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# Foreword

This Technical Report has been produced by the 3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP).

The contents of the present document are subject to continuing work within the TSG and may change following formal TSG approval. Should the TSG modify the contents of the present document, it will be re-released by the TSG with an identifying change of release date and an increase in version number as follows:

Version x.y.z

where:

x the first digit:

1 presented to TSG for information;

2 presented to TSG for approval;

3 or greater indicates TSG approved document under change control.

y the second digit is incremented for all changes of substance, i.e. technical enhancements, corrections, updates, etc.

z the third digit is incremented when editorial only changes have been incorporated in the document.

In the present document, modal verbs have the following meanings:

**shall** indicates a mandatory requirement to do something

**shall not** indicates an interdiction (prohibition) to do something

The constructions "shall" and "shall not" are confined to the context of normative provisions, and do not appear in Technical Reports.

The constructions "must" and "must not" are not used as substitutes for "shall" and "shall not". Their use is avoided insofar as possible, and they are not used in a normative context except in a direct citation from an external, referenced, non-3GPP document, or so as to maintain continuity of style when extending or modifying the provisions of such a referenced document.

**should** indicates a recommendation to do something

**should not** indicates a recommendation not to do something

**may** indicates permission to do something

**need not** indicates permission not to do something

The construction "may not" is ambiguous and is not used in normative elements. The unambiguous constructions "might not" or "shall not" are used instead, depending upon the meaning intended.

**can** indicates that something is possible

**cannot** indicates that something is impossible

The constructions "can" and "cannot" are not substitutes for "may" and "need not".

**will** indicates that something is certain or expected to happen as a result of action taken by an agency the behaviour of which is outside the scope of the present document

**will not** indicates that something is certain or expected not to happen as a result of action taken by an agency the behaviour of which is outside the scope of the present document

**might** indicates a likelihood that something will happen as a result of action taken by some agency the behaviour of which is outside the scope of the present document

**might not** indicates a likelihood that something will not happen as a result of action taken by some agency the behaviour of which is outside the scope of the present document

In addition:

**is** (or any other verb in the indicative mood) indicates a statement of fact

**is not** (or any other negative verb in the indicative mood) indicates a statement of fact

The constructions "is" and "is not" do not indicate requirements.

# 1 Scope

The present document investigates the security and privacy aspects of satellite access/NTN. The study is based on the architectural and functional requirements on integration of satellite components in the 5GS/EPS architecture, so as to ensure that the proposed solutions address the security and privacy implications on the architecture enhancements agreed in TR 23.700-28 [x]. Specifically, it covers the following:

- The identified security and privacy issues, threats, and potential requirements for protecting the UE in the enhanced 5GS/EPS architecture supporting discontinuous coverage with satellite access;

- The potential solutions addressing the identified security and privacy issues as above.

# 2 References

The following documents contain provisions which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of the present document.

- References are either specific (identified by date of publication, edition number, version number, etc.) or non‑specific.

- For a specific reference, subsequent revisions do not apply.

- For a non-specific reference, the latest version applies. In the case of a reference to a 3GPP document (including a GSM document), a non-specific reference implicitly refers to the latest version of that document *in the same Release as the present document*.

[1] 3GPP TR 21.905: "Vocabulary for 3GPP Specifications".

[2] 3GPP TR 23.700-28: "Study on Integration of satellite components in the 5G architecture; Phase 2".

[3] 3GPP TS 33.501: "Security architecture and procedures for 5G System".

[4] 3GPP TS 23.501: "System architecture for the 5G System (5GS); Stage 2".

# 3 Definitions of terms, symbols and abbreviations

## 3.1 Terms

For the purposes of the present document, the terms given in 3GPP TR 21.905 [1] and the following apply. A term defined in the present document takes precedence over the definition of the same term, if any, in 3GPP TR 21.905 [1].

**example:** text used to clarify abstract rules by applying them literally.

## 3.2 Symbols

For the purposes of the present document, the following symbols apply:

<symbol> <Explanation>

## 3.3 Abbreviations

For the purposes of the present document, the abbreviations given in 3GPP TR 21.905 [1] and the following apply. An abbreviation defined in the present document takes precedence over the definition of the same abbreviation, if any, in 3GPP TR 21.905 [1].

