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# 1 Introduction

This document is aimed at discussing on the open issues, related to Discontinuous Coverage of IoT-NTN and identify potential agreements for possible convergence.

\* **[AT121][102][IoT NTN enh] Discontinuous coverage (Mediatek)**

Initial scope: Discuss proposals in 8.6.4

Initial intended outcome: Summary of the offline discussion with e.g.:

* List of proposals for agreement (if any)
* List of proposals that require online discussions

Important Dates:

**Deadline for companies' feedback: Wed 2023-03-01 06:00 EET**

**Deadline for rapporteur's summary (in R2-2301952): Wed 2023-03-01 12:00 EET**

# 2 Contact

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Delegate Contact |
| MediaTek | Abhishek Roy (Abhishek.Roy@mediatek.com) |
| InterDigital | Brian Martin (brian.martin@interdigital.com) |
| Ericsson | Ignacio Pascual (Ignacio.pascual.pelayo@ericsson.com) |
| Lenovo | Min Xu (xumin13@lenovo.com) |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# 3 Discussion

Discontinuous coverage was originally introduced as part of R-17 IoT-NTN Work Item. In R-18 IoT-NTN Work Item Description (WID), further enhancement to discontinuous coverage has been proposed, as mentioned in table below:

Table 1: Discontinuous Coverage in R-18 IoT-NTN WID

|  |
| --- |
| 4.1.3 Further enhancement to discontinuous coverage- Study and specify, if needed, mobility management enhancements and power saving enhancements for discontinuous coverage, taking into account the conclusions from the SA2 study FS\_5GSAT\_Ph2. [RAN2, RAN3]. |

Based on these WID objectives, several companies have provided contributions in RAN2 121. These contributions are categorized into different categories for possible discussion and agreements:

## 3.1 UE Assistance on Unreachability Period

Contributions in R2-2300878, R2-2300890, R2-2300982, R2-2301057, R2-2300501 and R2-2301603 have mentioned about UE providing out-of-coverage information as UE assistance to the network. Has further suggested the UE to reuse NR MUSIM procedure to leave RRC\_CONNECTED. Based on these contributions the rapporteur would like to ask the following question:

**Question 1: Do companies agree that UE should provide out-of-coverage information as an assistance to the network (gNB)?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree / Not Agree | Comments |
| InterDigital | FFS | SA2 agreed that UE provides unreachability information in the registration request. We need to discuss whether this is sufficient or not. |
| Lenovo | Yes | Information of UE prediction can help in network configuration on connection management as well as PSM. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Rapporteur Summary**

.

## 3.2a Earth moving cells additional Assistance Information to UE

In earth moving cell, it is possible that when UE attempts to initiate a connection establishment, the remaining time of coverage is too short to complete the connection establishment process. Hence, once the UE predicts the time of losing coverage, it can check whether the remaining time of current cell’s coverage it long enough to accommodate a connection establishment. If the remaining time is too short for the UE to establish a connection, it might be better not initiate the connection establishment to save power consumption. For quasi-earth fixed cell, t-service in SIB31 is provided, it indicates the time when cell stop provide coverage. However, for earth moving cell, there is no information in Rel-17 for UE to predict the time of losing coverage. Based on this understanding, the contributions in R2-2300926, R2-2301106 and R2-2300266 suggest including serving cell footprint information as an optional field in SIB31. Based on these contributions the rapporteur would like to ask the following question:

**Question 2a): Do companies agree that for earth-moving cells, the serving cell footprint information can be broadcast in SIB31 to allow the UE to verify if the remaining time of current cell’s coverage is sufficient to accommodate a new connection establishment.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree / Not Agree | Comments |
| InterDigital | Agree | We think a similar solution as NR can be used, however the details are still under discussion. |
| Lenovo | Agree |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Question 2b) Do the companies agree that the UE will not initiate the connection establishment if this remaining time in the current cell is not sufficient for a new connection establishment.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree / Not Agree | Comments |
| InterDigital | FFS | We do not think this is prevented even in R17, it’s up to UE implementation.  |
| Lenovo |  | This can be UE implementation. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Rapporteur Summary**

## 3.2b Additional measurement assistance information

In NR NTN, neighbour satellite information in SIB19 includes, among other things, ephemeris, and cell measurement assistance information, i.e., PCI and carrier frequency. In contrast, the present contents of SIB32 in IoT NTN only encompasses satellite and coverage related information. In R2-2301870, it is proposed to include additional measurement assistance information, such as PCI or carrier frequency, in SIB32 to assist UEs in accelerating measurements and re-gaining uplink sync more efficiently after a coverage gap.

