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# 1 Introduction

This document is aimed at providing a summary of contributions submitted in Section 9.2.2 of IoT-NTN, identify potential agreements, open points, potential alternatives, and further enhancements. The 19 contributions [2] ~ [20] are summarized.

**Note-1**: RAN Plenary (RP) recommendations are to keep scope small when assessing the proposals, i.e. focus on essential enhancements. Non-essential enhancements should be considered only if impact is small.

* [AT116-e][027][IoT-NTN] Non continuous coverage (Mediatek)

Scope: Ph1 Treat documents under 9.2.2. Identify easy agreements, potential agreements (need discussion), potential alternatives, blocking points, Open issues (Note should only capture Open Issues that must be resolved in the end). Pave the way for on-line Discussion.

Intended outcome: Report

Deadline: Ph1 Monday W2

Deadline for company’s input: **Friday Nov 05 11:00 UTC**

Deadline for rapporteur’s summary: **Friday Nov 05, 20:00 UTC**

# 2 Contact Information

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Name | Email |
| MediaTek Inc. | Abhishek Roy | Abhishek.Roy@mediatek.com |
| Lenovo, Motorola Mobility | Min Xu | xumin13@lenovo.com |
| Xiaomi | Xiaolong Li | lixiaolong1@xiaomi.com |
| Nokia | Ping Yuan | Ping.1.Yuan@nokia-sbell.com |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Odile Rollinger | odile.rollinger@huawei.com |
| Apple | Pavan Nuggehalli | pnuggehalli@apple.com |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# 3 Non Continuous Coverage

In the RAN2#115-e meeting [1], the following agreements on discontinuous coverage were agreed.

|  |
| --- |
| * RAN2 confirms that the following will be supported: discontinuous coverage without excessive UE power consumption and without excessive failures / recovery actions. It is expected that this need to be taken into account at least for Idle mode. The requirement is applicable for all reference scenarios (GEO, MEO and LEO). * Satellite assistance information will be used by the UE for predicting coverage discontinuity. The details of the assistance information is FFS. FFS whether any applicable agreements made in NR-NTN can be reused. * The details of UEs actions when predicted to be out of coverage is FFS, e.g. stopping unnecessary cell search in the Idle mode, and FFS to what extent this need to be specified. * It is FFS to what extent it need to be specified the details of UE’s prediction of discontinuous coverage and its ability to detect when it is back in coverage. * RAN2 sends an LS to SA2 and CT1 (cc: RAN3) for the possible alignment work in their specification due to the support of discontinuous coverage. |

As it has been already agreed [1] that discontinuous coverage without excessive power consumption will be supported, at least for Idle Mode, and satellite assistance information will be used to assist the UE for predicting this discontinuous coverage. The details of satellite assistance types and UE’s prediction was FFS and any applicable agreements in NR-NTN can be reused. However, as there is no significant discussion and progress in NR-NTN regarding discontinuous coverage, it is pertinent that the discussion is carried out in IoT-NTN.

3.1 Satellite Assistance and Coverage Prediction

A vast majority (14/19) of the contributions [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [10], [11], [14], [16], [17], [18], [19] have suggested to provide satellite ephemeris for assisting the UE with discontinuous coverage. Note that RAN-1 has already mentioned that satellite ephemeris could be in the form of either Position-Velocity (PV) information or Orbital Parameters. Hence, based on these contributions the rapporteur would like to ask the following question:

**Question 1: Do companies agree that satellite ephemeris (either PV information or Orbital Parameters) will be useful to the UE for predicting coverage discontinuity?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Agree/Disagree** | **Additional comments** |
| Lenovo, Motorola Mobility | Agree | The current agreed formats of ephemeris data are useful but not sufficient to the UE for predicting coverage discontinuity. |
| Xiaomi | Agree | The ephemeris data can be used by UE to predict the non-continuous coverage. |
| Nokia | Agree with comments | RAN2 may need to discuss whether the ephemeris covers the whole constellation or only the next satellite or multiple future satellites available for coverage since the SIB size is quite limited in IoT NTN.  For example, for NB-IoT, the maximum SIB and SI message size is 680 bits per 36.331. Based on RAN1 WA, the size of PV information and orbital parameters is 17 bytes and 18 bytes separately. This means one SI can accommodate only 4 or 5 satellites ephemeris information. Furthermore, sending the 680 bit is also heavy and smaller value would be preferred considering the coverage requirement. We are not sure the SI can accommodate enough number of satellites for discontinuous coverage prediction since it depends on the constellation and UE preference for waking up.  So, we suggest rewording the proposal as:  *The satellite ephemeris (either PV information or Orbital Parameters) will be useful to the UE for predicting coverage discontinuity. FFS on ephemeris information broadcast in SIB.* |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Agree with comments | At least information about the serving satellite and the next satellite is needed. |
| Qualcomm | Disagree | System information TBS is limited (see *si-TBS-r13* and *si-TB-r13*). Max TBS for eMTC is 936 bits and for NB-IoT is 680 bits.  RAN1 working assumption is to support Position and velocity state vector ephemeris format [17 bytes payload] and Orbital parameter ephemeris format [18 byte payload].  Now this means network can broadcast maximum of 4 satellites orbital information in a SI message. This is not sufficient to estimate the coverage gap for UE.  It is not clear how all information is provided in system information for IoT UEs. Also, it is not clear what is impact on SIB acquisition delay?  If UE is provided with 4 satellite ephemeris/orbital information but the UE in IDLE mode finds coverage from 5th satellite for which it has no ephemeris stored, it is not clear how it is called non-continuous coverage. Alternatively, the UE might not find the 5th (or 6th etc) satellite because ephemeris was not provided leading to unnecessary loss of coverage.  We think a better solution is needed, probably in Release 18. |
| Apple | Agree | Ephemeris information can be used to predict coverage. The question of what needs to be sent in SIB is a different one, in our opinion. It is not clear to us that system information is the best way to send ephemeris. This issue is not yet resolved for NR NTN as well, and we can wait for more progress in that feature before coming to a decision here. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**<Rapporteur Summary>**

Some contributions (9/19) [2], [4], [6], [8], [9], [10], [11], [15], [20] have also mentioned the use of start and end of satellite coverage. The majority of these contributions mentioned the use of coverage start and end time for primarily Quasi-Earth Fixed satellites. However, it should be noted that with UEs distributed across a large satellite cell (satellite beam spot), it might be difficult to estimate the exact coverage start and end time for all the UEs. Hence, based on these contributions, the rapporteur would like to ask the following question:

**Question 2: Do companies think that besides satellite ephemeris information, providing the start and end of satellite coverage is also needed, at least for Quasi-Earth Fixed satellites?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes / No** | **Additional comments** |
| Lenovo, Motorola Mobility | Yes | The current agreed formats of ephemeris data may only help UE in deriving the position or orbit of satellites. With ephemeris data only the UE cannot accurately predict its coverage interruption period, especially for NGSO satellites the cells could be either moving or quasi-fixed.  For the quasi-fixed cells, broadcasting the stop serving time of the serving satellite was discussed and agreed to be used for service link switch issues in NR NTN. Such information could also be used as satellite assistance information. Additional information may include the start serving time of upcoming satellites. |
| Xiaomi | Yes | We think the coverage start time is the time of UE coming back to the coverage, in other words, the start time is about the upcoming satellites, and the end time is the time of UE leaving the serving cell coverage.  For quasi-earth fixed cell, the coverage start and end time is the same for all the UEs in the same cell，so the timing can be used for all UEs to predict the non-continuous coverage. |
| Nokia | Yes with comment | In our view, providing the start and end of satellite coverage is only needed for Quasi-Earth Fixed satellites. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Yes with comment | The start time is for the upcoming satellite, and the end time is for the current satellite. |
| Qualcomm | Yes | This would be an improvement but still falls short of being a complete solution.  However, we think providing start time for upcoming satellite and elevation angle is helpful for UE. Assumption is reference location is anyway will be broadcast by cell for other purposes as well. |
| Apple | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**<Rapporteur Summary>**