<ABBREVIATION> <Expansion>

# 4 Assumptions

Discontinuous satellite coverage for satellite access in the context of this study is characterized by the fact that Uu interface is available for the UE less than 100% of the time, due to predictable patterns of satellite coverage.

According to TR 23.700-28 [x], the work on satellite access focuses on further 5GC/EPC enhancements to support discontinuous satellite access, which mainly aim for mobility enhancement and power saving optimizations. Therefore, security solutions should be applicable to both 5GS and EPS while minimizing the impact on 5GS and EPS protocols, and not adversely affect mobility enhancement and power saving optimizations.

# 5 Key issues

## 5.1 Key issue #1: Protection of satellite coverage information received by 5GC/EPC

### 5.1.1 Key issue details

To support mobility enhancement and power saving optimization, multiple solutions were documented in TR 23.700-28 [2], which require 5GC/EPC to move the UE into CM-IDLE state and provide power saving parameters or mobility management parameters properly, when the UE is about to leave satellite coverage. This requires that satellite coverage availability information in the affected area to be made aware of by 5GC/EPC. According to the conclusions in TR 23.700-28 [2] and normative work in 23.501 [4], such satellite coverage availability information could be received by 5GC/EPC from different potential sources, e.g. the OAM, the AF/external server (e.g. Coverage Map Server). While the sources like the OAM could be trusted, the sources like the AF/external server may not always be trusted.

### 5.1.2 Threats

If 5GC/EPC receives falsified or tampered satellite coverage availability information, the 5GC/EPC may be misled to put a CM-CONNECTED UE into CM-IDLE state when the UE is still in satellite coverage, leading to service interruption; or the 5GC/EPC may be misled to provide inappropriate mobility management parameters and/or power saving parameters to the UE, which fails the optimization of power consumption. Both cases are a type of DoS attack on the UE.

If an AF is not authorized to provide satellite coverage availability information in the affected area, the 5GC/EPC may also suffer from the above threat.

### 5.1.3 Potential security requirements

The 5GS/EPS shall provide a means to ensure that the AF/external server is authorized to provide satellite coverage availability information to 5GC/EPC.

## 5.X Key issue #X: <Title>

### 5.X.1 Key issue details

### 5.X.2 Threats

### 5.X.3 Potential security requirements

# 6 Solutions

Editor's Note: This clause contains the proposed solutions addressing the identified key issues.



## 6.1 Solution #1: AF authorization for providing satellite coverage information in 5GS

### 6.1.1 Introduction

This solution addresses the requirement in Key Issue #1 "*The 5GS/EPS shall provide a means to ensure that the AF is authorized to provide satellite coverage availability information to 5GC/EPC*".

### 6.1.2 Solution details

It is proposed that the AF providing satellite coverage information is authorized using one of the following methods:

- Based on local NEF configuration on authorization.

- OAuth token-based authorization by the NEF as defined in clause 12.4 of TS 33.501 [3] is reused.

- If the CAPIF is supported by the NEF, the authorization mechanism defined in clause 12.5 of TS 33.501 [3] is reused.

### 6.1.3 Evaluation

The solution is based on either the local configuration on authorization in the NEF or the existing OAuth token-based authorization mechanisms supported by the AF and NEF. Hence it has no impact on the existing system.

The solution only applies to 5GS.

## 6.Y Solution #Y: <Title>

### 6.Y.1 Introduction

Editor’s Note: Each solution should list the key issues being addressed.

### 6.Y.2 Solution details

### 6.Y.3 Evaluation

Editor’s Note: Each solution should motivate how the potential security requirements of the key issues being addressed are fulfilled.

# 7 Conclusions

## 7.1 Conclusion on Key Issue #1

For key issue #1 on protection of satellite coverage information received by the 5GC/EPC, the existing mechanisms for AF authorization defined in clause 12 of TS 33.501 [3] can be reused. Hence no normative work is needed.

Editor’s Note: Conclusion for EPS support is FFS.
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