate type (USIM, ISIM) may be chosen by GBA function. This behaviour gives undeterministic results and thus is not understandable by the user:

We propose to always choose the “last selected” UICC application of the appropriate type. As this selection process takes place only within active UICC applications, this “last selected” UICC application always exists as it is always stored on UICC after a successful selection (cf. TS 31.102 and TS 31.103, chapters on intialisation). For the user it means that the UICC application selected last during the current activation period of UICC is used for GBA, which is understandable for the user and might be a good guess in most cases.

If no preference is indicated by Ua application (i.e. both USIM and ISIM are appropriate), and both a “last selected” USIM and a “last selected” ISIM are active, then the “last selected” USIM is chosen. Rationale behind this proposal is that a Ua application which is aware and in need of an ISIM will always indicate this to GBA function (cf. section 5.1.1 of Presence TS 33.141), but that a more general Ua application, perhaps not even aware of the existence of ISIMs, e.g. a browser, is better served by choosing the USIM.

2.4 Choice of USIM as last fallback

If the type indicated by the Ua application was ISIM, but the ISIM cannot be used, then the GBA function shall try the type USIM; otherwise the selection process fails. This constitutes no change from the existing specification, which also gave the USIM as “last chance” in case no user dialogue is offered by GBA function (in second bullet of step d in S3-040648).

Correction: the old text referred to a so-called “default USIM”. This term is not specified anywhere in TS 31.102. As probably the “last selected” application was meant, and as this makes sense, the “last selected USIM” was specified here.

2.5 Editorial clarifications

2.5.1 selection, activation, choice

The existing specification uses the words selection and activation. Both are used in a different way compared to other 3GPP specifications, in particular TS 21.111 (IC Card), TS 31.102 (USIM) and TS 31.103 (ISIM).

Use in these TSs:

Activation:

- The UICC is activated on power on. This is not an activation of any UICC application, but the UICC reaches the state “Application Management” (cf. ETSI 102.221). Thus we should not use the terms “activation” or “activate” for UICC applications.
- Unfortunately the terminology in the above mentioned TSs also knows the words “activated” and “active” for UICC applications (see below under selection). This is the state a UICC application is in after “successful

selection” (which includes the choice of the UICC application, and the following initialisation including access control, e.g. PIN). But there is no “Activation” of a UICC application. (ETSI 102.221 knows also the term “application session activation” for selection and initialisation of an UICC application in contrast to the term “UICC activation”. But this is not mentioned in the 3GPP TSs).

.Selection: Selection is the process when the ME selects a UICC application on UICC and then initialises it. After successful selection the UICC application is activated or active.

We propose for the current specification the following terminology:

Choice, choose: Choosing of a UICC application used for the GBA request of the Ua application, either indicated by Ua application to GBA function, or chosen by the user in case of a user dialogue offered by GBA function, or chosen internally by GBA function without user dialogue.

Activation, activate, active. This term is only used for description of the state the UICC application is in after successful selection. There is no activation procedure in the scope of this specification, as activation of UICC is outside the scope.

Selection: Selection (and consecutive initialisation) of a UICC application by GBA function. After successful selection the UICC application is active.

This terminology keeps the best possible conformance with the above mentioned TSs.

2.5.2 “application on ME”, “GBA application”, “ME”

The existing specification uses the above words, with GBA application and ME used for the same instance. To get a clearer distinction of words and a consistent usage we propose the following wording:

Ua application: application on ME that wants to use GBA bootstrapping usage procedure over Ua and is in need of a K_s_NAF .

GBA function: Function on ME supporting the GBA bootstrapping procedure over Ub.

3 Proposal

We ask SA3 to endorse the changes to USIM/ISIM selection procedure described in section 2. Attached is a CR implementing the changes to TS 33.220.

CHANGE REQUEST

33.220 **CR 021** rev - Current version: 6.2.0

For **HELP** on using this form, see bottom of this page or look at the pop-up text over the symbols.

Proposed change affects: UICC apps ME Radio Access Network Core Network

Title:	Details of USIM/ISIM selection in GAA		
Source:	Siemens, Nokia		
Work item code:	SEC1-SC	Date:	27/09/2004
Category:	C	Release:	Rel-6
	Use <u>one</u> of the following categories: F (correction) A (corresponds to a correction in an earlier release) B (addition of feature), C (functional modification of feature) D (editorial modification) Detailed explanations of the above categories can be found in 3GPP TR 21.900 .		Use <u>one</u> of the following releases: 2 (GSM Phase 2) R96 (Release 1996) R97 (Release 1997) R98 (Release 1998) R99 (Release 1999) Rel-4 (Release 4) Rel-5 (Release 5) Rel-6 (Release 6)

Reason for change:	At SA3#34 a new section 4.4.8 of TS 33.220 dealing with selection of UICC application for GBA was introduced (approved CR S3-040648). This document points to a necessary correction and, in addition, proposes improvements to the selection process as defined at SA3#34.
Summary of change:	The correction concerns the fact that a "default USIM" is not defined in 3GPP specifications, and that the term "selection" is used in a way not compatible with other 3G specifications. The two main goals of the improvements are (i) the optional possibility for a Ua application to choose a particular UICC application (not only UICC type) and (ii) more deterministic behaviour and better understandability of the selection process by the user.
Consequences if not approved:	Specification stays inconsistent with regard to the corrections. Sub-optimal behaviour of UICC application selection.

