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# 1 Introduction

The following document is to collect input on way forward related to the following offline discussion:

* Offline 009 (Ericsson), to find agreeable reply to RAN4 LS, and make a report. can use TP to illustrate R2 impacts. Can also address P2 from Nokia tdoc.

Chair’s notes, see Annex

# 2 Contact information

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Name | Email address |
| Qualcomm Incorporated | Masato Kitazoe | mkitazoe@qti.qualcomm.com |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Tong Sha | shatong3@hisilicon.com |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 2 Discussion

## 2.1 On inter-operability concerns and feasibility

In their LS in [R2-2300048](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_121/Docs//R2-2300048.zip), RAN4 asked

* RAN4 would like to ask RAN2 if the above changes could simplify and reduce capability transfer for band combinations and if these changes are feasible and backwards compatible.

Main point is to consolidate the RAN2 view on the inter-op aspects of the RAN4-proposed changes. Some companies expressed in online discussion to go with the RAN4 solution, while others did not.

Generally, modifications of existing UE capability definitions are avoided, since there is a risk for inter-operability problems between UEs and networks that have implemented different interpretations of the UE capability. But in this case, no inter-operability issues are expected in practice since:

* No UEs support simultaneous Rx-Tx for overlapping TDD bands
* Existing networks configure synchronized and coordinated U/D patterns for overlapping TDD bands*.*

This was also indicated in the inter-operability analysis in draft CR in [R2-2301450](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_121/Docs//R2-2301450.zip).

The rapporteur therefore proposes to indicate the following in response LS to RAN4

* RAN2 does not see any incompatibility issues by modifying the capabilities as proposed by RAN4.  
  The changes proposed by RAN4 are feasible.

**Q1. Do you agree to indicate this in response LS to RAN4 (Yes/No)?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comments/Motivation |
| Qualcomm Incorporated | Yes | We do not know if “no UEs” supports simultaneous Rx-Tx for overlapping TDD bands, but the proposed response to RAN4 is fine from our perspective. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | No | According to RAN4’s LS, the UE can indicate support of simultaneousRxTx for overlapping TDD band pairs through the per band pair capability. Anyway, a finer granularity capability has been introduced for advanced flexibility, we don’t see the need to exclude some types of inter-band band pairs when reporting the perBC capability with the risk of NBC. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 2.2 On TS 38.306 wording proposal

During online discussion, concerns were raised that the text proposed by RAN4 was difficult to understand. E.g. not clear for which (parent) BCs the capabilities are applicable.

The Rapp indicated in online discussion that also if RAN4 proposal is not agreed, clarifications are needed.

**Q2: Does concern on wording difficulties need to be communicated to RAN4 (“Yes”), or can this be resolved by RAN2 in the design of CR to 38.306 (“No”)?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comments |
| Qualcomm Incorporated | No | We think the RAN4 text is difficult to understand, e.g. “UE capability is included if xxxx, unless yyyy…”.  We believe the specification text can focus on the applicability / scope of the UE capability parameter, instead of describing the conditions when the UE capability shall be included. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | See comments | We agree that the wording from RAN4’s LS is difficult to understand, but we think the intention of RAN4 is NBC from RAN2 perspective. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 2.3 Conflicting text for EN-DC

In [R2-2301718](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_121/Docs//R2-2301718.zip), it was pointed out that the following text (highlighted, introduced based on RAN4 LS in [R4-2107907](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_99-e/Docs//R4-2107907.zip)) is in conflict with the RAN4 proposal.

| ***simultaneousRxTxInterBandENDC***  Indicates whether the UE supports simultaneous transmission and reception in TDD-TDD and TDD-FDD inter-band (NG)EN-DC/NE-DC. It is mandatory for certain TDD-FDD and TDD-TDD band combinations defined in TS 38.101-3 [4].  This capability applies to:  - TDD-TDD and TDD-FDD Intra-band (NG)EN-DC/NE-DC combination supporting both UL and DL intra-band (NG)EN-DC/NE-DC parts with additional inter-band NR/LTE CA component;  - TDD-TDD and TDD-FDD Intra-band (NG)EN-DC/NE-DC combination without supporting UL in both the bands of the intra-band (NG)EN-DC/NE-DC UL part;  - TDD-TDD and TDD-FDD Inter-band (NG)EN-DC/NE-DC combination without Intra-band component.  This capability is not applicable to the inter-band (NG)EN-DC/NE-DC combination, where the frequency range of the E-UTRA band is a subset of the frequency range of the NR band (as specified in Table 5.5B.4.1-1 of TS 38.101-3 [4]). | BC | CY | N/A | N/A |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |

The rapporteur understands RAN4 missed in their LS to target this particular text. The rapporteur assumes highlighted text should be deleted, if the RAN4 proposal is implemented.

The rapporteur also notes that the highlighted sentence cover the case “the frequency range of the E-UTRA band is a subset of the frequency range of the NR band” but not the case “the frequency range of the NR band is a subset of the frequency range of the E-UTRA band”.

