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# 1 Introduction

This document is to handle the following email discussion:

* [AT116-e][007][NR1516] PDCP (Samsung)

Scope: Determine agreeable parts in a first phase, for agreeable parts agree on CRs. Treat [R2-2111027](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_116-e\Docs\R2-2111027.zip) (AI 5.3.2), [R2-2109945](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_116-e\Docs\R2-2109945.zip), [R2-2109946](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_116-e\Docs\R2-2109946.zip), [R2-2109947](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_116-e\Docs\R2-2109947.zip), [R2-2110757](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_116-e\Docs\R2-2110757.zip), [R2-2110758](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_116-e\Docs\R2-2110758.zip)

Intended outcome: Report, Agreed CRs if applicable

Deadline: Schedule 1

The following documents are to be treated in this email discussion:

## 5.3.2 RLC PDCP SDAP

[R2-2111027](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_116-e\Docs\R2-2111027.zip) On association between RLC entities and PDCP entity Huawei, HiSilicon discussion Rel-15 NR\_newRAT-Core

## 6.1.3.3 PDCP

[R2-2109945](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_116-e\Docs\R2-2109945.zip) Clarification on the ciphering of LTE EHC header Samsung discussion Rel-16 NR\_IIOT-Core

[R2-2109946](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_116-e\Docs\R2-2109946.zip) CR for the ciphering of LTE EHC header (Rel-15) Samsung CR Rel-15 36.323 15.6.0 0297 - F NR\_IIOT-Core

[R2-2109947](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_116-e\Docs\R2-2109947.zip) CR for the ciphering of LTE EHC header (Rel-16) Samsung CR Rel-16 36.323 16.4.0 0298 - A NR\_IIOT-Core

[R2-2110757](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_116-e\Docs\R2-2110757.zip) Clarification on joint EHC and RoHC operation MediaTek Inc. CR Rel-16 38.323 16.5.0 0083 - F NR\_IIOT-Core

[R2-2110758](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_116-e\Docs\R2-2110758.zip) Clarification on joint EHC and RoHC operation MediaTek Inc. CR Rel-16 36.323 16.4.0 0299 - F NR\_IIOT-Core

2 Contact Information

The rapporteur encourages the delegates who provide input to provide their contact information in the below table:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Contact: Name (E-mail) |
| Samsung (Donggun Kim) | s\_dg.kim@samsung.com |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# 3 Discussion

## [R2-2111027](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_116-e\Docs\R2-2111027.zip) On association between RLC entities and PDCP entity Huawei, HiSilicon discussion Rel-15 NR\_newRAT-Core

**Proposal 1: RAN2 to discuss whether it is possible to lift the restriction about the symmetric association between RLC entities and PDCP entity in PDCP spec, to allow building asymmetric UM RLC entities for a radio bearer with marginal spec impact but offer much more flexibility.**

**Q1. Do you agree to lift the restriction about the symmetric association between RLC entities and PDCP entity specified in 38.323 to allow building asymmetric UM RLC entities for a radio bearer?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree/Disagree | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## [R2-2109945](file:///D:\01_RAN2%20meeting\2021%201101%20RAN2-116e\내부%20준비%20회의%20관련\Docs\R2-2109945.zip) Clarification on the ciphering of LTE EHC header Samsung discussion Rel-16 NR\_IIOT-Core

[R2-2109946](file:///D:\01_RAN2%20meeting\2021%201101%20RAN2-116e\내부%20준비%20회의%20관련\Docs\R2-2109946.zip) CR for the ciphering of LTE EHC header (Rel-15) Samsung CR Rel-15 36.323 15.6.0 0297 - F NR\_IIOT-Core

[R2-2109947](file:///D:\01_RAN2%20meeting\2021%201101%20RAN2-116e\내부%20준비%20회의%20관련\Docs\R2-2109947.zip) CR for the ciphering of LTE EHC header (Rel-16) Samsung CR Rel-16 36.323 16.4.0 0298 - A NR\_IIOT-Core

**Reason for change:**

In RAN2#107bis, RAN2 made the following agreements:

* The EHC function is in PDCP
* The EHC header is located after the SDAP header, and it is ciphered

However, it is not clear whether to cipher the EHC header in the current PDCP specification.

