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# Foreword

This Technical Report has been produced by the 3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP).

The contents of the present document are subject to continuing work within the TSG and may change following formal TSG approval. Should the TSG modify the contents of the present document, it will be re-released by the TSG with an identifying change of release date and an increase in version number as follows:

Version x.y.z

where:

x the first digit:

1 presented to TSG for information;

2 presented to TSG for approval;

3 or greater indicates TSG approved document under change control.

y the second digit is incremented for all changes of substance, i.e. technical enhancements, corrections, updates, etc.

z the third digit is incremented when editorial only changes have been incorporated in the document.

In the present document, modal verbs have the following meanings:

**shall** indicates a mandatory requirement to do something

**shall not** indicates an interdiction (prohibition) to do something

The constructions "shall" and "shall not" are confined to the context of normative provisions, and do not appear in Technical Reports.

The constructions "must" and "must not" are not used as substitutes for "shall" and "shall not". Their use is avoided insofar as possible, and they are not used in a normative context except in a direct citation from an external, referenced, non-3GPP document, or so as to maintain continuity of style when extending or modifying the provisions of such a referenced document.

**should** indicates a recommendation to do something

**should not** indicates a recommendation not to do something

**may** indicates permission to do something

**need not** indicates permission not to do something

The construction "may not" is ambiguous and is not used in normative elements. The unambiguous constructions "might not" or "shall not" are used instead, depending upon the meaning intended.

**can** indicates that something is possible

**cannot** indicates that something is impossible

The constructions "can" and "cannot" are not substitutes for "may" and "need not".

**will** indicates that something is certain or expected to happen as a result of action taken by an agency the behaviour of which is outside the scope of the present document

**will not** indicates that something is certain or expected not to happen as a result of action taken by an agency the behaviour of which is outside the scope of the present document

**might** indicates a likelihood that something will happen as a result of action taken by some agency the behaviour of which is outside the scope of the present document

**might not** indicates a likelihood that something will not happen as a result of action taken by some agency the behaviour of which is outside the scope of the present document

In addition:

**is** (or any other verb in the indicative mood) indicates a statement of fact

**is not** (or any other negative verb in the indicative mood) indicates a statement of fact

The constructions "is" and "is not" do not indicate requirements.

# Introduction

This clause is optional. If it exists, it shall be the second unnumbered clause.

# 1 Scope

The objectives of this study are to identify key issues, potential security and privacy requirements and solutions with respect to:

* Whether and how to identify, authenticate and authorize the Authenticable Non-3GPP devices behind the Residential Gateway (RG) connecting to the network.
* Whether and how to identify, authenticate and authorize the 3GPP devices (UE or N5CW devices) behind the Residential Gateway (RG) connecting to the network.
* Security aspects of supporting slice in 5WWC.

# 2 References

The following documents contain provisions which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of the present document.

- References are either specific (identified by date of publication, edition number, version number, etc.) or non‑specific.

- For a specific reference, subsequent revisions do not apply.

- For a non-specific reference, the latest version applies. In the case of a reference to a 3GPP document (including a GSM document), a non-specific reference implicitly refers to the latest version of that document *in the same Release as the present document*.

[1] 3GPP TR 21.905: "Vocabulary for 3GPP Specifications".

[2] 3GPP TR 23700-17 "Study on the support for 5WWC, Phase 2"

[3] 3GPP TR 33.316 "Wireless and wireline convergence access support for the 5G System (5GS)"

# 3 Definitions of terms, symbols and abbreviations

This clause and its three subclauses are mandatory. The contents shall be shown as "void" if the TS/TR does not define any terms, symbols, or abbreviations.

## 3.1 Terms

For the purposes of the present document, the terms given in 3GPP TR 21.905 [1] and the following apply. A term defined in the present document takes precedence over the definition of the same term, if any, in 3GPP TR 21.905 [1].

Definition format (Normal)

**<defined term>:** <definition>.

**example:** text used to clarify abstract rules by applying them literally.

## 3.2 Symbols

For the purposes of the present document, the following symbols apply:

Symbol format (EW)

<symbol> <Explanation>

## 3.3 Abbreviations

For the purposes of the present document, the abbreviations given in 3GPP TR 21.905 [1] and the following apply. An abbreviation defined in the present document takes precedence over the definition of the same abbreviation, if any, in 3GPP TR 21.905 [1].

Abbreviation format (EW)

<ABBREVIATION> <Expansion>

# 4 Assumptions

This clause contains assumptions for the study. If there are no assumptions at the end of the study, the clause will be removed before sending for approval.

# 5 Key issues

## 5.1 Key issue #1: Authentication of AUN3 device behind RG and supporting EAP

### 5.1.1 Key issue details

The AUN3 device is a non-3GPP device that does not support NAS over non-3GPP access, but it can be identified and/or authenticated by 5GC. For example, an AUN3 device may support EAP-AKA’, or does not support EAP based authentication but has a subscription with the 5GC.

This key issue considers AUN3 devices supporting EAP based authentication.

AUN3 devices supporting EAP can be connected to RG via WLAN or wireline. RG is connected to 5GC via 3GPP access or W-AGF as defined in TS 23.316[3] clause 4.10. Currently, authentication of these AUN3 devices is not sufficiently defined in 3GPP.

### 5.1.2 Threats

TBD.

### 5.1.3 Potential security requirements

TBD.

## 5.2 Key issue #2: Security aspect of slice information exposure of N3IWF/TNGF to UE

### 5.2.1 Key issue details

The solutions to KI #2 enable the selection of TNGF/N3IWF that support the S-NSSAI(s) required by the UE, as defined in 3GPP TR 23700-17[2]. Many solutions are presented, and one of the categories is:

* UE is able to discover the slice of TNGF/N3IWF and select TNGF/N3IWF accordingly.

Exposing the S-NSSAI information of the network nodes (TNGF/N3IWF) to any UE will cause privacy issues and should be studied.

### 5.2.2 Threats

Exposing the S-NSSAI information of the network nodes (TNGF/N3IWF) to any UE will cause privacy issues.

.

### 5.2.3 Potential security requirements

TBD.

## 5.3 Key issue #3: Security aspect of slice information exposure of N3IWF/TNGF

### 5.3.1 Key issue details

The solutions to KI #2 enable the selection of TNGF/N3IWF that support the S-NSSAI(s) required by the UE, as defined in 3GPP TR 23700-17[2]. Many solutions are presented, and one of the categories is.

* The UE chooses the default TNGF/N3IWF, and the network selects the appropriate TNGF/N3IWF based on UE slice requirements and relocates the TNGF.

The TR 23700-17 [2] few solutions, for example, solutions 10 and 11, include the IKE v2 procedure enhancement to relocate the TNGF. Security aspects of the solutions in this category should be studied.

### 5.3.2 Threats

TBD.

### 5.3.3 Potential security requirements

TBD.

# 6 Proposed solutions

Editor's Note: This clause contains the proposed solutions addressing the identified key issues.

## 6.0 Mapping of solutions to key issues

Table 6.0-1: Mapping of solutions to key issues

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Solutions | KI#1 | KI#2 | KI#3 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## 6.Y Solution #Y: <Title>

### 6.Y.1 Introduction

### 6.Y.2 Solution details

### 6.Y.3 Evaluation

# 7 Conclusions

Editor's Note: This clause contains the agreed conclusions that will form the basis for any normative work.
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