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# 1 Introduction

This contribution summarizes the following discussion:

* [AT117-e][060][NR17] DSS (Ericsson)

Scope: Treat R2-2202214, R2-2202215, R2-2202216. Take into account an expected RAN1 LS to resolve Open issues for CR in R2-2202216. If the expected LS arrives late, e.g. at EOM, the discussion can be continued as a Post meeting discussion.

Intended outcome: Report, Agreed CRs

Deadline: EOM.

Contact person(s) for each participating company:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Name** | **Email** |
| Ericsson | Zhenhua Zou | [zhenhua.zou@ericsson.com](mailto:zhenhua.zou@ericsson.com) |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Chong Lou | louchong@huawei.com |
| ZTE | Mengjie Zhang | zhang.mengjie@zte.com.cn |
| Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell | Tero Henttonen | tero.henttonen@nokia.com |
| Apple | Ralf Rossbach | rrossbach@apple.com |
| Intel | Tangxun | xun.tang@intel.com |
| Samsung | June Hwang | June77.hwang@samsung.com |
| OPPO | Shukun Wang | wangshukun@oppo.com |

# 2 Discussion

## 2.1 Phase 1

Only three papers [1][2][3] are submitted. They are all from the WI rapporteur with the intent to finalize this RAN1-led item. There is no RAN2-related technical discussion, for example, on MAC enhancements. The reason is that there were no additional inputs from RAN1 since the last RAN2 meeting (RAN2#116bis).

What remains is the discussion on the stage-2 CR and the RRC CR.

**Stage-2 CR**

In the RAN2#116 meeting, the stage 2 running CR for DSS is endorsed. The CR is based on the text proposal in the RAN1 LS. There were no further RAN1/2 progress that requires the stage 2 update. The CR R2-2202215 [2] is a resubmission with cover sheet update.

|  |
| --- |
| [R2-2111542](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_116-e/Docs//R2-2111542.zip) stage2 38.300 running CR for DSS Ericsson draftCR Rel-17 38.300 16.7.0 NR\_DSS   * [026] Endorsed |

**Q1. Can the stage-2 CR R2-2202215 be agreed? If no, please indicate why.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes, No?** | **Comments** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Yes |  |
| Ericsson | Yes |  |
| ZTE | Yes |  |
| Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell | Yes but | The CR is missing the cross-references to the other RAN2 CRs. These should be added to the cover page. |
| Apple | Yes but | The CR is using an outdated cover sheet, *CR-Form-v12.2* is the latest version. |
| Intel | Yes but | One comment from Juha’s CR cover check should be addressed, i.e., “Is the work item code NR\_DSS\_enh correctly spelled on the work item code field?” |
| Samsung | Yes |  |
| OPPO | Yes |  |

**Summary**

**RRC CR**

The running RRC CR was endorsed as R2-2201946. The submitted R2-2202216 CR [3] contains one clarification in the IE *PDCCH-Config*, compared to the last endorsed version. This is to capture that SpCell can be both a self-scheduling and a scheduled cell. The marked change is shown below:

|  |
| --- |
| If this IE is used for the scheduled SCell in case of cross carrier scheduling, the fields other than *searchSpacesToAddModList* and *searchSpacesToReleaseList* are absent. |

Rapporteur proposes to collect views on if the updated CR R2-2202216 can be endorsed. If endorsed, it will be the basis for the further discussion to capture RAN1 progress.

**Q2. Can the CR R2-2202216 be endorsed? If no, please indicate why.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes, No?** | **Comments** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | See comments | For the additional change to PDCCH-Config, we are not sure if this is the only case to be corrected, as we can also find similar text in SearchSpace. There might be some more and need more time checking. – *SearchSpace* The IE *SearchSpace* defines how/where to search for PDCCH candidates. Each search space is associated with one *ControlResourceSet*. For a scheduled cell in the case of cross carrier scheduling, except for *nrofCandidates*, all the optional fields are absent (regardless of their presence conditions).  Maybe we can leave the current spec as it is with the understanding that “cross carrier scheduling without explicitly indication of enhanced R17 behavior only refer to legacy operation” since it might be the more robust and cleaner. |
| Ericsson | Yes | Agree with Huawei that there is a need to check the above highlights. The reason why it was not considered in this CR is that in the last meeting’s email discussion [R2-2201698](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp//tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_116bis-e/Docs//R2-2201698.zip). It was concluded in Issue 5 that  **Conclusion 3 Wait for further RAN1 inputs (if any) on if/how to capture search space linkage in the RRC spec.**  It is recently agreed in this RAN1 meeting that  Agreement   * When UE is configured for CCS from sSCell to P(S)SCell, and if SS set (x\_p) of P(S)Cell and SS set (x\_s) of sSCell are configured with same *searchSpaceId* value   + x\_s is used for CCS from sSCell to P(S)Cell (Note: already agreed)   + x\_s can be used for sSCell self-scheduling   + x\_p is not used for P(S)Cell self-scheduling and parameters other than *searchSpaceId* and *nrofCandidates* are not configured for that SS set * Note: RAN2 spec may need some update, but it depends on RAN2 decision.   <https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_108-e/Inbox/Xiaodong_sessions/Xiaodong%27s%20Session%20Notes%20RAN1%23108-e%20(8.13%20DSS)%20v01.zip>  We can kick-off this discussion in the phase 2. |
| ZTE | See comments | We are fine with the update for PDCCH-Config. Regarding the update for SearchSpace, we can try to implement this in the phase 2 discussion based on RAN1 agreement or input (if received). |
| Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell | See comments | For the change in PDCCH-Config, let's add an FFS and search the RRC for all similar cases. Otherwise we easily just change one place and forget others. |
| Apple | See comments | Agree with Nokia on the change in PDCCH-Config.  The CR should be updated to use the latest cover sheet version in *CR-Form-v12.2*. |
| Intel | see comments | Agree with Nokia.  same comment on cover sheet from Juha, “Is the work item code NR\_DSS\_enh correctly spelled on the work item code field?” |
| Samsung | Yes | We agree with the intention of restricting the use case of this field. And also have the same view with Huawei that there could be more part to apply this restriction. |
| OPPO | Yes | Agree with the change, but we also agree with Huawei, we can check others as similar change. |

