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# Introduction

This document is the report of the following offline discussion:

Measurement Gaps

[R2-2301312](file:///C:\Users\johan\OneDrive\Dokument\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2_RL2\RAN2\Docs\R2-2301312.zip) Corrections on refServCellIndicator ZTE Corporation, Sanechips CR Rel-15 38.331 15.20.1 3877 - F NR\_newRAT-Core

- QC think this is a NBC change, can accept for Rel17 but not for previous ..

- Apple could also accept a change for rel17

- Ericsson think we could skip the middle of the text

- Intel think we should understand the R1516 vs R17 behaviour then.

* Current proposed text not agreeable for R1516.
* Offline 003 (ZTE), to understand whether some change is needed-acceptable etc for R151617

# Contact Information

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Name | Email address |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# Clarification

In this section, company are invited to express your understandings of current specification based on existing implementation.

In TS 38.331, gap configuration (i.e. gapUE, gapFR1, gapFR2) are defined as “SetupRelease” structure. In case of NE-DC and NR-DC, refServCellIndicator field is introduced to indicate the timing reference used for gap position calculation.

MeasGapConfig ::= SEQUENCE {

gapFR2 SetupRelease { GapConfig } OPTIONAL, -- Need M

...,

[[

gapFR1 SetupRelease { GapConfig } OPTIONAL, -- Need M

gapUE SetupRelease { GapConfig } OPTIONAL -- Need M

]],

[[

gapToAddModList-r17 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..maxNrofGapId-r17)) OF GapConfig-r17 OPTIONAL, -- Need N

gapToReleaseList-r17 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..maxNrofGapId-r17)) OF MeasGapId-r17 OPTIONAL, -- Need N

posMeasGapPreConfigToAddModList-r17 PosMeasGapPreConfigToAddModList-r17 OPTIONAL, -- Need N

posMeasGapPreConfigToReleaseList-r17 PosMeasGapPreConfigToReleaseList-r17 OPTIONAL -- Need N

]]

}

GapConfig ::= SEQUENCE {

gapOffset INTEGER (0..159),

mgl ENUMERATED {ms1dot5, ms3, ms3dot5, ms4, ms5dot5, ms6},

mgrp ENUMERATED {ms20, ms40, ms80, ms160},

mgta ENUMERATED {ms0, ms0dot25, ms0dot5},

...,

[[

refServCellIndicator ENUMERATED {pCell, pSCell, mcg-FR2} OPTIONAL -- Cond NEDCorNRDC

]],

[[

refFR2ServCellAsyncCA-r16 ServCellIndex OPTIONAL, -- Cond AsyncCA

mgl-r16 ENUMERATED {ms10, ms20} OPTIONAL -- Cond PRS

]]

}

The condition of *refServCellIndicator* field is copied below:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *NEDCorNRDC* | This field is mandatory present when configuring gap pattern to UE in NE-DC or NR-DC. In case the gap pattern to UE in NE-DC and NR-DC is already configured, then the field is absent, need M. Otherwise, it is absent. |

Based on the online discussion, companies may have different understandings on the sentences. In this document, we collect companies views regarding the gap configuration for following scenarios:

* Scenario 1: upon SN addition;
* Scenario 2: before SN release;
* Scenario 3: upon SN release

## Scenario 1: Upon SN addition

The gap pattern is already configured before SN addition, in SN addition RRC message, can the network reconfigure the gap configuration by including refServCellIndicator for this gap pattern?

* Understanding 1: Yes, the network can.
* Understanding 2: No, this implies that upon SN addition, the network has to release all configured gap pattern(s) and configures new gap pattern with refServCellIndicator field.

**Q1.1: Which understanding do you think is correct and aligned with your current implementation?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Understanding 1  or  Understanding 2 | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Q1.2: If answers “Understanding 2” to Q1.1, do you think the spec can be updated to support “Understanding 1” based approach since Rel-17?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes or No | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Scenario 2: Before SN release

The gap pattern with refServCellIndicator is already configured when UE is in NE-DC or NR-DC, can the network reconfigure/modify the refServCellIndicator field for this gap pattern?

* Understanding 1: Yes, the network can.
* Understanding 2: No, if the network wants to change the value of refServCellIndicator, the network has to release the gap pattern and configures a new one.

**Q2.1: Which understanding do you think is correct and aligned with your current implementation?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Understanding 1  or  Understanding 2 | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Q2.2: If answers “Understanding 2” to Q1.1, do you think the spec can be updated to support “Understanding 1” based approach since Rel-17?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes or No | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Scenario 3: Upon SN release

The gap pattern with refServCellIndicator is configured when UE is in NE-DC or NR-DC, in SN release RRC message, can the network reconfigure the gap pattern by removing the refServCellIndicator field for this gap pattern?

* Understanding 1: Yes, the network can.
* Understanding 2: No, this implies that upon SN release, the network has to release all configured gap pattern and configures new gap pattern without including refServCellIndicator field.

**Q3.1: Which understanding do you think is correct and aligned with your current implementation?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Understanding 1  or  Understanding 2 | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Q3.2: If answers “Understanding 2” to Q3.1, do you think the spec can be updated to support “Understanding 1” based approach since Rel-17?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes or No | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# About potential spec change

In R2-2301312, it is proposed to update the condition as below, the modifications are aligned with “Understanding 1 series” in section 2.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Conditional Presence** | **Explanation** |
| *NEDCorNRDC* | This field is mandatory present when configuring gap pattern to UE in NE-DC or NR-DC. In case the gap pattern including this field to UE in NE-DC and NR-DC is already configured, then the field is optional present, need M. Otherwise, it is absent, need R. |

Based on the feedback from companies to Q2.1~Q3.2, we can further discuss whether and how to update the condition explanation.

TBC

# Conclusion

Based on companies’ input, proposals are listed as follows.

**TBD**