**Q2. Do you agree to clarify the ciphering of LTE EHC header in 36.323 given that RAN2 already clarified the ciphering of NR EHC header in 38.323 in the last meeting?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree/Disagree | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Q3. Which option do you prefer if you agree to clarify the ciphering of LTE EHC header in 36.323? or do you have any other suggestion?**

|  |
| --- |
| **Option 1 (LTE style)** 6.3.3 Data Length: Variable  The Data field may include either one of the following:  - Uncompressed PDCP SDU (user plane data, or control plane data); or  - Compressed PDCP SDU (user plane data only); or  - UDC header and UDC Data Block if UDC is configured.  - EHC header and compressed PDCP SDU if EHC is configured. |

If we go for Option 1, then one Rel-16 CR would be needed.

|  |
| --- |
| **Option 2 (NR style)** 6.3.3 Data Length: Variable  The Data field may include either one of the following:  - Uncompressed PDCP SDU (user plane data, or control plane data); or  - Compressed PDCP SDU (user plane data only); or  NOTE: All fields other than PDCP PDU header and MAC-I belong to Data field.‎ |

If we go for Option 2, then one Rel-15 CR and one Rel-16 CR would be needed.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Preferred option | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Q4. Do you agree to both R2-2109946 (Rel-15 CR) and R2-2109947 (Rel-16 CR) if you prefer Option 2?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree/Disagree | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## [R2-2110757](file:///D:\01_RAN2%20meeting\2021%201101%20RAN2-116e\내부%20준비%20회의%20관련\Docs\R2-2110757.zip) Clarification on joint EHC and RoHC operation MediaTek Inc. CR Rel-16 38.323 16.5.0 0083 - F NR\_IIOT-Core

[R2-2110758](file:///D:\01_RAN2%20meeting\2021%201101%20RAN2-116e\내부%20준비%20회의%20관련\Docs\R2-2110758.zip) Clarification on joint EHC and RoHC operation MediaTek Inc. CR Rel-16 36.323 16.4.0 0299 - F NR\_IIOT-Core

**Reason for change:**

When ROHC and EHC are jointly configured for a DRB, the current specifications require the EHC decompressor to bypass the ROHC decompressor for non-IP packets. When this operation was discussed in R2-109e, the understanding was that the EHC decompressor could detect the presence or absence of an IP header from the Ether type field in the Ethernet header (post decompression). Hence the following agreement was reached:

*When a DRB is configured with RoHC and EHC, the receiver/decompressor behaviour* ***for a packet that has non-IP Ethertype (after EHC decompression) is to bypass RoHC*** *and deliver the packet directly to higher layers.*

This agreement is currently captured in the PDCP specification as below:

*If a PDCP Data PDU including non-IP Ethernet packet is received from lower layers, the EHC decompressor shall bypass the ROHC decompressor and deliver the EHC decompressed non-IP Ethernet packet to upper layers*

However, the above requirement does not consider the case where the Ethernet header uses the Length field in place of the Ether type field. If the length field is used, there **is no way** for the EHC decompressor to know if the PDCP data PDU contains an IP packet or a non-IP packet, and the decompressor cannot meet the requirement above. Therefore, the specification needs to be updated to clarify that a mix of IP and non-IP packets can only be expected by the EHC decompressor, if the Ether type field is present in the Ethernet header.

|  |
| --- |
| **Proposed change**  5.12.7 Simultaneous configuration of ROHC and EHC  If both ROHC and EHC are configured for a DRB, the ROHC header shall be located after the EHC header. Figure 5.12.7-1 shows the location of the ROHC header and the EHC header in a PDCP Data PDU.      **Figure 5.12.7-1: Location of ROHC header and EHC header in a PDCP Data PDU**  If a PDCP SDU including non-IP Ethernet packet is received from upper layers, the EHC compressor shall bypass the ROHC compressor and submit the EHC compressed non-IP Ethernet packet to lower layers according to clause 5.2.1.  If a PDCP Data PDU including non-IP Ethernet packet is received from lower layers, the EHC decompressor shall bypass the ROHC decompressor and deliver the EHC decompressed non-IP Ethernet packet to upper layers according to clause 5.2.2.  If both ROHC and EHC are configured for a DRB, the DRB is only expected to have a mix of IP and non-IP packets if the Ethernet header contains the TYPE field. |

**Q5. Do you agree to R2-2110757 (38.323) and R2-2110758 (36.323)?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree/Disagree | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# Conclusion

**TBD**