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# 1. Introduction

This document summarizes the following email discussion.

* [AT116bis-e][616][POS] Remaining proposals on latency reduction (Qualcomm)

Scope: Discuss the remaining proposals on validity conditions for preconfigured assistance data, measurement gaps, and PRS processing window.

Intended outcome: Report to CB session

Deadline: Friday 2022-01-21 1600 UTC

Contact Points

Respondents to the email discussion are kindly asked to fill in the following table.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Name | Email Address |
| Fraunhofer | Birendra Ghimire | [birendra.ghimire@iis.fraunhofer.de](mailto:birendra.ghimire@iis.fraunhofer.de) |
| Apple | Sasha Sirotkin | ssirotkin@apple.com |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# 2. Validity Conditions for DL-PRS Assistance Data

Company Proposals:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Intel [2] | Proposal 1: Introduce an Add/mod/release mechanism for pre-configured DL-PRS assistance data.  Proposal 2: RAN2 to discuss if a validity timer associated with pre-configured DL-PRS assistance data shall be defined to ensure that UE and LMF are aligned on the validity of the AD. |
| CATT [4] | Proposal 15: RAN2 to agree to area ID within each TRP for pre-configured assistance based on a specified area.  Proposal 16: RAN2 to discuss the candidate areaID: systemInformationAreaID（SIAID）or RAN ID. |
| vivo [5] | Proposal 1: To support mobility, the pre-configured assistance data shall be associated with a validity area, which is a list of cells that the target UE may camp on.  Proposal 2: The pre-configured assistance data shall be associated with a validity timer.  Proposal 3: The UE shall request new assistance data when no pre-configured assistance data is valid.  Proposal 4: The UE shall release the pre-configured assistance data when the validity timer expires.  Proposal 6: RAN2 to discuss how to solve the coexistence of normal configuration and pre-configuration.  • Alt1: left to LMF implementation to configure either configuration or pre-configuration, the previous one is overwritten by the new one.  • Alt2: when the UE has both normal configuration and pre-configuration, the UE only performs the measurement based on the normal configuration. Meanwhile, the UE shall store and utilize the pre-configuration when the normal one is released. |
| Huawei [6] | Proposal 1: The LMF should be able to release part or all of the PRS assistance data from the UE. |
| OPPO [8] | Proposal 1: RAN2 to agree that the validity condition(s) A (validity area), B (validity timer or a numerical limit on number of times the AD is utilized) and C (explicit modification or releases from the LMF/NG-RAN) of the pre-configured positioning assistance data. |
| Xiaomi [9] | Proposal 3: If PRS configuration broadcasted by gNB is different from the pre-configured assistance data stored by UE, UE will use the PRS configuration broadcasted by the gNB. |
| Fraunhofer [12] | Proposal 1: RAN2 shall agree to provide UE with multiple instances of pre-configured assistance data applicable to different areas within the network.  Proposal 2: The selection of an instance of AD from multiple AD provided may be based on one or more of the following:  a. Based on UE measurement (FFS)  b. Based on logical information (e.g. the camped cell) |
| Lenovo [14] | Proposal 3: RAN2 to support validity of pre-configured assistance data based on a validity area (e.g. list of cells). FFS signalling details.  Proposal 4: Timer-based validity criteria requires further discussion.  Proposal 5: Further discussion in relation to the extra signalling cost vs latency reduction of additional modification/release signalling of pre-configured AD is needed.  Proposal 6: Support the priority indication for multiple pre-configured assistance data sets. Details can be further discussed under the context of the complete definition of priority of PRS configuration for assistance data and measurement. |
| InterDigital [16] | Proposal 3: Support providing a validity area (e.g. consisting of a list of cell) to UE, where the validity area is associated with preconfigured assistance data  Proposal 4: Support providing validity time (e.g. valid time duration/timer) to UE, where the vadlity time is associated with preconfigured assistance data  Proposal 5: The validity conditions/criteria associated with the preconfigured PRS configurations are provided to the UE using LPP assistance data transfer procedure or posSIB  Proposal 6: The validity conditions/criteria are associated on a per-PRS configuration basis when the assistance data is transfered via dedicated signalling (e.g. via LPP assistance data)  Proposal 7: The validity conditions/criteria are associated on a per-assistance data basis (e.g. consisting of multiple PRS configurations) when the assistance data is transferred via posSIB |

