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1. Introduction

This is the summary of below offline discussion.

* [AT121][503][V2X/SL] R16 MAC corrections (LG)

**Scope:** Discuss corrections in R2-2300834/R2-2300835, R2-2300861/R2-2300862, and R2-2301525/R2-2301526. Merge agreeable corrections.

**Intended outcome:** 38.321 CR in R2-2302025/R2-2302026 and discussion summary in R2-2302027 (if needed).

**Deadline:** Comeback at 3/2 CB session

Contact list

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Name | Company | Email |
| Giwon Park | LG | giwon.park@lge.com |
| Qianxi Lu | OPPO | qianxi.lu@oppo.com |
| Chongming Zhang | Sharp | chongming.zhang@cn.sharp-world.com |

1. Discussion

## 2.1 For changes in R2-2300834 (For Rel-16)/ R2-2300835 (For Rel-17)

**Reason for change**: During the resource selection procedure for a single MAC PDU, UE needs to select the time and frequency resources according to the amount of selected frequency resources and the remaining PDB of SL data available in the logical channel(s) allowed on the carrier, and/or the latency requirement of the triggered SL-CSI reporting. However, during the resource selection procedure for multiple MAC PDU, the latency requirement of the triggered SL-CSI reporting is not considered.

**Change**: In section 5.22.1.1, during resource selection procedure for multiple MAC PDU, add corresponding descriptions to consider the latency requirement of the triggered SL-CSI reporting.

1> if the MAC entity has selected to create a selected sidelink grant corresponding to transmissions of multiple MAC PDUs, and SL data is available in a logical channel:

~

3> if transmission based on random selection is configured by upper layers:

4> randomly select the time and frequency resources for one transmission opportunity from the resources pool, according to the amount of selected frequency resources and the remaining PDB of SL data available in the logical channel(s) allowed on the carrier, and/or the latency requirement of the triggered SL-CSI reporting.

3> else:

4> randomly select the time and frequency resources for one transmission opportunity from the resources indicated by the physical layer as specified in clause 8.1.4 of TS 38.214 [7], according to the amount of selected frequency resources and the remaining PDB of SL data available in the logical channel(s) allowed on the carrier, and/or the latency requirement of the triggered SL-CSI reporting.

**Rapporteur view:** It is correct that a text considering the latency requirement of triggered SL-CSI reporting is missing from the multiple MAC PDU procedure.

**Q1: Would your company agree to the change proposed in R2-2300834 (For Rel-16)/ R2-2300835 (For Rel-17)?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree/Disagree | Further comments |
| LG | Disagree | OPPO’s observation is correct. Changed the view. |
| OPPO | Disagree | The reason that current spec is limited to single-PDU case is because R2 agreed that typically CSI-report is to be carried by one-shot resource selection, so that limit the impact to it and avoided the impact to multi-shot case.  From this perspective, the CR is to change the previous agreement, and thus NBC. |
| Sharp | Disagree | Share the view with OPPO. |

## 2.2 For changes in R2-2300861 (For Rel-16)/ R2-2300862 (For Rel-17)

**Reason for change**: According to MAC spec, when setting the cast type in SCI for a MAC PDU, it stated that:

|  |
| --- |
| 5> set the cast type indicator to one of broadcast, groupcast and unicast as indicated by upper layers; |

But if the MAC PDU containing only MAC CE(s), considering the MAC CE is generated by MAC layer, upper layer will not indicate the cast type. Hence, the current MAC spec is not correct.

In Rel-16, there is only one SL MAC CE transmitted in PC5, that is Sidelink CSI Reporting MAC CE, only unicast type is supported for it. In Rel-17, besides the Sidelink CSI Reporting MAC CE, three new SL MAC CEs (Sidelink DRX Command MAC CE, Inter-UE Coordination Request MAC CE and Inter-UE Coordination Information MAC CE) are introduced. For the first two SL MAC CEs, it is obvious that only unicast is used. For the last SL MAC CE, according to R2-2300896, same as the other SL MAC CEs, only unicast is used.

**Change in the R2-2300861 (For Rel-16)**: In subclause 5.22.1.3.1, clarify that the cast type indicator should be set as unicast for MAC PDU only containing SL MAC CE(s).

NOTE 3: Void.

5> if the MAC PDU only contains MAC CE:

6> set the cast type indicator to unicast.

5> else:

6> set the cast type indicator to one of broadcast, groupcast and unicast as indicated by upper layers.

**Change in the R2-2300862 (For Rel-17)**:

NOTE 3: Void.

5> if the MAC PDU is for NR sidelink discovery:

6> set the cast type indicator to broadcast.

5> else if the MAC PDU only contains MAC CE(s):

6> set the cast type indicator to unicast.

**Rapporteur view:** For R16 CR, correction is acceptable. For R17 CR, there is no need to modify the current text because condition based IUC supports GC/BC for IUC scheme 1.

**Q2: Would your company agree to the change proposed in R2-2300861 (For Rel-16)?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree/Disagree | Further comments |
| LG | Agree |  |
| OPPO | Disagree | In our view, there is nothing wrong in the current spec: Yes CSI reporting was limited to UC, yet the UC attributive including cast-type, L2 ID and etc are indicated by upper layer.  There seems no ambiguity for UE implementation without this CR. |
| Sharp | Agree |  |

**Q3: Would your company agree to the change proposed in R2-2300862 (For Rel-17)?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree/Disagree | Further comments |
| LG | Disagree |  |
| OPPO | Disagree | Comment as in Q2 above. |
| Sharp | Disagree | It is assumed that the Change in the R2-2300861 is enough and no need for the change in 0862. |

## 2.3 For changes in R2-2301525 (For Rel-16)/ R2-2301526 (For Rel-17)

**Reason for change**: In the current specification, when a UE performs MAC reset, the UE does not clear configured sidelink grant. This could lead to UE keep using NW configured sidelink resources after the serving cell changes or the NW releases the connection.

**Change**: Added that the UE clears configured sidelink grant when performing MAC reset.

If a reset of the MAC entity is requested by upper layers, the MAC entity shall:

~

1> clear, if any, configured sidelink grants;

**Rapporteur view:** Uplink configured grant is not cleared when a MAC reset occurs in Uu as well. Besides, RAN2 has never discussed or made an agreement on the correction.

**Q4: Would your company agree to the change proposed in R2-2301525 (For Rel-16)/ R2-2301526 (For Rel-17)?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree/Disagree | Further comments |
| LG | Disagree |  |
| OPPO | Disagree | Same view as Rapp. |
| Sharp | Disagree |  |

1. Conclusion