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\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* START OF CHANGES

4.2.2.6 Correct handling of protection policy mismatch

*Requirement Name:* Correct handling of protection policy mismatch

*Requirement Reference*: TS 33.501 [3], clause 13.2.3.6

*Requirement Description*:

"When a SEPP receives a data-type encryption or modification policy on N32-c as specified in clause 13.2.2.2, it shall compare it to the one that has been manually configured for this specific roaming partner and IPX provider. If a mismatch occurs for one of the two policies, the SEPP shall perform one of the following actions, according to operator policy:

- Send the error message <TBD> to the peer SEPP

- Create a local warning"

*Threat References:* TR 33.926 [4], clause G.2.3.2, Incorrect handling for protection policy mismatch

*Test case*:

**Test Name:** TC\_SEPP\_POLICY\_MISMATCH

**Purpose:**

Verify that the SEPP under test is able to identify the mismatch between the protection policies manually configured for a specific roaming partner and IPX provider and the protection policies received on N32-c connection, and take action accordingly.

**Procedure and execution steps:**

**Pre-Conditions:**

- Test environment with a peer SEPP instance (as cSEPP), which may be simulated.

- The SEPP under test and the peer SEPP have mutually authenticated and already established N32-c connection.

- Exchanging of Data-type encryption policies and Modification policies is required to be performed between the SEPP under test and the peer SEPP.

- The tester shall have access to the interfaces of the SEPP under test and the peer SEPP.

- The tester has configured on the SEPP under test the policies for receiving messages, i.e. the Data-type encryption policy *d* of the peer SEPP and the Modification policy *m* for the peer SEPP and an IPX provider *I* used for the peer SEPP.

- The tester has configured on the peer SEPP the policies for sending, i.e. the peer SEPP's Data-type encryption policy *d'* and the Modification policy *m'* for the IPX provider *I* used for the peer SEPP.

- There are three cases to test:

a) the data encryption policies *d* and *d'* are identical, the modification policies *m* and *m'* are different

b) the data encryption policies *d* and *d'* are different, the modification policies *m* and *m'* are identical

c) both the data encryption policies *d* and *d'* and the modification policies *m* and *m'* are different

NOTE: The test case below only applies in case the SEPP under test supports manual configuration of the data encryption policy and/or modification policy for the specific roaming partner and IPX provider.

- The tester has configured on SEPP under test the action to be taken for policy mismatch, which is sending error message.

**Execution Steps:**

For each of the three cases above, the following is executed

1. The peer SEPP sends a Security Parameter Exchange Request message to the SEPP under test including the peer SEPP's Data-type encryption policy *d'*, and the Modification policy *m'*.

2. The SEPP under test stores the received Data-type encryption policy *d'* and the Modification policy *m'*, then compare them with the Data-type encryption policy *d* and the Modification policy *m* configured on it.

**Expected Results:**

- The SEPP under test sends an error signalling message to the peer SEPP on the N32-c connection or logs the error.

**Expected format of evidence:**

Logs and the communication flow saved in a .pcap file.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* END OF CHANGES