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# 1. Introduction

This document summarizes issues identified in documents submitted to A.I. 8.6.4 Aspects specific to RACH based schemes, except for the issues covered in Post114-e [504][505][506][507][508]. Before make the final conclusion, companies’ inputs for each identified issue is expected during the first week of 115 e-meeting.

# 2 Contact Information

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Contact | E-mail |
| CATT | Chandrika Worrall | chandrika@catt.cn |
| Sony | Yassin Awad | Yassin.Awad@sony.com |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# 3. Configurations for RA-SDT

## 3.1 BWP used for RA-SDT

The company proposals related to this topic are summarized in the table below.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Tdoc | Proposals |
| vivo [3] | Proposal 4: Only initial BWP is supported for RA-SDT. |
| ZTE [8] | Proposal 9: NW is allowed to configure 2-step SDT resource only on initial BWP without 4-step SDT RACH resource, in which case the fallback from 2-step RACH to 4-step RACH is not allowed in RA-SDT. |
| Apple [9] | Proposal 5: The RA-SDT resource is configured on the initial BWP or the separate SDT BWP, and the initial BWP is overlapped with the separate BWP. |
| Sony [13] | Proposal 4: An additional “configurable” BWP (DL and UL) should be supported for RA-SDT  • The additional BWP should overlap with the initial BWP operating with the same numerology  • If agreed, RAN2 should send an LS to RAN1 to design layer 1 aspects (e.g. search space monitoring, switching) for UEs in INACTIVE state. |
| Ericsson [14] | Proposal 1: RA-SDT resources are configured on the initial BWP |
| Nokia [16] | Proposal 4: Support separate SDT BWP for RA-SDT along with initial BWP. |

It has been agreed that CG-SDT resources can be configured on either initial BWP or separate SDT BWP in RAN2#114e, while the same issue needs to be further discussed for RA-SDT. [9][13][16] think that a configurable BWP can be supported for RA-SDT considering the capacity of initial BWP, i.e., the RA-SDT resources can be configured on the separate SDT BWP in addition to initial BWP. [3][8][14] propose that RA-SDT shall be perform on initial BWP. Since there is no significant majority, views from more companies are expected.

**Q1: Whether RA-SDT can be configured on non-initial BWP?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Detailed Comments |
| CATT | Yes | It introduces flexibility if non-initial BWP can be configured for RA-SDT. But we agreed that at least the UE needs to monitor the paging (for SI update and PWS indication). Hence, the dedicated BWP for RA-SDT should be configured some search spaces to allow the UE to monitor related behaviour. |
| Sony | Yes | Separate non-initial BWP (DL and UL) would increase the RA-SDT capacity in the cell. This non-initial BWP (DL and UL) can also be reused for the separate CG BWP as well, so in practice both RA-SDT and CG-SDT can locate on the same (separate) BWP. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 3.2 Signaling used to configure RA-SDT resources

The company proposals related to this topic are summarized in the table below.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Tdoc | Proposals |
| vivo [3] | Proposal 1: RA-SDT related PRACH resources are configured within SIB1. |
| Apple [9] | Proposal 6: The RA-SDT related configuration (including RACH and UE dedicated configuration) is provided via the SIB of the cell in which UE triggers the RA-SDT. |
| ASUSTek[22] | Proposal 1: RA-SDT configuration is contained in the system information. |

As agreed, CG-SDT resources are provided to UEs in RRC\_CONNECTED only within the RRCRelease message. However, it has not been discussed how to receive the RA-SDT resources. Considering that only contention-based RA-SDT is supported and UE can trigger the procedure in a cell other than the last serving one, all these three companies propose to configure the RA-SDT resources via system information, i.e., SIB1. Rapporteur thinks this can be easy agreement but it is better to collect companies’ views for confirmation.

**Q2.1: Do companies agree that the SDT related RACH resources are configured via system information, i.e., SIB1?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Detailed Comments |
| CATT | Yes |  |
| Sony | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Furthermore, [9] and [22] discuss to introduce the flexibility for network to control whether RA-SDT is enabled, i.e., per cell and/or per UE.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Tdoc | Proposals |
| Apple [9] | Proposal 2: gNB indicates whether to support the RACH-SDT in SIB1 per serving cell.  Proposal 3: If the INACTIVE UE is configured with RA-SDT via the RRCRelease message, UE is only allowed to trigger SDT procedure when the RA-SDT indication is in the SIB1 of current serving cell. |
| ASUSTek [22] | Proposal 2: FFS on whether enabling RA-SDT per UE is under network control. |

However, rapporteur thinks that it has already been feasible for network to enable or disable the RA-SDT in an implicit way by whether or not providing the resources and/or SDT-RBs configuration. Q2.2 is to ask for the inputs from companies on whether it is necessary to introduce an explicit indication to enable/disable the RA-SDT.