The paper [1] states that the below three issues are RAN1 related and up-to RAN1 to resolve:

1. Exact value of the field *ccs-BlindDetectionSplit-r17*. This will be discussed in RAN1#108;
2. Alignment of the field name *ccs-BlindDetectionSplit* with the RAN1 specs;
3. Clarification (if needed) for the field *enableDefaultBeamForCCS*.

The proposal is to wait for RAN1 progress, e.g., by LS. After receiving the LS, RAN2 can update the RRC CR and submit to the RAN plenary. Since there are no other inputs, rapporteur proposes to collect views if there are any missing aspects.

**Q3. If there are any missing aspects, companies are invited to provide in the below table**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | We are fine with the proposed WA. But we are wondering if RAN2 can discuss whether it is allowed to configure SCell deactivation timer to sSCell. We understand if this IE is absent from the ServingCellConfig, it will indicate to apply the value infinity. So from the spec and also implementation point, a timer with “infinity” is different from that without a timer. So we see another possibility is not configure this timer to sSCell, similar to PUCCH SCell. |
| Ericsson | The above point was brought up previously in R2-2111459, and the conclusion is to wait for further RAN1 inputs, see Q3c and one answer therein.  The current specification is a bit ambiguous. In RRC spec, it states that the field is absent for PUCCH SCell, but at the same time, it states that if the filed is absent, the timer applies value “infinity” (without ruling out PUCCH SCell). This means that the SCell de-activation timer for PUCCH SCell has value infinity. In MAC spec, it states that there is no timer for the PUCCH SCell. Nevertheless, functional-wise, they are the same, since if the timer value is infinity, then it never expires. It might be tricky if there are some procedure texts in MAC that would stop the timer, but we haven’t found any for the SCell de-activation timer yet.  RRC:  ***sCellDeactivationTimer***  SCell deactivation timer in TS 38.321 [3]. If the field is absent, the UE applies the value infinity.  MAC:  - configuring *sCellDeactivationTimer* timer per configured SCell (except the SCell configured with PUCCH, if any): the associated SCell is deactivated upon its expiry;  We acknowledge that most likely the network may not configure this timer, and it means that the timer value is infinity. Restricting that no such timer for scheduling SCell is also fine in our view, but it may limit the configuration flexibility in which the network may configure the timer with non-infinity value.  We are fine if there are more companies that want to further discuss this. If so, we propose to include it in the phase 2 of this email discussion. |
| ZTE | For the SCell deactivation timer, we see no strong need to restrict that no such timer is configured for scheduling SCell. Anyway it can be up to NW implementation, e.g. to configure the timer value as infinity. |
| Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell | On SCell deactivation timer, we agree with ZTE: This is up to (sensible) network configuration and the current RRC already allows for everything to work. So it's best to do nothing for now. |
| Apple | We are fine to discuss the SCell deactivation timer in phase 2. |
| Samsung | Regarding the Scell deactivation timer, we think applying the legacy manner as normal Scell, i.e., configuring with ‘absent field’ seems better, and this will mean the infinity. Reason is, as Ericsson said, not to limit the configuration flexibility. In some cases, with finite timer value, still network can switch the UE from CCS to the normal scheduling near the timer expiry via further RRC configuration. |
| OPPO | We agree with the WF.  For SCell deactivation timer, if the SCell is configured to schedule other SCell, the SCell deactivation timer can be configured for this SCell. If the SCell is configured to schedule PSCell, I think the SCell deactivation timer can not be configured because the PScell will be never deactivated. |

**Summary:**

## 2.2 Phase 2

To kick off upon receiving RAN1 LS

# 3 Conclusion

TBD
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