Proposals for discussion [22]:

**Proposal 3a:** Pre-configured assistance data can be associated with a "validity area". FFS on details.

**Proposal 3b:** Pre-configured assistance data can be associated with a "validity time". FFS on details.

**Proposal 3c:** Pre-configured assistance data can be explicitly modified or released. FFS on details.

**Proposal 3d:** Pre-configured assistance data can consist of multiple instances, where each instance is applicable to a different area within the network. FFS on details.

The (modified) Proposal 3a was agreed:

Agreements:

Proposal 3a (modified): Pre-configured DL-PRS assistance data can be associated with a "validity area" at least in LPP. FFS on details and whether it would be included in RRC broadcast.

Companies are asked to provide their views on the remaining Proposals 3b-3d.

**Question 1:** Do you agree that pre-configured DL-PRS assistance data can be associated with a "validity time"? Please provide the reason for your answer in the comments column.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Company | | Yes/No | Comments |
| Fraunhofer | | Yes | We could also have a value of validity time, which allows the UE to retain assistance data for longer than expiry of SI for handling (relatively) static deployments. |
| Apple | | No | Validity time is clearly not essential, but rather an optimization. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | |  |  |
|  | |  |  |
|  | |  |  |
|  | |  |  |
|  | |  |  |
|  | |  |  |
|  | |  |  |
|  | |  |  |
|  | |  |  |
|  | |  |  |

**Question 2:** Do you agree that pre-configured DL-PRS assistance data can be explicitly modified or released?   
Please provide the reason for your answer in the comments column.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Company | | Yes/No | Comments |
| Fraunhofer | | Yes | In general, we find usefulness of being able to manage AD given to the UE. If we take this agreement, we think we need to align on at what granularity these updates shall be made.  We also need to take into account that the updates should keep the data from broadcast and unicast consistent.  Therefore, we propose that modifying or releasing DL-PRS assistance data would be helpful together with the feature of configuring multiple instants of assistance data. Then each instant of assistance data can be updated (added/modified/released). This would then also be suitable for broadcast (since each instance is applicable to certain area). |
| Apple | |  | Initially we were against this but if there is a majority support we can accept it. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | |  |  |
|  | |  |  |
|  | |  |  |
|  | |  |  |
|  | |  |  |
|  | |  |  |
|  | |  |  |
|  | |  |  |
|  | |  |  |
|  | |  |  |

**Question 3:** Do you agree that pre-configured DL-PRS assistance data can consist of multiple instances, where each instance is applicable to a different area within the network?  
Please provide the reason for your answer in the comments column.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Company | | Yes/No | Comments |
| Fraunhofer | | Yes | Multiple instances of A/D pre-configured to the UE, where the UE selects the applicable A/D corresponding to its location (e.g. camped cell) reduces latency, signalling overhead (in mid-term).  If we consider RRC\_INACTIVE UEs in a simple deployment scenario consisting of indoor factory with two floors, and let’s take a simple scenario that the UE moves between two floors of a factory, each of which is equipped with multiple TRPs.  The A/D of floor 1 could have validity indicated as Area 1 (e.g. group of cells in area 1), and A/D of floor 2 can have validity indicated as Area 2 (e.g. group of cells in area 2). If a UE is provided with AD of Area 1 and A/D of Area 2, then the UE can switch between these two A/Ds already provided to the UE with corresponding validity.  In Rel. 16, if the UE moves between these two floors, in Rel. 16, each time the UE moves it needs to get the A/D, and has to initiate the corresponding signaling. According to our proposal, we get the AD once and switch between them. We save signaling and also delay. If we consider UE-based positioning, then we have a lot of signalling reduction and reduction in delay.  When some PRS configuration changes in an area, this can be updated in the corresponding instance. |
| Apple | | No | The benefit is not clear |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | |  |  |
|  | |  |  |
|  | |  |  |
|  | |  |  |
|  | |  |  |
|  | |  |  |
|  | |  |  |
|  | |  |  |
|  | |  |  |
|  | |  |  |