Clauses affected:	2, 3.1, 4.4.8										
Other specs affected:	<table border="1" style="display: inline-table; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 20px; text-align: center;">Y</td> <td style="width: 20px; text-align: center;">N</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"> </td> <td style="text-align: center;">X</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"> </td> <td style="text-align: center;">X</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"> </td> <td style="text-align: center;">X</td> </tr> </table>	Y	N		X		X		X	Other core specifications Test specifications O&M Specifications	
Y	N										
	X										
	X										
	X										
Other comments:											

===== BEGIN CHANGE =====

2 References

The following documents contain provisions which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of the present document.

- References are either specific (identified by date of publication, edition number, version number, etc.) or non-specific.
- For a specific reference, subsequent revisions do not apply.
- For a non-specific reference, the latest version applies. In the case of a reference to a 3GPP document (including a GSM document), a non-specific reference implicitly refers to the latest version of that document *in the same Release as the present document*.

- [1] 3GPP TS 31.102: "3rd Generation Partnership Project; Technical Specification Group Terminals; Characteristics of the USIM application".
- [2] 3GPP TS 33.102: "3rd Generation Partnership Project; Technical Specification Group Services and System Aspects; 3G Security; Security architecture".
- [3] Franks J., et al.: "HTTP Authentication: Basic and Digest Access Authentication", RFC 2617, June 1999.
- [4] A. Niemi, et al.: "Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP) Digest Authentication Using Authentication and Key Agreement (AKA)", RFC 3310, September 2002.
- [5] 3GPP TS 33.221: "3rd Generation Partnership Project; Technical Specification Group Services and System Aspects; Generic Authentication Architecture (GAA); Support for Subscriber Certificates".
- [6] T. Dierks, et al.: "The TLS Protocol Version 1.0", RFC 2246, January 1999.
- [7] OMA: "Provisioning Content Version 1.1", Version 13-Aug-2003. Open Mobile Alliance.
- [8] 3GPP TS 23.228: "3rd Generation Partnership Project; Technical Specification Group Services and System Aspects; IP Multimedia Subsystem (IMS); Stage 2 (Release 6)".
- [9] IETF RFC 3546 (2003): "Transport Layer Security (TLS) Extensions".
- [10] 3GPP TS 31.103: "3rd Generation Partnership Project; Technical Specification Group Terminals; Characteristics of the IP Multimedia Services Identity Module (ISIM) application".
- [11] 3GPP TS 23.003: "3rd Generation Partnership Project; Technical Specification Group Core Network; Numbering, addressing and identification".
- [12] IETF RFC 3548 (2003): "The Base16, Base32, and Base64 Data Encodings".
- [13] 3GPP TS 33.210: "3rd Generation Partnership Project; Technical Specification Group Services and System Aspects; 3G Security; Network domain security; IP network layer security".
- [14] IETF RFC 3588 (2003): "Diameter Base Protocol".
- [15] [3GPP TS 31.101: "3rd Generation Partnership Project; Technical Specification Group Terminals; UICC-terminal interface: Physical and logical characteristics "](#).
- [16] [3GPP TS 33.203: "3rd Generation Partnership Project; Technical Specification Group Services and System Aspects; 3G security: Access security for IP-based services \(Release 6\)"](#)

===== BEGIN NEXT CHANGE =====

3.1 Definitions

For the purposes of the present document, the following terms and definitions apply:

Bootstrapping Server Function: BSF is hosted in a network element under the control of an MNO.

Editor's note: Definition to be completed.

ME-based GBA: in GBA_ME, all GBA-specific functions are carried out in the ME. The UICC is GBA-unaware. If the term GBA is used in this document without any further qualification then always GBA_ME is meant, see clause 4 of this specification.

UICC-based GBA: this is a GBA with UICC-based enhancement. In GBA_U, the GBA-specific functions are split between ME and UICC, see clause 5 of this specification.

Network Application Function: NAF is hosted in a network element under the control of an MNO.

Editor's note: Definition to be completed.

Bootstrapping Transaction Identifier: the bootstrapping transaction identifier (B-TID) is used to bind the subscriber identity to the keying material in reference points Ua, Ub and Zn.

GBA User Security Setting: An application-specific parameter set describing the security related usage of bootstrapping function by the BSF and, optionally, some types of NAFs in the context of an application and in relation to a subscriber. A user security setting has two parts, an authentication part, which contains the list of identities of the user needed for the application (e.g. IMPI, IMPUs, MSISDN, pseudonyms), and an authorisation part, which contains the user permission flags (e.g. access to application allowed, type of certificates which may be issued). Sometimes also called application-specific user security setting.

GBA User Security Settings: the set of all application-specific user security settings.

