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# 1 Introduction

This document is aimed at providing a summary of contributions submitted in Section 9.2.2 of IoT-NTN, identify potential agreements, open points, potential alternatives, and further enhancements. The 19 contributions [2] ~ [20] are summarized.

**Note-1**: RAN Plenary (RP) recommendations are to keep scope small when assessing the proposals, i.e. focus on essential enhancements. Non-essential enhancements should be considered only if impact is small.

* [AT116-e][027][IoT-NTN] Non continuous coverage (Mediatek)

 Scope: Ph1 Treat documents under 9.2.2. Identify easy agreements, potential agreements (need discussion), potential alternatives, blocking points, Open issues (Note should only capture Open Issues that must be resolved in the end). Pave the way for on-line Discussion.

 Intended outcome: Report

 Deadline: Ph1 Monday W2

 Deadline for company’s input: **Friday Nov 05 11:00 UTC**

 Deadline for rapporteur’s summary: **Friday Nov 05, 20:00 UTC**

# 2 Contact Information

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Name | Email |
| MediaTek Inc. | Abhishek Roy | Abhishek.Roy@mediatek.com |
| Lenovo, Motorola Mobility | Min Xu | xumin13@lenovo.com |
| Xiaomi | Xiaolong Li | lixiaolong1@xiaomi.com |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# 3 Non Continuous Coverage

In the RAN2#115-e meeting [1], the following agreements on discontinuous coverage were agreed.

|  |
| --- |
| * RAN2 confirms that the following will be supported: discontinuous coverage without excessive UE power consumption and without excessive failures / recovery actions. It is expected that this need to be taken into account at least for Idle mode. The requirement is applicable for all reference scenarios (GEO, MEO and LEO).
* Satellite assistance information will be used by the UE for predicting coverage discontinuity. The details of the assistance information is FFS. FFS whether any applicable agreements made in NR-NTN can be reused.
* The details of UEs actions when predicted to be out of coverage is FFS, e.g. stopping unnecessary cell search in the Idle mode, and FFS to what extent this need to be specified.
* It is FFS to what extent it need to be specified the details of UE’s prediction of discontinuous coverage and its ability to detect when it is back in coverage.
* RAN2 sends an LS to SA2 and CT1 (cc: RAN3) for the possible alignment work in their specification due to the support of discontinuous coverage.
 |

As it has been already agreed [1] that discontinuous coverage without excessive power consumption will be supported, at least for Idle Mode, and satellite assistance information will be used to assist the UE for predicting this discontinuous coverage. The details of satellite assistance types and UE’s prediction was FFS and any applicable agreements in NR-NTN can be reused. However, as there is no significant discussion and progress in NR-NTN regarding discontinuous coverage, it is pertinent that the discussion is carried out in IoT-NTN.

3.1 Satellite Assistance and Coverage Prediction

A vast majority (14/19) of the contributions [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [10], [11], [14], [16], [17], [18], [19] have suggested to provide satellite ephemeris for assisting the UE with discontinuous coverage. Note that RAN-1 has already mentioned that satellite ephemeris could be in the form of either Position-Velocity (PV) information or Orbital Parameters. Hence, based on these contributions the rapporteur would like to ask the following question:

**Question 1: Do companies agree that satellite ephemeris (either PV information or Orbital Parameters) will be useful to the UE for predicting coverage discontinuity?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Agree/Disagree** | **Additional comments** |
| Lenovo, Motorola Mobility | Agree | The current agreed formats of ephemeris data are useful but not sufficient to the UE for predicting coverage discontinuity. |
| Xiaomi | Agree | The ephemeris data can be used by UE to predict the non-continuous coverage. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**<Rapporteur Summary>**

Some contributions (9/19) [2], [4], [6], [8], [9], [10], [11], [15], [20] have also mentioned the use of start and end of satellite coverage. The majority of these contributions mentioned the use of coverage start and end time for primarily Quasi-Earth Fixed satellites. However, it should be noted that with UEs distributed across a large satellite cell (satellite beam spot), it might be difficult to estimate the exact coverage start and end time for all the UEs. Hence, based on these contributions, the rapporteur would like to ask the following question:

**Question 2: Do companies think that besides satellite ephemeris information, providing the start and end of satellite coverage is also needed, at least for Quasi-Earth Fixed satellites?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes / No** | **Additional comments** |
| Lenovo, Motorola Mobility | Yes | The current agreed formats of ephemeris data may only help UE in deriving the position or orbit of satellites. With ephemeris data only the UE cannot accurately predict its coverage interruption period, especially for NGSO satellites the cells could be either moving or quasi-fixed.For the quasi-fixed cells, broadcasting the stop serving time of the serving satellite was discussed and agreed to be used for service link switch issues in NR NTN. Such information could also be used as satellite assistance information. Additional information may include the start serving time of upcoming satellites. |
| Xiaomi | Yes | We think the coverage start time is the time of UE coming back to the coverage, in other words, the start time is about the upcoming satellites, and the end time is the time of UE leaving the serving cell coverage. For quasi-earth fixed cell, the coverage start and end time is the same for all the UEs in the same cell，so the timing can be used for all UEs to predict the non-continuous coverage. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**<Rapporteur Summary>**

It is expected that the UEs should be able to use the assistance information to predict the coverage discontinuity, stop any cell search and enters in the dormant state. 8/19 contributions [2], [3], [7], [8], [10], [17], [18], [19] mention that the details of UE’s prediction on discontinuous coverage should up to the UE implementation. One contribution [6] mentions the UE behaviour upon being in the no cell available state following the discontinuous coverage or satellite visit time needs to be clarified. Based on this information the rapporteur would like to ask the following question:

**Question 3: Do companies agree that the details of UE’s prediction of discontinuous coverage should be left on UE implementation?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes / No** | **Additional comments** |
| Lenovo, Motorola Mobility | Yes | How to predict the coverage discontinuity based on available information is UE implementation. |
| Xiaomi | Yes | How to predict non-continuous coverage based on assistance information should be left to UE implementation.  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**<Rapporteur Summary>**

3.2 Paging Issues

A few contributions [7], [17], [18] have pointed out the problems associated with paging, e.g. UEs could be unreachable. However, as pointed out in [7] and [18], the network can simply consider the UE unreachable (e.g., for paging purposes) till such time as the UE establishes an RRC connection. The question is whether RAN2 needs to specify anything regarding this paging aspect. Based on this, the rapporteur would like to ask the following question:

**Question 4: Do companies think RAN2 needs to do anything regarding Paging aspects with coverage discontinuity?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes / No** | **Additional comments** |
| Lenovo, Motorola Mobility | No, and | This may depend on the final solution of assistance information. If the network and UE have aligned understanding of coverage discontinuity (e.g. time period of coverage interruption at UE), RAN2 will not need to specify more for paging. |
| Xiaomi | No | We think the other group is more feasible to handle paging issue since the paging message is from the core network, can CN will not page UE when UE in the non-continuous coverage.  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**<Rapporteur Summary>**

# 4 Power Savings

During the Study Item phase, power savings was discussed and it was agreed that existing power saving mechanisms, like DRX, PSM, eDRX, relaxed monitoring, and WUS can be reused without enhancement. Enhancements can be considered, if found needed, to support discontinuous coverage. A few contributions in [2], [12], [14], [20] mentioned about power savings and its enhancements. Based on these contributions, the rapporteur would like to ask the following question.

