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# Overall description

RAN2 would like to thank RAN1 for the LS on the physical layer aspects of small data transmission in R2-2111219.

RAN2 has made the following agreements for SDT at RAN2#116-e.

**Agreements for RA-SDT and CG-SDT**

|  |
| --- |
| => RAN2 changes the agreements and as a baseline we will focus on initial BWP for RA and CG SDT. FFS if further work on CG SDT for non-initial BWP will be needed, based on RAN1 consensus.  |

For the configuration of CG-SDT resources on non-initial BWP, some companies supported this as this will reduce the congestion on UL initial BWP, provides enogh bandwidth for SDT data and provide flexibility of allocation of CG resource, whilst others expressed concerns on the complexity and paging/system information monitoring.

**Agreements for CG-SDT**

|  |
| --- |
| * Assumption that we won’t have L1 feedback as a functionality
* The “CG-SDT timer” starts at the first “valid” PDCCH occasion from the end of the CG-SDT PUSCH transmission. The first “valid” PDCCH occasion is defined in RAN1
* Highest N SSBs of all SSBs actually transmitted as indicated in SIB1 is used for RSRP based TA validation
 |

# Actions

**To RAN1**

**ACTION:** RAN2 respectfully asks RAN1 to take the above information into account in their specification work and inform RAN2 if there is consensus on separate SDT BWP for CG-SDT.

# Dates of next TSG RAN2 meetings

RAN2#116-bis-e 17 – 25 January 2022 E-meeting

RAN2#117-e 21 February – 3 March 2022 E-meeting