It is expected that the UEs should be able to use the assistance information to predict the coverage discontinuity, stop any cell search and enters in the dormant state. 8/19 contributions [2], [3], [7], [8], [10], [17], [18], [19] mention that the details of UE’s prediction on discontinuous coverage should up to the UE implementation. One contribution [6] mentions the UE behaviour upon being in the no cell available state following the discontinuous coverage or satellite visit time needs to be clarified. Based on this information the rapporteur would like to ask the following question:

**Question 3: Do companies agree that the details of UE’s prediction of discontinuous coverage should be left on UE implementation?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes / No** | **Additional comments** |
| Lenovo, Motorola Mobility | Yes | How to predict the coverage discontinuity based on available information is UE implementation. |
| Xiaomi | Yes | How to predict non-continuous coverage based on assistance information should be left to UE implementation. |
| Nokia | Yes with comments | For coverage window prediction, it is fine to leave this to UE implementation, but the UE and NW need to be synchronized for paging in terms of the coverage window, e.g. based on the UE reporting the estimate to the NW. Furthermore, a key issue is the prediction inaccuracy in some UEs as mentioned by R2-2104863. We understand UE’s prediction error may impact at least paging since NW would assume when the UE is reachable for paging based on UE’s prediction while UE is actually out of coverage. We suggest rewording the proposal as below:  *The details of UE’s prediction of discontinuous coverage should be left to UE implementation. UE and NW need to be synchronized for paging in terms of the UE’s coverage window prediction.* |
| Huawei HiSilicon | Yes with comment | We agree that the details of UE’s prediction of discontinuous coverage can be left to UE implementation.  We still need to clarify the UE behaviour when in no coverage, i.e. stop all usual AS idle mode functions (can be very simple, similar to PSM description). |
| Qualcomm | No | Such solution where UE’s prediction of discontinuous coverage is left on UE implementation can be proprietary and should not be documented in specs without specifying a complete system level solution involving CT1 and SA2.  Otherwise, it will be problematic for future enhancement as UE and CN must be synchronized for paging to work and it will not be possible to change legacy behaviour. |
| Apple | Yes | The UE should inform the network prior to going out of coverage. This way the network can avoid needlessly paging the UE. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**<Rapporteur Summary>**

3.2 Paging Issues

A few contributions [7], [17], [18] have pointed out the problems associated with paging, e.g. UEs could be unreachable. However, as pointed out in [7] and [18], the network can simply consider the UE unreachable (e.g., for paging purposes) till such time as the UE establishes an RRC connection. The question is whether RAN2 needs to specify anything regarding this paging aspect. Based on this, the rapporteur would like to ask the following question:

**Question 4: Do companies think RAN2 needs to do anything regarding Paging aspects with coverage discontinuity?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes / No** | **Additional comments** |
| Lenovo, Motorola Mobility | No, and | This may depend on the final solution of assistance information. If the network and UE have aligned understanding of coverage discontinuity (e.g. time period of coverage interruption at UE), RAN2 will not need to specify more for paging. |
| Xiaomi | No | We think the other group is more feasible to handle paging issue since the paging message is from the core network, can CN will not page UE when UE in the non-continuous coverage. |
| Nokia | Yes | As agreed in the SI, UE and NW should be synchronized w.r.t. when the UE is awake and reachable for paging. Thus, RAN2 need to discuss how NW synchronize with UE for paging about UE's coverage ON/OFF in discontinuous coverage. Furthermore, the UE coverage prediction error (as comment in Question3) should also be considered for paging. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | No | Paging aspects should be discussed in SA2 |
| Qualcomm | No | We do not think MT call service would work without system level solution including other working groups SA2/CT1/RAN3.  It depends on progress in other working group how network knows the discontinuous coverage. |
| Apple | Yes | The UE should inform the network prior to going out of coverage. This way the network can avoid needlessly paging the UE. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**<Rapporteur Summary>**

# 4 Power Savings

During the Study Item phase, power savings was discussed and it was agreed that existing power saving mechanisms, like DRX, PSM, eDRX, relaxed monitoring, and WUS can be reused without enhancement. Enhancements can be considered, if found needed, to support discontinuous coverage. A few contributions in [2], [12], [14], [20] mentioned about power savings and its enhancements. Based on these contributions, the rapporteur would like to ask the following question.

