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# Introduction

This email discussion is for Rel-16 NR V2X demodulation performance for single link in Agenda 6.2.4.1 and 6.2.4.2. For the information, in this meeting, email discussion will focus on finalizing performance requirements and closing all issues.

List of email discussion for 1st round is as follows:

* 1st round:
  + Topic#1: Performance requirements and draft CRs
* 2nd round: TBA

# Topic #1: Performance requirements and draft CRs

This section will treat the performance requirements based on companies’ simulation results. For draft CRs, please add comments directly in sub-section 1.3.2.

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2109569 | Qualcomm, Inc. | Proposal: Use the following guidelines to align PSBCH alignment results:  (1) Under the same propagation condition, code rate difference contributes to most of the performance difference between PSCCH and PSBCH.  (2) Higher speed (Doppler spread) yields better performance for PSBCH.  If the average alignment result has large deviation to the suggested value from the above guidelines, larger margin should be added to the final SNR requirement. |
| R4-2109192 | Intel Corporation | In this paper we provided alignment and impairment results for V2X demodulation PSSCH single link requirements. |
| R4-2109720 | LG Electronics Inc. | Draft CR for PSSCH demodulation requirements for NR V2X |
| R4-2110211 | MediaTek inc. | Proposal 1: The required SNR targeted 10% BLER for PSSCH test case with TDLA30ns-180Hz is about 13.1dB.  Proposal 2: The required SNR targeted 10% BLER for PSSCH test case with TDLA30ns-1400Hz is about 7.7dB.  Proposal 3: The required SNR targeted 10% BLER for PSSCH test case with TDLA30ns-2700Hz is about 2.9dB. |
| R4-2110516 | Huawei, HiSilicon | In this contribution, we provide our simulation results for PSSCH test. |
| R4-2109193 | Intel Corporation | Draft CR on NR V2X single link PSCCH requirements |
| R4-2110203 | MediaTek inc. | Proposal 1: The required SNR targeted 1% BLER for PSCCH test case is about 3.8dB. |
| R4-2110517 | Huawei, HiSilicon | In this contribution, we provide our simulation results on PSCCH test. |
| R4-2109048 | CATT, GOHIGH | CR for 38.101-4, Remove square bracket for PSBCH SNR value |
| R4-2109049 | CATT, GOHIGH | CR for 38.101-4, Introduce PSBCH performance requirements |
| R4-2109194 | Intel Corporation | In this paper we provided alignment and impairment results for NR V2X Single link PSBCH requirements. |
| R4-2110204 | MediaTek inc. | Proposal 1: The required SNR targeted 1% BLER for PSBCH test case is about -2.9dB |
| R4-2110518 | Huawei, HiSilicon | In this contribution, we provide our simulation results for PSBCH test |
| R4-2110519 | Huawei, HiSilicon | In this paper, we provide our simulation results for PSFCH performance test. |
| R4-2109047 | CATT, GOHIGH | In this contribution, the updated simulation results of single link test cases are provided based on the simulation assuptions. |

## Open issues summary

### Sub-topic 1-1

**Issue 1-1: PSBCH performance requirement**

* Proposals
  + Use the following guidelines to align PSBCH alignment results:
    - Under the same propagation condition, code rate difference contributes to most of the performance difference between PSCCH and PSBCH.
    - Higher speed (Doppler spread) yields better performance for PSBCH.
  + If the average alignment result has large deviation to the suggested value from the above guidelines, larger margin should be added to the final SNR requirement.
* Recommended WF
  + Need further discussion based on simulation results in Table 1

**Issue 1-2: Requirements for single link test cases**

* Moderator’s proposal based on updated companies’ simulation results
  + Simulation results in Table 1 are baseline to define performance requirements for single link test cases
    - The span for each test case is less than 2.5dB
  + Companies are encouraged to provide impairment results for test cases in 1st round.