**Q3: If there is “Yes” on Q1, should RAN2 ask RAN4 about this conflicting text (“Yes”) or could RAN2 take care of tis during CR design (“No”)**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comments |
| Qualcomm Incorporated | Yes | Looks safer to confirm. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 2.4 UL CA and simultaneousRxTxInterBandCA

In [R2-2300553](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_121/Docs//R2-2300553.zip), RAN2 is proposed to confirm whether “it is possible (from specification viewpoint) for UE to support UL CA for FDD-TDD carrier if UE doesn’t support simultaneousRxTxInterBandCA”.

The Rapp assumes it is clear that UE has to support this capability to support UL CA for FDD-TDD band pair.

The view from other companies is invited.

**Q4. Do you agree that UE need to support simultaneousRxTxInterBandCA to support UL CA for FDD/TDD band pair?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comments |
| Qualcomm Incorporated | No | We would like to ask RAN4 to be sure. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | No | In current spec, there is no such limitation that the UE supporting UL CA shall indicate support of simultaneousRxTxInterBandCA. It depends on UE capability.  We understand, whether to configure UL CA or not should be left to NW implementation. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# 3 Conclusion

Tbd

# Appendix

From Chair’s notes

Simultaneous Rx-Tx

[R2-2300048](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_121/Docs//R2-2300048.zip) LS to RAN2 on simultaneous Rx-Tx for band pairs of an advertised BC ([R4-2220520](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_105/Docs//R4-2220520.zip); contact: Ericsson) RAN4 LS in Rel-16 NR\_newRAT

[R2-2301450](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_121/Docs//R2-2301450.zip) On modified UE capabilities for simultaneous Rx/Tx Ericsson discussion Rel-16 NR\_newRAT-Core

[R2-2301612](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_121/Docs//R2-2301612.zip) Discussion on simultaneousRxTx capability Huawei, HiSilicon discussion TEI17

Moved from 6.1.2

Observation 1: The simultaneous Rx-Tx capability is only specified for inter-band band pairs, intra-band overlapping TDD band has been excluded when reporting the per BC level simultaneous Rx-Tx capability, i.e. *simultaneousRxTxInterBandCA, simultaneousRxTxSUL,* and *simultaneousRxTxInterBandENDC*.

Observation 2: It is non-backward compatible to exclude inter-band overlapping TDD-TDD band pairs when reporting the per BC level simultaneous Rx-Tx capability, i.e. *simultaneousRxTxInterBandCA, simultaneousRxTxSUL,* and *simultaneousRxTxInterBandENDC*.

Observation 3: The simultaneous Rx-Tx capability on overlapping inter-band TDD-TDD band pairs can be indicated through the per BC per band pair capability, i.e. *simultaneousRxTxInterBandCAPerBandPair, simultaneousRxTxSULPerBandPair,* and *simultaneousRxTxInterBandENDCPerBandPair*.

Proposal 1: Inform RAN4 the above observations. No RAN2 spec change is needed.

[R2-2300553](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_121/Docs//R2-2300553.zip) Clarification on simultaneous Rx-Tx Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell discussion Rel-16 NR\_newRAT-Core, TEI16

Proposal 1: Reply to RAN4 that from RAN2 perspective, a new per-BC capability is needed to accomplish what RAN4 is asking.

Proposal 2: RAN2 to confirm whether it is possible (from specification viewpoint) for UE to support UL CA for FDD-TDD carrier if UE doesn’t support simultaneousRxTxInterBandCA.

[R2-2301718](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_121/Docs//R2-2301718.zip) Consideration on the Simultaneous Rx-Tx ZTE Corporation, Sanechips discussion Rel-15 NR\_newRAT-Core

- Think R4 proposal is ok for CA but not for EN-DC, conflicts. Would also be ok with Huawei proposal

DISCUSSION

- QC are ok to go with the R4 solution, but doesn’t like the R4 text, which is difficult to understand.

- Intel think the main question is whether we need the optimized way that R4 proposes. As Huawei states, the system can work anyway.

- Ericsson think we should not add separate capabilities, as Nokia proposes, would give even worse situation

- Ericsson think that current text anyway need correction, even if not agrees.

- Chair asks if we can go the RAN4 way: ZTE could be ok for CA. HW agree with ZTE that in such case we should ask RAN4. Samsung think yes we can attempt to follow R4 suggestion.

- Nokia point out that RAN4 are just asking questions.

* Offline 009 (Ericsson), to find agreeable reply to RAN4 LS, and make a report. can use TP to illustrate R2 impacts. Can also address P2 from Nokia tdoc.

[R2-2301715](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_121/Docs//R2-2301715.zip) CR on Simultaneous Rx-Tx for Band Pairs\_R15 ZTE Corporation, Sanechips CR Rel-15 38.306 15.19.0 0874 - F NR\_newRAT-Core

[R2-2301716](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_121/Docs//R2-2301716.zip) CR on Simultaneous Rx-Tx for Band Pairs\_R16 ZTE Corporation, Sanechips CR Rel-16 38.306 16.11.0 0875 - A NR\_newRAT-Core

[R2-2301717](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_121/Docs//R2-2301717.zip) CR on Simultaneous Rx-Tx for Band Pairs\_R17 ZTE Corporation, Sanechips CR Rel-17 38.306 17.3.0 0876 - A NR\_newRAT-Core