# 3. Measurement Gaps for Positioning

## 3.1 Pre-configuration of Measurement Gaps for Positioning

Company Proposals:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Intel [3] | Proposal 2: The UE can be pre-configured with a set of MG patterns for DL PRS processing in order to reduce overall positioning latency. FFS any specification impact.  Proposal 5: The MG configuration itself shall not be configured via MAC CE. |
| CATT [4] | Proposal 1: The pre-configured positioning MG(s) can be provided to UE via RRCReconfiguration message, e.g., a new IE named preConfiguredMGforPosInfotoAddModList-r17 is introduced within the MeasGapConfig, where each entry of the list indicates a positioning MG.  Proposal 2: The content of the legacy measurement gap configutation can also apply for R17 newly introduced pre-configured positioning MG. |
| vivo [5] | Proposal 16: Introduce the pre-configuration signaling of measurement gaps in MeasConfig.  • Introduce a list of pre-configured MGs in MeasGapConfig  • Each pre-configured MG is associated with a preconfiguredGapID  • The initial status of each pre-configured MG: activated or not  Proposal 17: Allow UE to use the legacy RRC location measurement indication procedure to request the gap even when pre-configured measurement gaps are provided to the UE in case, e.g., the (part of) PRSs do not fall into the gap duration. |
| Hiawei [7] | Proposal 3: When UE supports UL MAC CE for measurement gap request, network configures UL MAC CE based activation request, and MG is pre-configured to the UE, the UE should:   If one of the pre-configured MG can satisfy the need of PRS measurement in the UE, the UE triggers UL MAC CE for MG request   Otherwise, UE sends the MG request by RRC message LocationMeasurementIndication  Proposal 12: R2 confirms that concurrent MG can only be associated with only multiple pre-configured gaps for positioning, but there can be only one activated MG at a time.  Proposal 13: R2 confirms that NCSG is not supported for PRS measurement in R17. |
| Sony [11] | Proposal 1: gNB provides the configuration of supported MG(s) for positioning latency improvements to UE / LMF. |
| Lenovo [14] | Proposal 7: A preconfigured measurement gap (MG) configuration is associated with a single ID. Multiple preconfigured MG configuration, each with associated IDs may be delivered by the network. |
| InterDigital [17] | Proposal 1: RAN2 confirms preconfiguring of multiple MG configurations for positioning in UE via RRC signalling  Proposal 2: The parameters associated with each MG configuration preconfigured in UE includes at least start timing/slot, measurement duration, periodicity and ID of MG |
| Samsung [20] | Proposal 1. RAN2 agree to reuse the legacy MG configuration information in RRCReconfiguration message for new MG activation mechanism requested by LMF.  Proposal 3. RAN2 agree that gap Offset, MG length, MG repetition period, MG timing advance are used for a single MG configuration as in the legacy MG configuration.  Proposal 4. RAN2 discuss and conclude whether preconfigured MG list is located in legacy MeasGapConfig or in new field for only preconfigured MG list for positioning. |
| ZTE [21] | Proposal 1: Support to add a new IE parallel to MeasGapConfig in 38.331 for pre-configuration of MG. |

Proposals for discussion [22]:

**Proposal 4a:** The pre-configured Measurement Gap Configurations for Positioning are provided via RRCReconfiguration message. FFS whether an existing IE can be re-used for adding the pre-configured Measurement Gap Configurations for Positioning or whether a new IE should be introduced.

**Proposal 4b:** The content of a pre-configured Measurement Gap for Positioning Configuration includes at least the existing measurement gap parameter and an ID. Other parameter are FFS.

**Proposal 4c:** The existing RRC LocationMeasurementIndication procedure to request the positioning measurement gaps can still be used by a UE, even when pre-configured measurement gaps are provided to the UE.

**Proposal 4d:** Concurrent measurement gap can only be associated with only multiple pre-configured gaps for positioning, but there can be only one activated measurement gap at a time.

**Proposal 4e:** Network-Controlled Small Gap is not supported for PRS measurement.

Companies are asked to provide their views on the Proposals 4a-4e.

**Question 4:** Do you agree that the pre-configured Measurement Gap Configurations for Positioning are provided via *RRCReconfiguration* message?   
If Yes, should the pre-configured Measurement Gap Configurations for Positioning be included in IE *MeasGapConfig* or should they be included in any other IE (please specify).  
Please provide the reason for your answer in the comments column.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Company | RRCReconfiguration?  Yes/No | MeasGapConfig?  Yes/No | Comments |
| Apple | Yes |  | We already agreed to support MAC CE activation, which would make little sense without pre-configuration. |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

**Question 5:** Do you agree that the content of a pre-configured Measurement Gap for Positioning Configuration includes at least the existing measurement gap parameter together with an ID?  
Please provide the reason for your answer in the comments column.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comments |
| Apple | Yes | That’s the RAN1 agreement |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Question 6:** Do you agree that the existing RRC *LocationMeasurementIndication* procedure to request the positioning measurement gaps can still be used by a UE, even when pre-configured measurement gaps are provided to the UE?  
Please provide the reason for your answer in the comments column.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comments |
| Apple | Yes | This is aligned with the RAN1 agreements |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Question 7:**  Do you agree that concurrent measurement gap can only be associated with only multiple pre-configured gaps for positioning, but there can be only one activated measurement gap at a time?  
Please provide the reason for your answer in the comments column.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comments |
| Apple | Yes | This is aligned with the RAN1 agreements |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Question 8:** Do you agree that Network-Controlled Small Gap is not supported for PRS measurement?  
Please provide the reason for your answer in the comments column.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 3.2 Activation/Deactivation of Measurement Gaps for Positioning

Company Proposals:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Intel [3] | Proposal 3: The UE may perform request for (de)activation of MG from this pre-configured set using new UL MAC CE (e.g. MG (De)activation Request MAC CE) indicating the requested MG ID, following which the UE should utilize the MG once it gets confirmation from the network.  Proposal 4: A new DL MAC CE for activation/deactivation of configured MG (by using (de)activated MG ID) shall be supported to allow the gNB to activate/deactivate MG at the UE. |
| CATT [4] | Proposal 4: A new UL MAC CE for positioning MG(s) activation/deactivation is introduced, which provides the bitmaps of the activation status of each positioning MG(s).  Proposal 5: RAN2 to agree that the above example MAC CE can be taken as baseline for UE initiated positioning MG activation/deactivation MAC CE.  Proposal 6: Not support the activation of MG by LMF. |
| vivo [5] | Proposal 18: RAN2 to discuss whether the UL MAC CE activation of the pre-configured gap is used only for positioning scenario, or also for other purposes, e.g., RRM measurement. |
| Huawei [7] | Proposal 2: UL MAC CE can be used for MG/PPW activation/deactivation request.  Proposal 4: Trigger SR when there is no PUSCH and UL MAC CE for MG/PPW activation/deactivation request is triggered.  Proposal5: Priority of the UL MAC CE for MG/PPW activation/deactivation request is higher than BSR while lower than LBT failure MAC CE in LCP  Proposal7: R2 confirms that DL MAC CE can be supported for pre-configured MG/PPW activation/deactivation command. |
| Xiaomi [9] | Proposal 5: The UE shouldn’t send MG activation request to gNB if LMF has already sent the MG activation request to the gNB.  Proposal 6: Whether UE can send MG activation request to gNB or not is based on the indication from the LMF by LPP provide assistance data or LPP request location information message. |
| Sony [11] | Proposal 2: Support DL MAC CE payload contains the triggering/activation of MG(s) for positioning measurement, including the index of the selected measurement gap configuration. |
| Lenovo [14] | Proposal 9: RAN2 to further discuss the relationship between the two activation options (by the UE and/or LMF) of the MG. |
| Ericsson [15] | Proposal 1 MAC CE for measurement gap request is not supported |
| InterDigital [17] | Proposal 3: RAN2 confirms support for activation of a preconfigured MG by gNB via DL MAC CE  Proposal 4: RAN2 confirms UE sends the request for MG activation to gNB in UL MAC CE which includes the ID of a preconfigured MF configuration for Option 1 (UE-initiated MG activation)  Proposal 6: LMF can send an indication/flag to UE in LPP message for indicating not to send a request for MG configuration/activation when Option 2 (LMF-initiated MG activation) is supported  Proposal 7: Support deactivation of a preconfigured MG by gNB (via DL MAC CE)or UE (via UL MAC CE) |
| Samsung [20] | Proposal 5. RAN2 design new UL and DL MAC CE which are used for indicating a single ID, one of preconfigured MG list, to be used MG activation request from UE, and its confirm from serving gNB, respectively. |

Proposals for discussion [22]:

**Proposal 5a:** A new UL MAC CE for positioning measurement gap activation and deactivation request is introduced.

**Proposal 5b:** The new UL MAC CE for positioning measurement gap activation and deactivation request includes at least the ID of the pre-configured positioning measurement gap configuration for which the activation/deactivation is requested. Other parameter are FFS.

**Proposal 5c:** A new DL MAC CE for positioning measurement gap activation and deactivation command is introduced.  
Note, if this Proposal is agreed, RAN2 may need to send an LS to RAN1 confirming that DL MAC CE can also be used for positioning measurement gap deactivation.

**Proposal 5d:** The new DL MAC CE for positioning measurement gap activation and deactivation command includes at least the ID of the pre-configured positioning measurement gap configuration which has been configured/activated by the gNB. Other parameter are FFS.

**Proposal 5e:** The Scheduling Request should be triggered when there is no PUSCH and UL MAC CE for positioning measurement gap activation/deactivation request is triggered.

The (modified) Proposals were already agreed.

Agreements:

Proposal 5a: A new UL MAC CE for positioning measurement gap activation and deactivation request is introduced.

Proposal 5b: The new UL MAC CE for positioning measurement gap activation and deactivation request includes at least the ID of the pre-configured positioning measurement gap configuration for which the activation/deactivation is requested. Other parameter are FFS.

Proposal 5c (modified): A new DL MAC CE for positioning measurement gap activation and deactivation command is introduced for positioning latency reduction. LS to RAN1/4 indicating our conclusion, and confirming that DL MAC CE can also be used for positioning measurement gap deactivation as well as activation (to be drafted by email).

Proposal 5d: The new DL MAC CE for positioning measurement gap activation and deactivation command includes at least the ID of the pre-configured positioning measurement gap configuration which has been configured/activated by the gNB. Other parameter are FFS.

Proposal 5e: The Scheduling Request should be triggered when there is no PUSCH and UL MAC CE for positioning measurement gap activation/deactivation request is triggered.

## 3.3 LMF Assistance Information / NRPPa Aspects

Company Proposals:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Intel [3] | Proposal 1: In order to support MG activation request procedure, LMF needs to be able to provide MG configuration related information to the gNB. For this purpose, NRPPa signaling needs to be defined, for which RAN3 needs to be consulted. |
| CATT [4] | Proposal 3: RAN2 to agree and send a LS to indicate to RAN3, that it is gNB to determine the pre-configuration of positioning MG(s), and LMF provides a full PRS configuration, and/or the positioning MG related capability to gNB to assist the gNB to determine the pre-configuraton of positioning MG(s).  Proposal 6: Not support the activation of MG by LMF. |
| vivo [5] | Proposal 13: LS to RAN3 to specify the NRPPa message including the assistance data from LMF to gNB to help with the pre-configured MG configuration.  • the time/frequency characteristics of PRS of related TRPs  Proposal 14: LS to RAN3 to specify the NRPPa message including the PRS measurement characteristics from LMF to gNB to request MG.  • the time/frequency characteristics of PRS of related TRPs  • the required QoS of positioning  Proposal 15: The LMF should indicate whether the LMF will help to request MG when LMF sends the LPP RequestLocationInformation message to the UE. |
| Huawei [7] | Proposal 1: For MG/PPW preconfiguration, LMF may assist gNBs to exchange the PRS configuration via a non-UE associated NRPPa message.   It is up RAN3 to decide whether other methods can be considered.   Send an reply LS to RAN3/RAN1.  Proposal6: NRPPa message for MG/PPW activation/deactivation request should include the same content as the RRC message LocationMeasurementIndication: |
| Xiaomi [9] | Proposal 4: In order to assist gNB to determine the pre-configuration MGs, LMF provides PRS configuration and /or recommended MG configuration to the gNB. |
| Sony [11] | Proposal 1: gNB provides the configuration of supported MG(s) for positioning latency improvements to UE / LMF.  Proposal 3: Define a timing relationship between LPP location information request and NRPPa on MG activation request. |
| Lenovo [14] | Proposal 8: LMF may align with the gNB on the preconfiguration of measurement gap (MGs). FFS DL-PRS configuration details in coordination with RAN3. |
| InterDigital [17] | Proposal 5: LMF can indicate to gNB information on the DL-PRS configuration provided to UE and the request for MG activation for Option 2 (LMF-initiated MG activation) |
| Samsung [20] | Proposal 2. RAN2 discuss whether MG preconfiguration at serving gNB is impacted only by LMF’s PRS information or can be determined by serving gNB itself. |

Proposals for discussion [22]:

**Proposal 6a:** The information that needs to be transferred between LMF and gNB to support the positioning measurement gap configuration and pre-configuration may include one or more of the following options:

- DL-PRS configuration of the relevant TRPs

- Positioning measurement gap capabilities of the UE

- Positioning QoS

- Explicit Positioning measurement gap configuration information (e.g., as defined in RRC GapConfig)

- Positioning measurement gap configuration information as defined in RRC LocationMeasurementIndication message

FFS on other option(s).

FFS on which option(s) are needed.

FFS on whether different information content is needed for positioning measurement gap configuration and positioning measurement gap pre-configuration.

Companies are asked to provide their views on the Proposals 6a.

**Question 9:** Do you agree that an LMF needs to provide "assistance information" to a gNB to support

(a) measurement gap pre-configuration (as discussed in section 3.1 above), and

(b) measurement gap configuration/activation (without UE request)?

NOTE: (b) refers to Option 1 of the following RAN1 agreement:

|  |
| --- |
| Agreement:  Support the following options (in the agreement made in RAN1#106-e) for a new mechanism of MG activation request for the purpose of positioning.   * Option 2: by UE (via UCI or UL MAC CE)   + Select only one of UCI and UL MAC CE in RAN1#106bis-e * Option 1: by LMF (via an NRPPa message)   + Note: This is transparent to the UE |

Please provide the reason for your answer in the comments column.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | (a), (b)? | Comments |
| Apple |  | Isn’t this in RAN3 domain? |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Question 10:** Which of the following information needs to be transferred between LMF and gNB to support the positioning measurement gap (pre-)configuration:

(a) DL-PRS configuration of the relevant TRPs

(b) Positioning measurement gap capabilities of the UE

(c) Positioning QoS

(d) Explicit Positioning measurement gap configuration information (e.g., as defined in RRC *GapConfig*)

(e) Positioning measurement gap configuration information as defined in RRC *LocationMeasurementIndication* message

(f) other

Please provide the reason for your answer in the comments column.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Option(s) (a)-(f) | Comments |
| Apple |  | Isn’t this in RAN3 domaion? |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# 4. PRS Processing Window

## 4.1 (Pre-)configuration of PRS Processing Window

Company Proposals:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| CATT [4] | Proposal 7: The PRS processing window configuration can be provided to UE via RRCReconfiguration message.  Proposal 8: RAN2 to agree that the PRS processing window is configured on per BWP level.  Proposal 9: RAN2 to confirm with RAN1 on the number of PRS processing window can be configurd per BWP before to discuss the detailed RRC and/or MAC CE format |
| Huawei [7] | Proposal8: Configure PPW as PPW-config under servingCellConfig. |
| Lenovo [14] | Proposal 14: RAN2 to support the configuration of the processing via RRC and corresponding activation by DL MAC CE. |
| Ericsson [15] | Proposal 2 PRS Processing window is configured only by means of RRC.  Proposal 3 Extend MeasConfig and Add the proposed PRSProcessingWindow IE for PRS processing window configuration in TS38.331 as shown.  Proposal 4 Wait for RAN1 to decide on the Processing type, Band/CC-ID and Priority Indication from gNB to UE. |
| Lenovo [17] | Proposal 8: RAN2 confirms preconfiguring of prioritization window (with the parameters agreed by RAN1) in UE via RRC signalling  Proposal 10: Support gNB configuring the options for 2-priority states or 3-priority states in UE and providing the priority of DL-PRS to UE via RRC signalling |
| ZTE [21] | Proposal 2: From RAN2 point of view, RRC configuration+MAC CE activation is feasible for PRS processing window configuration.  Proposal 3: From RAN2 point of view, the pre-configuration for PRS processing window configuration is rather similar with pre-configured MG configuration: serving gNB configures multiple PRS processing windows, each of them associates with an ID. Then, a new DL MAC-CE is introduced to choose one ID to activate corresponding PRS processing window for UE. |

Proposals for discussion [22]:

**Proposal 8a:** The PRS processing window configuration can be provided to the UE via RRCReconfiguration using one of the following options:

- configured per BWP

- included in MeasConfig

FFS on other option(s).

Companies are asked to provide their views on the Proposal 8a.

**Question 11:** Do you agree that the PRS processing window configuration is provided via *RRCReconfiguration* message?   
If Yes, should the PRS processing window configuration be

(a) configured per BWP,

(b) included in MeasConfig,

(c) other

Please provide the reason for your answer in the comments column.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Company | RRCReconfiguration?  Yes/No | (a),(b),(c)? | Comments |
| Apple | Yes |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## 4.2 Activation/Deactivation of PRS Processing Window

Company Proposals:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| CATT [4] | Proposal 10: If more than one PRS processing window can be configured per BWP, RAN2 to agree that:  - A new PRS processing window activation/deactivation DL MAC CE is introduced to activate or deactivate the PRS processing window pre-configured;  - The newly intrdocued PRS processing window activation/deactivation DL MAC CE provide the bitmap of the activation/deactivation status of each PRS processing window  Proposal 11: If only one PRS processing window can be configured per BWP, RAN2 to agree that the processing window is activated immediately after it is configured to UE. |
| Huawei [7] | Proposal 2: UL MAC CE can be used for MG/PPW activation/deactivation request.  Proposal 4: Trigger SR when there is no PUSCH and UL MAC CE for MG/PPW activation/deactivation request is triggered.  Proposal5: Priority of the UL MAC CE for MG/PPW activation/deactivation request is higher than BSR while lower than LBT failure MAC CE in LCP  Proposal7: R2 confirms that DL MAC CE can be supported for pre-configured MG/PPW activation/deactivation command.  Proposal 9: Capture PPW modelling in the MAC spec.  Proposal 10: UE monitors PDCCH when the ra-ResponseWindow or the ra-ContentionResolutionTimer or the msgB-ResponseWindow is running for the affected symbols in the PPW. |
| InterDigital [17] | Proposal 11: RAN2 confirms support for activation of a preconfigured processing window by gNB in UE via DL MAC CE |

Proposals for discussion [22]:

**Proposal 9a:** A new DL MAC CE for PRS Processing Window activation and deactivation command is introduced.  
Note, if this Proposal is agreed, RAN2 may need to send an LS to RAN1 confirming that DL MAC CE can also be used for PRS Processing Window deactivation.

**Proposal 9b:** The new DL MAC CE for PRS Processing Window activation and deactivation command includes at least the ID of the pre-configured PRS Processing Window configuration. Other parameter are FFS.

**Proposal 9c:** The UE behaviour related to the PRS Processing Window feature is captured in the MAC specification.

**Proposal 9d:** RAN2 to discuss and decide, whether UL MAC CE can also be used for PRS processing window activation/deactivation. If agreed, RAN2 may need to send an LS to RAN1.

Companies are asked to provide their views on the Proposals 9a-9d.

**Question 12:** Do you agree that a new DL MAC CE for PRS Processing Window activation and deactivation command is introduced?

Please provide the reason for your answer in the comments column.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comments |
| Apple | Yes | This is aligned with the RAN1 agreements |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Question 13:** If your answer to Question 12 was yes, do you agree that the new DL MAC CE for PRS Processing Window activation and deactivation command includes at least the ID of the pre-configured PRS Processing Window configuration?

Please provide the reason for your answer in the comments column.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comments |
| Apple | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Question 14:** If your answer to Question 12 was yes, do you agree that the UE behaviour related to the PRS Processing Window feature is captured in the MAC specification?

Please provide the reason for your answer in the comments column.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comments |
| Apple | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Question 15:** Do you agree that UL MAC CE can also be used for PRS processing window activation/deactivation?

Please provide the reason for your answer in the comments column.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 4.3 LMF Assistance Information / NRPPa Aspects

Company Proposals:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| CATT [4] | Proposal 12: RAN2 to agree the PRS processing window configuration mechanism need to support the following functionaility and send a LS to indicate to RAN3:  - LMF to transfer the UE’s positioning capability to the gNB;  - LMF to transfer a full PRS configuration of the UE to the gNB |
| Huawei [7] | Proposal 1: For MG/PPW preconfiguration, LMF may assist gNBs to exchange the PRS configuration via a non-UE associated NRPPa message.   It is up RAN3 to decide whether other methods can be considered.   Send an reply LS to RAN3/RAN1.  Proposal6: NRPPa message for MG/PPW activation/deactivation request should include the same content as the RRC message LocationMeasurementIndication: |
| InterDigital [17] | Proposal 9: LMF can send to gNB the priority assigned to DL-PRS via NRPPa signalling |

Proposals for discussion [23]:

**Proposal 10a:** The information that needs to be transferred between LMF and gNB to support PRS Processing Windows may include one or more of the following options:

- DL-PRS configuration of the relevant TRPs

- PRS Processing Window capabilities of the UE

- PRS Processing Window configuration information analogous to RRC LocationMeasurementIndication message

- Priority assigned to DL-PRS

FFS on other option(s).

FFS on which option(s) are needed.

Companies are asked to provide their views on the Proposal 10a.

**Question 16:** Which of the following information needs to be transferred between LMF and gNB to support the PRS Processing Windows configuration at the gNB:

(a) DL-PRS configuration of the relevant TRPs

(b) PRS Processing Window capabilities of the UE

(c) PRS Processing Window configuration information analogous to RRC *LocationMeasurementIndication* message

(d) Priority assigned to DL-PRS

(e) other

Please provide the reason for your answer in the comments column.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Option(s) (a)-(e) | Comments |
| Apple |  | This is in RAN3 scope |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# 5. Summary
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