Table 1 Simulation results w/o impairment for single link test case

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Test cases** | **LG** | **Intel** | **Huawei** | **QC** | **CATT, GOHIGH** | **MTK** | **STD** | **SPAN** | **AVE** |
| **PSSCH\_Test1  (QPSK\_TDLA30-2700)** | 1.23 | 1.40 | 1.47 | 1.63 | 1.28 | 2.90 | 0.63 | 1.67 | 1.65 |
| **PSSCH\_Test2  (16QAM\_TDLA30-1400)** | 5.77 | 5.90 | 7.41 | 7.66 | 6.95 | 7.70 | 0.87 | 1.93 | 6.90 |
| **PSSCH\_Test3 (64QAM\_TDLA30-180)** | 12.03 | 12.20 | 13.49 | 12.86 | 11.60 | 13.10 | 0.72 | 1.89 | 12.55 |
| **PSCCH** | 3.03 | 3.51 | 3.16 | 2.84 | 2.34 | 3.80 | 0.51 | 1.46 | 3.11 |
| **PSBCH** | -3.32 | -2.10 | -2.49 | -1.50 | -1.70 | -2.90 | 0.70 | 1.82 | -2.33 |
| **PSFCH** | 5.98 | 7.17 | 7.86 |  | 8.00 |  | 0.92 | 2.02 | 7.25 |

* Recommended WF
  + Accept the proposals if there are no any update of simulation results.

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

**PSBCH performance requirement**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| LG | Based on the companies’ results, the PSBCH performance seems to be quite aligned, so no larger margin is needed for final SNR requirement. |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Issue 1-2: Requirements for single link test cases**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| LG | Support recommended WF |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### CRs/TPs comments collection

*For close-to-finalize WIs and maintenance work, comments collections can be arranged for TPs and CRs. For ongoing WIs, suggest to focus on open issues discussion on 1st round.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Comments collection** |
| R4-2109720 (PSSCH) | LG : the final performance requirements for PSSCH will be updated based on conclusion of Issue 1-2. |
| Company B |
|  |
| R4-2109193 (PSCCH) | LG : the final performance requirements for PSCCH should be updated based on conclusion of Issue 1-2. |
| Company B |
|  |
| R4-2109048 (PSBCH) | LG : According to Big CR approach, no formal CR for each test case is needed. |
| Company B |
|  |
| R4-2109049 (PSBCH) | LG : the final performance requirements for PSBCH should be updated based on conclusion of Issue 1-2. |
| Company B |
|  |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary** |
| **Sub-topic #1** | *Tentative agreements:*  *Candidate options:*  *Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provides recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update*

*Note: The tdoc decisions shall be provided in Section 3 and this table is optional in case moderators would like to provide additional information.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation** |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

# Recommendations for Tdocs

## 1st round

**New tdocs**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Title** | **Source** | **Comments** |
| WF on … | YYY |  |
| LS on … | ZZZ | To: RAN\_X; Cc: RAN\_Y |
|  |  |  |

**Existing tdocs**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Tdoc number** | **Title** | **Source** | **Recommendation** | **Comments** |
| R4-210xxxx | CR on … | XXX | Agreeable, Revised, Merged, Postponed, Not Pursued |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Notes:

1. Please include the summary of recommendations for all tdocs across all sub-topics incl. existing and new tdocs.
2. For the Recommendation column please include one of the following:
   1. CRs/TPs: Agreeable, Revised, Merged, Postponed, Not Pursued
   2. Other documents: Agreeable, Revised, Noted
3. For new LS documents, please include information on To/Cc WGs in the comments column
4. Do not include hyper-links in the documents

## 2nd round

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Tdoc number** | **Title** | **Source** | **Recommendation** | **Comments** |
| R4-210xxxx | CR on … | XXX | Agreeable, Revised, Merged, Postponed, Not Pursued |  |
| R4-210xxxx | WF on … | YYY | Agreeable, Revised, Noted |  |
| R4-210xxxx | LS on … | ZZZ | Agreeable, Revised, Noted |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Notes:

1. Please include the summary of recommendations for all tdocs across all sub-topics.
2. For the Recommendation column please include one of the following:
   1. CRs/TPs: Agreeable, Revised, Merged, Postponed, Not Pursued
   2. Other documents: Agreeable, Revised, Noted
3. Do not include hyper-links in the documents