**Question 5: Do companies agree that from RAN2 point of view, the existing power saving mechanisms e.g. DRX, PSM, eDRX, relaxed monitoring, and WUS can be reused in IoT-NTN?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes / No** | **Additional comments** |
| Lenovo, Motorola Mobility | No | The existing mechanisms can be used for discontinuous coverage, but some minor enhancements are needed. For example:* Relaxed monitoring

To use relaxed monitoring it is required that less than 24 hours have passed since measurements for cell reselection were last performed and the UE has performed intra-frequency or inter-frequency measurements for at least TSearchDeltaP after selecting or reselecting a new cell. To leverage relaxed monitoring for discontinuous coverage, the cell quality tolerance (SSearchDeltaP) has to be large enough to forbid further measurement. Meanwhile TSearchDeltaP is better to be shorter (now at least 5min) for efficient power saving.* PSM

The Active Time (T3324) and periodic TAU/RAU timer (T3412) can only be configured in attach or TAU/RAU procedure, and misalignment between T3324/T3412 configuration and coverage interruption period may cause unnecessary measurement for cell selection/reselection or TAU/RAU without coverage. Another potential issue is that even if the network is aware of the coverage interruption period for a specific UE, it may be difficult to configure the PSM duration appropriately using standard mechanism. According to TS24.008, the value range of T3324 (as GPRS timer 2) is {0~31}\*{2s,1min,10min} i.e. 0s~310min. And the duration of PSM is restricted by periodic TAU timer T3412 (as GPRS timer 3) with value range {0~31}\*{2s,30s,1min,10min,1h,10h,320h(extended)}. Consider the Walker constellation mentioned in R2-2101248 as an example, the average coverage interruption period is 8 hours (6 planes, 1 satellite per plane). For a coverage interruption period around 8 hours (e.g. 7.5 hours), network can only configure T3412 at a granularity of 1 hour (i.e. 7 or 8 hours), and the maximum misalignment can be 0.5 hour. |
| Xiaomi | Yes | UE can recommend the preferred PSM/eDRX configuration based on the UE prediction of the non-continuous coverage and then the CN will provide the proper PSM/eDRX configuration, and the configuration could match the non-continuous coverage.  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**<Rapporteur Summary>**

# 5 Other Aspects

Other aspects in discontinuous coverage include

* Specifying assistance information that UE can provide to enable the network to detect when and where the UE will be back in coverage [11]
* Extension and updates of related timers, e.g. T301, T320 or T322 [14]

However, these details of UE assistance and timer extensions can be discussed once some more progress and agreements in discontinuous coverage are made.

# 7 Conclusion

**<To be updated after responses from the companies are collected Rapporteur Summary>**

# 8 References

1. RAN2-115-e ChairmanNotes EOM Rev2.
2. R2-2109504 Discussion on discontinuous coverage for IoT over NTN OPPO
3. R2-2109640 Discussion on remaining issues on non-continuous coverage Intel Corporation
4. R2-2109702 Discussion on the support of discontinuous coverage for IoT NTN CATT
5. R2-2109821 Contents and delivery options for Satellite Assistance Information for NTN Gatehouse, Sat.
6. R2-2109965 Satellite visit time for non-continuous coverage Qualcomm Incorporated
7. R2-2110071 Support of discontinuous coverage Apple
8. R2-2110114 Remaining FFSs on discontinuous coverage in IoT NTN ZTE Corporation, Sanechips
9. R2-2110130 Discussion on the issue of non-continuous coverage Spreadtrum Communications
10. R2-2110262 Discussion on support of Non continuous coverage CMCC
11. R2-2110313 Assistance information for NTN discontinuous coverage Lenovo, Motorola Mobility
12. R2-2110314 Enhancement for idle UE power saving in discontinuous coverage Lenovo, Mot. Mobility
13. R2-2110315 RRC connection handling for discontinuous coverage in IoT NTN Lenovo, Motorola Mobility
14. R2-2110544 Power Saving in Discontinuous Coverage for NB IoT NTN Rakuten Mobile, Inc
15. R2-2110549 Support of Discontinuous Coverage for IoT-NTN Interdigital, Inc.
16. R2-2110705 On aspects of discontinuous coverage in IoT NTN Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell
17. R2-2110834 Discontinuous coverage in IoT NTN Ericsson
18. R2-2110922 On Discontinuous coverage in IoT-NTN MediaTek Inc.
19. R2-2110977 Discussion on non continuous coverage Huawei, HiSilicon
20. R2-2111112 Discussion on discontinuous coverage Xiaomi