**Question 5: Do companies agree that from RAN2 point of view, the existing power saving mechanisms e.g. DRX, PSM, eDRX, relaxed monitoring, and WUS can be reused in IoT-NTN?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes / No** | **Additional comments** |
| Lenovo, Motorola Mobility | No | The existing mechanisms can be used for discontinuous coverage, but some minor enhancements are needed. For example:   * Relaxed monitoring   To use relaxed monitoring it is required that less than 24 hours have passed since measurements for cell reselection were last performed and the UE has performed intra-frequency or inter-frequency measurements for at least TSearchDeltaP after selecting or reselecting a new cell. To leverage relaxed monitoring for discontinuous coverage, the cell quality tolerance (SSearchDeltaP) has to be large enough to forbid further measurement. Meanwhile TSearchDeltaP is better to be shorter (now at least 5min) for efficient power saving.   * PSM   The Active Time (T3324) and periodic TAU/RAU timer (T3412) can only be configured in attach or TAU/RAU procedure, and misalignment between T3324/T3412 configuration and coverage interruption period may cause unnecessary measurement for cell selection/reselection or TAU/RAU without coverage.  Another potential issue is that even if the network is aware of the coverage interruption period for a specific UE, it may be difficult to configure the PSM duration appropriately using standard mechanism. According to TS24.008, the value range of T3324 (as GPRS timer 2) is {0~31}\*{2s,1min,10min} i.e. 0s~310min. And the duration of PSM is restricted by periodic TAU timer T3412 (as GPRS timer 3) with value range {0~31}\*{2s,30s,1min,10min,1h,10h,320h(extended)}. Consider the Walker constellation mentioned in R2-2101248 as an example, the average coverage interruption period is 8 hours (6 planes, 1 satellite per plane). For a coverage interruption period around 8 hours (e.g. 7.5 hours), network can only configure T3412 at a granularity of 1 hour (i.e. 7 or 8 hours), and the maximum misalignment can be 0.5 hour. |
| Xiaomi | Yes | UE can recommend the preferred PSM/eDRX configuration based on the UE prediction of the non-continuous coverage and then the CN will provide the proper PSM/eDRX configuration, and the configuration could match the non-continuous coverage. |
| Nokia | Yes with comments | We think the existing power saving mechanisms can be reused with enhancements. For example, to support discontinuous coverage, we share companies view that at least enhancement to existing PSM, eDRX need to be discussed. (e.g. to save UE’s power, NW should align the eDRX/PSM configuration with the non-continuous coverage to decide when UE should perform paging monitoring.) Furthermore, RAN2 should consider removing the Rel-16 restriction of only using WUS in last serving cell as even for stationery UE there will be cell reselection due to cell mobility, which would lead to disabling of WUS monitoring for the UE. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Yes with comments | We agree that we do not need enhancements to DRX, PSM, eDRX, relaxed monitoring, and WUS features. But we still need to specify the behaviour of the UE in discontinuous coverage, i.e. stop all these functions. |
| Qualcomm | Yes with comment | If there is no discontinuous coverage enabled, we think these mechanisms can be re-used, e.g., for GEO.  In case of discontinuous coverage, we think PSM can be re-used but won’t work as intended for core network. |
| Apple | Yes | We don’t think anything needs to be done from RAN2 perspective but agree that it is not clear how PSM will likely need to be enhanced. However that topic is out of RAN2 scope. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**<Rapporteur Summary>**

# 5 Other Aspects

Other aspects in discontinuous coverage include

* Specifying assistance information that UE can provide to enable the network to detect when and where the UE will be back in coverage [11]
* Extension and updates of related timers, e.g. T301, T320 or T322 [14]

However, these details of UE assistance and timer extensions can be discussed once some more progress and agreements in discontinuous coverage are made.

# 7 Conclusion

**<To be updated after responses from the companies are collected Rapporteur Summary>**
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