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##### 4.2.2.*2*.2 Protection at the transport layer

*Requirement Name:* Protection at the transport layer

*Requirement Reference:* TS 33.501 [10], clause 5.9.2.1, clause 13.1, clause 13.3.2

*Requirement Description*:

NF Service Request and Response procedure supports mutual authentication between NF consumer and NF producer as specified in TS 33.501 [10], clause 5.9.2.1 .

All network functions supports TLS. Network functions support both server-side and client-side certificates. The TLS profile follows the profile given in Annex E of TS 33.310 [9] with the restriction to be compliant with the profile given by HTTP/2 as defined in RFC 7540 [11] as specified in TS 33.501 [10], clause 13.1.

Authentication between network functions within one PLMN uses one of the following methods:

- If the PLMN uses protection at the transport layer as described in clause 13.1, authentication provided by the transport layer protection solution is used for authentication between NFs as specified in TS 33.501 [10], clause 13.3.2.

This test case only applies to service-based interfaces.

*Threat References*: TR 33.926 [4], clause 5.3.6.3, Weak cryptographic algorithms

*Test case*:

**Test Name:** TC\_PROTECT\_TRANSPORT\_LAYER

**Purpose:**

Verify that TLS protocol for NF mutual authentication and NF transport layer protection is implemented in the network products based on the profile required.

**Procedure and execution steps:**

**Pre-Conditions:**

Network product documentation containing information about supported TLS protocol and certificates is provided by the vendor.

A peer implementing the TLS protocol configured by the vendor shall be available.

The tester shall base the tests on the profile defined by 3GPP in Annex E of TS 33.310 [9] with the restriction that it shall be compliant with the profile given by HTTP/2 as defined in RFC 7540 [11].

**Execution Steps**

1. The tester shall check that compliance with the TLS profile can be inferred from detailed provisions in the network product documentation.

2. The tester shall establish a secure connection between the network product under test and the peer and verify that all TLS protocol versions and combinations of cryptographic algorithms that are mandated by the TLS profile are supported by the network product under test.

3. The tester shall try to establish a secure connection between the network product under test and the peer and verify that this is not possible when the peer only offers a feature, including protocol version and combination of cryptographic algorithms, that is forbidden by the TLS profile.

**Expected can Results:**

- The network product under test and the peer establish TLS if the TLS profiles used by the peer are compliant with the profile requirements in TS 33.310 [9] Annex E and RFC 7540 [11].

- The network product under test and the peer fail to establish TLS if the TLS profiles used by the peer are forbidden in TS 33.310 [9] Annex E or RFC 7540 [11].

**Expected format of evidence:**

Provide evidence of the check of the product documentation in plain text. Save the logs and the communication flow in a .pcap file.
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