Question 2c) Do companies agree that additional measurement assistance information may help UE accelerate measurements and re-gain uplink sync more efficiently?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree / Not Agree | Comments |
| Lenovo | FFS | For now we do not see clear need or benefit. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**3.3 Use of Dedicated signalling**

In R-18 IoT-NTN the option of providing satellite assistance information via dedicated signalling was raised and discussed briefly. However, it was not pursued due to lack to time. The contributions in R2-2300926, R2-2301254 and R2-2301870 suggest using dedicated RRC signalling for providing satellite information. Based on these contributions the rapporteur would like to ask the following question:

**Question 3: Do companies agree that dedicated RRC signalling will be used for providing satellite information corresponding to discontinuous coverage?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree / Not Agree | Comments |
| InterDigital | Agree | To overcome broadcast signalling limitation this seems like a reasonable approach. |
| Lenovo | Agree | OK to have |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 3.4 Connected Mode Changes

UE behaviour in connected mode is discussed in R2-2300501, R2-2300582, R2-2300751 and R2-2301254. Almost all these contributions suggest that upon detecting discontinuous coverage UE will enter the idle mode and suspend RLM, RLF detection, and RRC re-establishment process. Based on these contributions the rapporteur would like to ask the following question:

**Question 4a): Do companies agree that upon detecting discontinuous coverage a connected UE will enter the idle mode and suspend RLM, RLF detection, and RRC re-establishment process?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree / Not Agree | Comments |
| InterDigital | FFS | The question doesn’t make sense. If UE enters RRC\_IDLE then there is no RLM/RLF to suspend. We think that one of the following options make sense:1. UE is released to RRC\_IDLE
2. UE stays in RRC\_CONNECTED and suspends RLM/RLF.
 |
| Lenovo | FFS | This depends on Q1, i.e.:If UE can report its prediction, network can release UE at right time and thus suspending RLM, RLF detection, and RRC re-establishment process is not necessary.Else UE can suspend RLM, RLF detection, and RRC re-establishment process based on its prediction. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Rapporteur Summary**

Some companies have also suggested enhancements of RRC release procedure to support the discontinuous coverage. While the contribution in R2-2301057 suggests a new “Release Reason”, the contribution in R2-2301254 suggests releasing UE before discontinuous coverage and providing the new cell information for quick recovery. On the other hand, the contribution in R2-2301106 suggests introducing a redirect message to the UE. Based on these contributions the rapporteur would like to ask the following question:

**Question 4b): Do companies agree that RRC Release message needs some changes/enhancement to enhance discontinuous coverage?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree / Not Agree | Comments |
| InterDigital | FFS | It is not clear what the reason is. Already in R17 the NW can release the UE, and UE is allowed not to perform idle mode tasks. The main purpose would be to ensure UE comes back afterwards, we think it would be better to keep UE connected or suspended (e.g. in this case perhaps RRC Release can indicate certain things for suspend) for this purpose. |
| Lenovo | Yes | More information can be provided to UE e.g., configuration for resuming connection after the coverage interruption. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Rapporteur Summary**

## 3.5 Paging and Power Saving Issues

Many companies have suggested changes and improvements in paging and power saving aspects. The contributions in R2-2300582, R2-2300654, R2-2300751, R2-2300926, R2-2300982, R2-2301057 and R2-2301603 have suggested extension of monitoring, PTW adjustment, updating the PH and PO calculations, eDRX enhancements etc. As the solutions are quite wide, the rapporteur would first like to ask the following question:

**Question 5: Do companies agree that enhancement in paging and eDRX are needed to enhance discontinuous coverage?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree / Not Agree | Comments |
| InterDigital | Agree | All of the proposed options have pros and cons, we at least agree that it’s not always possible to ensure existing eDRX parameters can be matched to coverage and therefore the possibility exists that UEs can be unreachable for long periods of time. We think this proposal would be a good first step then we can discuss the various options. |
| Lenovo | Agree | At least for PSM configuration. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Rapporteur Summary**

**.**

## 3.6 Store Forward Architecture

The joint contribution in R2-2301886 by IoT-NTN operators suggests extending IoT-NTN in Store and Forward mode to facilitate cost-effective deployment of IoT NTN services and enable an immediate operational service with sparse LEO constellations and reduced ground segment infrastructure. According to this contribution, this could be simply performed by adding a new optional information element in SystemInformationBlockType31 to signal that the cell is operating in store and forward mode. Based on this contribution the rapporteur would like to raise the following question:

**Question 6: Do companies agree to include a new IE in SIB 31 to signal that the cell is operating in store and forward mode?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree / Not Agree | Comments |
| InterDigital | Not agree / FFS | While we have nothing against the technical proposal, since this is discussed in the context of a SA1 Rel-19 study and not currently in the scope of the R18 RAN work item then the issue is a matter for RAN, we don’t think RAN2 can make any decision at this time. |
| Lenovo | FFS | Not quite sure about the necessity. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Rapporteur Summary**

## 3.7 Others

Other contributions on this topic include the following:

* Specify AS-NAS interactions: R2-2300501, R2-2301057 supports, R2-2300926 opposes
* supporting discontinuous coverage in Inactive state: R2-2300751
* providing TN coverage information in discontinuous coverage: R2-2301188

As the support for most of these proposals is less and some might need SA2’s involvement, rapporteur would like not to raise discussions on these aspects in the current meeting.

# 4 Conclusion

**<To be Uploaded later>**
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