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\*\*\* START OF CHANGE \*\*\*

### 6.4.2 KAF re-keying

The KAF re-keying depends on the lifetime of the KAF and may be trigged by the AF, which means that when a new KAKMA is derived, the KAF will not be re-keyed automatically.

When the lifetime of KAF expires, the AF may reject UE’s access to the AF or refresh the KAF as description in clause 6.4.3 based on its policy. If the AF chooses to reject UE’s access, the AF may provide a cause indicating that the KAF has expired via Ua\* protocol specific means so that the UE can take appropriate action. If there has been a change of KAUSF (e.g., due to a successful run of primary authentication), the UE may re-try accessing the AF by using the A-KID derived from the new KAUSF.

\*\*\* END OF CHANGES \*\*\*