[Bootstrapping Usage Procedure: A procedure using bootstrapped security association over Ua reference point.](#)

[Ua Application: An application on the ME intended to run bootstrapping usage procedure with a NAF.](#)

[GBA Function: An entity on the ME executing the bootstrapping procedure with BSF \(i.e. supporting the Ub reference point\) and providing Ua applications with security association to run bootstrapping usage procedure. GBA function is called by a Ua application when a Ua application wants to use bootstrapped security association.](#)

===== BEGIN NEXT CHANGE =====

4.4.8 Requirements on selection of UICC application and related keys

When several applications are present on the UICC, which are capable of running AKA, then the ME shall ~~select~~choose one of these UICC applications for performing the GBA procedures specified in this document in the following order of preference:

1. The UE determines which UICC application is to be involved:

- a. the application on the ME that needs Ks_NAF ([Ua application](#)) may indicate to the GBA ~~application-support function (GBA function)~~ the type [or the name](#) of the UICC application: no preference, USIM, ~~or~~ ISIM, [or the "Label" \(see definition in TS 31.101 \[15\]\) of the UICC application.](#)

[If the application on the ME indicated a "Label" of the UICC application, step b below shall be executed.](#)

[If the application on the ME indicated that the UICC application type should be:](#)

- the USIM on the UICC; step b below is skipped and in steps [c and d](#) only USIM applications are considered.
- the ISIM on the UICC; step ~~e-b~~ below is skipped and in steps [c and d](#) only ISIM applications are considered.

If the application on the ME did not indicate a preference, step b below is skipped and the selection process is executed as described below starting with step c,

- b. if a "Label" was indicated in step a, the GBA function shall select (see definition in TS 31.102 [1]) the UICC application with the "Label" indicated; if selection of this UICC application does not succeed the selection procedure fails;
- c. if no "Label" was indicated in step a, the ME-GBA function shall ~~select~~ choose among the active ISIMs UICC applications; if there is more than one active ISIM UICC application, the UE-GBA function may show a ~~an~~ ISIM UICC application selection-choosing dialogue to the end user (the list contains the "Labels" from the application list of the UICC), from which the end user chooses selects the UICC application to be selected ISIM; if no dialogue is shown the ME-GBA function shall select ~~any one of the active ISIMs~~ the "last selected" active UICC application; in case the Ua application indicated "no preference" and both a "last selected" USIM and a "last selected" ISIM are active, then the "last selected" USIM is selected.
- ~~e. the ME shall select among the active USIMs; if there is more than one active USIM, the UE may show a USIM selection dialog to the end user (the list contains the "Labels" from the application list of the UICC); from which the end user selects the USIM; if no dialogue is shown the ME shall select any one of the active USIMs.~~
- d. if there are no UICC applications active:
 - if there is only one UICC application, the ~~UE~~ GBA function ~~activates~~ selects it, if possible, ~~and selects it;~~
 - if there is more than one UICC application, the ~~UE~~ GBA function may show a UICC application ~~selection~~ choosing dialogue to the end user (the list contains the "Labels" from the application list of the UICC), from which the end user ~~selects~~ chooses the UICC application to be ~~activated~~ selected; if no dialogue is shown the ~~ME~~ GBA function shall ~~activate~~ select the ~~default USIM~~ "last selected" UICC application, if possible, ~~and select it.~~
- e. if the type indicated in step a and used in step d was ISIM, but there was no ISIM to select, then step d is repeated with type USIM; otherwise the selection process fails.

NOTE 1: Step e is required for the case that an ISIM as defined in TS 33.203 [16] may be realised using a USIM application on the UICC.

2. If there already is a key Ks derived from the ~~chosen~~ selected UICC application, the UE takes this key to derive Ks_NAF.
3. If there is no such key Ks, the UE first runs the Ub protocol involving the selected UICC application and then goes to step 2.

If a USIM is ~~chosen~~ selected, the IMPI obtained from the IMSI stored on the USIM as specified in 3GPP TS 23.003 section 13.3 [11], is used in the protocol run over Ub.

NOTE 4~~2~~: Strictly speaking, an IMPI, and the derivation of an IMPI from an IMSI as in 3GPP TS 23.003 section 13 [11] are only defined in the context of the IMS. For the purposes of this specification, however, an identifier obtained from an IMSI as specified in 3GPP TS 23.003 section 13.3 [11] is also called an IMPI, even if the user has no IMS subscription.

If an ISIM is selected, the IMPI stored on the ISIM is used in the protocol run over Ub.

Whenever ~~an~~ UICC application is successfully selected or terminated ~~ISIM or a USIM is activated or deactivated~~, the rules in this subsection for ~~selecting~~ choosing the UICC application are re-applied and, consequently, the ~~selected~~ UICC application chosen for GBA may change.

Whenever a UICC application is ~~terminated~~ de-selected the shared key Ks established from it in the protocol over the Ub reference point (according to sections 4.5.2 and 5.3.2) shall be deleted.

NOTE 2~~3~~: At any one time, there is at most one UICC application ~~chosen~~ selected for performing the GBA procedures.

NOTE 3~~4~~: The Ua applications ~~on the ME~~ can continue using the NAF specific keys derived also after the shared key Ks itself has been deleted until the key lifetime expires.

===== END CHANGE =====