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# Introduction

*Briefly introduce background, the scope of this email discussion and provide some guidelines for email discussion if necessary.*

In RAN4#95e meeting, we have agreed all the core requirements for LTE mobility enhancement and the plan for performance requirements, the agreement and the plan were captured in the 2nd round email discussion summary R4-200993 and way forward R4-2009135. This email summary and way forward will be the input for this topic in RAN4#96e meeting.

According to the meeting agenda, we will have 2 topics for discussion:

* Core requirements
* Performance requirements

*List of candidate target of email discussion for 1st round and 2nd round*

* 1st round: Discuss the core requirements and the test cases for performance requirements. Get agreement on the core requirements, Get agreement on the test cases for performance requirements if possible.
* 2nd round: Get agreements on the remaining open issues after 1st round discussion. Get agreement on the CRs for performance requirements.

# Topic #1: Core requirements

*Main technical topic overview. The structure can be done based on sub-agenda basis.*

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2011127 | Huawei, Hisilicon | CR for correct Tinterrupt1 for async intra-frequency DAPS handover when the bandwidth of target cell is no larger than the bandwidth of source cell. |

## Open issues summary

*Before e-Meeting, moderators shall summarize list of open issues, candidate options and possible WF (if applicable) based on companies’ contributions.*

No open issues. Provide comments on CR directly in 1.3.2.

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

No open issues. Provide comments on CRs directly.

### CRs/TPs comments collection

*Major close-to-finalize WIs and Rel-15 maintenance, comments collections can be arranged for TPs and CRs. For Rel-16 on-going WIs, suggest to focus on open issues discussion on 1st round.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Comments collection** |
| R4-2011127 | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary** |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:*  *Candidate options:*  *Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

*Recommendations on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title** | **Assigned Company,**  **WF or LS lead** |
| #1 |  |  |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provides recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation** |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

## Summary on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 2nd round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs/WFs/LSs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP/LS/WF number** | **T-doc Status update recommendation** |
| XXX | *Based on 2nd round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

# Topic #2: Performance requirements

*Main technical topic overview. The structure can be done based on sub-agenda basis.*

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2011128 | Huawei, Hisilicon | **Proposal 1**: It is suggested that the DAPS handover tests consist of three successive time periods.   * The time duration T1 is the preparation period for the test. * During time duration T2, the handover delay Dhandover1 and the interruption time Tinterrupt1 for target cell addition need to be tested. * During time duration T3, the handover delay Dhandover2 and the interruption time Tinterrupt2 for source cell release need to be tested.   **Proposal 2**: The UE capable of synchronous DAPS handover needs to be tested in synchronous scenario.  **Proposal 3**: The UE capable of asynchronous DAPS handover needs to be tested in asynchronous scenario. |
| R4-2009885 | Qualcomm Incorporated | CR to introduce test cases for intra-frequency DAPS handover.   1. Adding LTE FDD-FDD intra-frequency DAPS HO test case (async) 2. Adding LTE TDD-TDD intra-frequency DAPS HO test case (sync) |
| R4-2011129 | Huawei, Hisilicon | CR to introduce test cases for inter-frequency DAPS handover.   * Intra-band Inter-frequency sync DAPS handover test for FDD-FDD * Intra-band Inter-frequency async DAPS handover test for FDD-FDD * Inter-band Inter-frequency sync DAPS handover test for FDD-FDD * Inter-band Inter-frequency async DAPS handover test for FDD-FDD |
| R4-2011432 | Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell | CR to introduce test cases for conditional handover.   * E-UTRAN FDD - FDD Intra-frequency conditional handover * E-UTRAN FDD - FDD Inter-frequency conditional handover |

## Open issues summary

*Before e-Meeting, moderators shall summarize list of open issues, candidate options and possible WF (if applicable) based on companies’ contributions.*

Based on the contributions, observations and proposals following list of sub-topics for further discussion and agreement have been identified:

1. Test setup for DAPS HO
2. Applicability rules for synchronous/asynchronous DAPS HO
3. whether CHO and inter-freq DAPS HO test cases should be defined only for FDD cases

### Sub-topic 2-1

*Sub-topic description:* Test setup for DAPS HO

*Open issues and candidate options before e-meeting:*

**Issue 2-1: Test setup for DAPS HO**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: DAPS handover tests consist of three successive time periods:
* The time duration T1 is the preparation period for the test.
* During time duration T2, the handover delay Dhandover1 and the interruption time Tinterrupt1 for target cell addition need to be tested.
* During time duration T3, the handover delay Dhandover2 and the interruption time Tinterrupt2 for source cell release need to be tested.
  + Option 2: DAPS handover tests consist of four successive time periods:
* The time duration T1: the UE may not have any timing information of cell 2.
* During time duration T2: The RRC message implying target cell add shall be sent to the UE during period T2, after the UE has reported Event A3.
* During period T3, UE is scheduled with PDSCH from cell 1 and cell 2 in alternative TTIs where cell 1 and cell 2 belong to the same TAG. E-UTRAN shall send another RRC message implying source cell release. The RRC message implying source cell release shall be sent to the UE during period T3, after the UE has successfully sent PRACH to cell 2.
* The start of the time duration T4 is defined as the end of the last TTI containing the RRC message implying source cell release.
  + Option 3: Align with the test setup rule in NR mobility enhancement WI.
* Recommended WF
  + Further discussion is needed for the test setup for DAPS HO test cases, suggest test setup for DAPS HO in LTE could be discussed together with the same topic in NR mobility enhancement WI.

### Sub-topic 2-2

*Sub-topic description:* Applicability rules for synchronous/asynchronous DAPS HO

*Open issues and candidate options before e-meeting:*

**Issue 2-2: Applicability rules for synchronous/asynchronous DAPS HO**

* Proposals
  + The UE capable of synchronous DAPS handover needs to be tested in synchronous scenario.
  + The UE capable of asynchronous DAPS handover needs to be tested in asynchronous scenario
* Recommended WF
  + Further discussion is needed for the applicability rules for synchronous/asynchronous DAPS HO test cases.

### Sub-topic 2-3

*Sub-topic description:* whether CHO and inter-freq DAPS HO test cases should be defined only for FDD cases

*Open issues and candidate options before e-meeting:*

**Issue 2-3:** whether CHO and inter-freq DAPS HO test cases should be defined only for FDD cases

Intra-frequency DAPS HO test cases are defined for both TDD and FDD, CHO and inter-frequency DAPS HO test cases are defined for FDD only.

* Recommended WF
  + Further discussion is needed whether CHO and inter-frequency DAPS HO test cases should be defined only for FDD cases.

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX | Sub topic 2-1:  Sub topic 2-2:  Sub topic 2-3:  ….  Others: |

### CRs/TPs comments collection

*Major close to finalize WIs and Rel-15 maintenance, comments collections can be arranged for TPs and CRs. For Rel-16 on-going WIs, suggest to focus on open issues discussion on 1st round.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Comments collection** |
| R4-2009885 | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| R4-2011129 | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| R4-2011432 | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary** |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:*  *Candidate options:*  *Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

*Suggestion on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title** | **Assigned Company,**  **WF or LS lead** |
| #1 |  |  |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation** |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

## Summary on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 2nd round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs/WFs/LSs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP/LS/WF number** | **T-doc Status update recommendation** |
| XXX | *Based on 2nd round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |