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# Introduction

Email discussion is to discuss the following offline topic:

* [AT116bis-e][045][NR17] Duplicate Measurement Reply LS (Qualcomm)

      Scope: Treat R2-2200135, R2-2201083, R2-2201084. Make a reply LS

      Intended outcome: Approved reply LS

      Deadline: Friday W1

This document aims to discuss proposals submitted in section 8.24.3 of RAN2#116bis, related to measurement result duplication, and reach an agreeable response to RAN5 LS [1].

# Companies’ point of contact

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Point of contact** | **Email address** |
| Qualcomm Inc.  | Mouaffac | mambriss@qti.qualcomm.com  |
| Ericsson | Mattias Bergström | mattias.a.bergstrom@ericsson.com |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# Duplicate Measurement Result

RAN5 query is about duplicating the measurement result of the SCell in *measResultServingCellMOList* and in *measResultNeighCells* when SCell is considered as a neighbour in event A3/A5 evaluation and the servingCellMO flag is set for this SCell. RAN5 wants to know if duplication is mandatory, or if it’s sufficient to include results in the *measResultNeighCells* which is primarily used for handover evaluation

Quote from the RAN5 LS [1]:

***ACTION: RAN5 respectfully asks RAN2 to reconfirm core spec intention is to mandate SCell measurements to be duplicated in both measResultServingCellMOList and measResultNeighCells.***

The draft LS [2] that was shared by Nokia, have the following suggested responses:

**Question 1:** **In the RAN5 test case [2] event A3/A5 is used for Handover evaluation where Scell measurements if included in the measResultNeighCells are checked, is there a need to mandate the duplication of the measurements by including it in the measResultServingCellMOList as well?**

Answer 1: In RAN2 understanding the network may not need such duplicated results, but it is not an issue if duplicated measurement results are reported. The latter is considered to be easier from the UE’s perspective.

**Question 2: If the answer to Question 1 it is “not mandatory”, can it be assumed that UE can either include it in both lists or at least in measResultNeighCells list?**

Answer 2: In RAN2 understanding the UE shall include the results in both IEs (i.e. measResultServingCellMOList and measResultNeighCells) if the UE was configured to do so, even if this leads to duplicated reporting.

## Discussing response to Question-1

**Q1-** the answer provided has 2 parts, please provide your feedback for each part:

* Part-A: whether duplication is provided by the UE or not, the network is capable of handling both cases (duplication or single measurement results) with no issue.
* Part-B: it’s easier for the UE to provide duplicate the measurement result, i.e. measurement is included in both lists

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Companies** | **Agree with Part-A?**  | **Agree with Part-B?** | **Comments** |
| Qualcomm | Yes | No | Part-A:* As a UE vendor, we can’t provide a valuable response, as we don’t have insight about the network implementation, however having duplicate measurement result in the same report, seems to be a benign duplication with no implication to network.

Part-B: * First, we need to make it clear, that it’s an inefficient approach for transmitting duplicate measurement result in the same report, given UE is at the edge of the cell (power limited).
* Second, duplicate measurement result is a **spec flaw**, caused by a change made to the spec in the previous meeting, where network was mandated to configure the SCell MO with the servingCellMO flag, so “**some”** UE would consider performing measurement on the SCell as a “**neighbour**” cell.
* Third, as a UE vendor, the level of difficulties/easiness depends on the UE implementation, so it should not be assumed it’s easier to duplicate the measurement result as it differs from one UE to another.
 |
| Ericsson | - | - | The questions talk about what UEs/NWs are capable of and what is easier to do. Nothing of this is complicated, and it would not be difficult to build a UE/NW capable of either direction.The more interesting question would be what has actually been implemented. |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## Discussing response to Question-2

**Q2-** the answer provided, suggests that it’s RAN2 understanding the measurement results to be included in both IEs (*measResultServingCellMOList* and *measResultNeighCells*) … **do you agree**?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Companies** | **Yes or No** | **Comments** |
| Qualcomm | No | We **do not** carry the same understanding. As mentioned earlier, duplicating the measurement result is a spec flaw, which we are fine to live with it as long as it’s not mandated on the UE to do so.  |
| Ericsson | Yes | We interpret the question as what the specified UE behaviour is. And in our view, the spec says that the UE duplicates.Whether this is "a spec flaw" is another question. |
|  |  |  |

## Concluding the discussion

**Q3-** if it was agreed (based on previous questions), that network is capable of handling both UE implementations (duplicate/single measurement result), i.e. no need to mandate the inefficient of duplicating the measurement result, would **RAN2 agree that it’s left to the UE implementation on how it handles such case**?

* UE implementation could be either:
* Measurement result is included twice in the same report, in the “*measResultServingCellMOList*” and in “*measResultNeighCells*”.
* Measurement result is included once in the report, in the “*measResultNeighCells*”.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Companies** | **Yes or No** | **Comments** |
| Qualcomm | Yes | If there is no network implication (which should be the case), there is absolutely no need to mandate such inefficient behaviour, **instead it should be left to UE implementation.**  |
| Ericsson | No | It is important to have the uniform UE behaviour across chipset vendors so that the network can rely on reading only parts of the measurement report for a specific purpose.We do not have a very strong view which behaviour is clarified, but it is important that we have **one** behaviour. |
|  |  |  |

# Conclusion

To be included later.
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