**Q2.3: Whether an explicit indication (other than RA-SDT configuration) to enable/disable RA-SDT is supported?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Detailed Comments |
| CATT | No | The UE can be aware if RA-SDT is enable or disable based on RA-SDT configuration in SIB1. |
| Sony | No | UE has already read SIB1 from the cell, hence we think it indicates the RA-SDT is enabled in this cell. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 3.3 SDT-specific parameters

The company proposals related to this topic are summarized in the table below.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Tdoc | Proposals |
| Samsung [2] | Proposal 1: powerRampingStepHighPrioritySDT and scalingFactorBISDT are signaled in RACH configuration for SDT.   * + - * These parameters are separately signaled for 2 step RACH configuration for SDT and 4 step RACH configuration for SDT. UE applies these parameters when RACH is initiated for SDT |
| vivo [3] | Proposal 2: RSRP threshold for SSB selection is specific to SDT. |
| OPPO [4] | Proposal 1: For 4-step RA-SDT, when two preamble groups are configured, UE selects preamble groupB if the potential data size is larger than the data volume threshold as well as the measured RSRP is above the RSRP threshold, which is as legacy. The data volume threshold and RSRP threshold for preamble group selection are configured specific for SDT.  Proposal2: |
| ZTE [8] | Proposal 11: SDT specific configuration should be allowed for the following RACH parameters:   * SSB selection   rsrp-ThresholdSSB  msgA-RSRP-ThresholdSSB   * Power control related parameters   preambleReceivedTargetPower  msgA-PreambleReceivedTargetPower  powerRampingStep  msgA-PreamblePowerRampingStep   * Other RACH procedure related parameters   ra-ResponseWindow  ra-ContentionResolutionTimer  msgB-ResponseWindow  Proposal 13: SDT specific RA-Prioritization is not needed. |
| Qualcomm [11] | Proposal 4: A different monitor/response window timer should be introduced which applies to RACH based small data transmission. |
| Ericsson [14] | Proposal 4: Postpone further detailed agreements on SDT RACH configuration until a course of action is agreed jointly with the other interested WIs |
| Huawei [15] | Proposal 4: When two preamble groups are configured for RA-SDT, the following parameters should be SDT specific:   * the data volume threshold, i.e.: * ra-sdt-Msg3SizeGroupA for 4-step RA-SDT * ra-sdt-MsgA-SizeGroupA for 2-step RA-SDT. * pathloss related parameters, i.e.: * preambleReceivedTargetPower, msg3-DeltaPreamble, messagePowerOffsetGroupB for 4-step RA-SDT * msgA-PreambleReceivedTargetPower, msgA-DeltaPreamble, messagePowerOffsetGroupB for 2-step RA-SDT; |

For the RACH resource configuration, the stage-3 signaling design will be discussed in the joint session together with other WI. While it is still necessary to identify those RACH-related parameters that can be configured specific for RA-SDT purpose in SDT session. The proposed parameters are categorized in the following table and companies are invited to make a further review.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Category | Parameters |
| SSB selection | * rsrp-ThresholdSSB [vivo, ZTE] * msgA-RSRP-ThresholdSSB [vivo, ZTE] |
| Power control | * preambleReceivedTargetPower [ZTE, Huawei, OPPO] * msgA-PreambleReceivedTargetPower [ZTE, Huawei] * powerRampingStep [ZTE] * msgA-PreamblePowerRampingStep [ZTE] * msg3-DeltaPreamble [Huawei, OPPO] * msgA-DeltaPreamble [Huawei] |
| Procedure related | * ra-ResponseWindow [ZTE] * ra-ContentionResolutionTimer [ZTE] * msgB-ResponseWindow [ZTE] |
| Preamble group related | * ra-Msg3SizeGroupA [Huawei, OPPO] * ra-MsgA-SizeGroupA [Huawei] * messagePowerOffsetGroupB for 4-step RA [Huawei] * messagePowerOffsetGroupB for 2-sep RA [Huawei] |
| RA prioritization related | * powerRampingStepHighPrioritySDT [Samsung] * scalingFactorBISDT [Samsung] |

.

**Q3.1: Do companies agree that all the parameters in the above table can be SDT-specific? If no, please point out which one(s) and why.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Detailed Comments |
| CATT | No | Regarding the windows in RA-SDT, i.e. *ra-ResponseWindow*, *ra-ContentionResolutionTimer* and *msgB-ResponseWindow*, we think it is not necessary to be SDT specific. There is no LBT failure like issue happen in SDT. Therefore, it is not needed to introduce new values. |
| Sony | Yes, but | Some refinements of the parameters are needed |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Q3.2: In addition to the parameters discussed in Q3.1, do companies think that any parameters in RACH-ConfigCommon/RACH-ConfigGeneric (for 2-step RACH and for 4-step RACH) can not be SDT-specific? If yes, please point out which one(s) and why.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Detailed Comments |
| CATT | No |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 3.4 RACH configuration with shared ROs

The company proposals related to this topic are summarized in the table below.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Tdoc | Proposals |
| Huawei [15] | Proposal 1: To achieve the RACH configuration of shared ROs with separated preambles, the following configurations should be supported:   * 4-step RA-SDT shares ROs with 4-step RA and/or 2-step RA * 2-step RA-SDT shares ROs with 4-step RA and/or 2-step RA * 2-step RA-SDT shares ROs with 4-step RA-SDT and/or 4-step RA and/or 2-step RA. |

As agreed, separation of RACH resources for SDT and non-SDT can be achieved by using a different RO+preamble combination, which can be further divided into two cases:

1. shared ROs with separate preambles
2. separated ROs with either separate or shared preambles

For a), the ROs for 4-step RA-SDT can be either shared with 4-step RA (case 1) or with 2-step RA (case 3), and the ROs for 2-step RA-SDT can be either shared with 4-step RA (case 2) or with 2-step RA (case 4), where all the specific configurations are all under the control of the network. Companies are invited to confirm there is no potential issue to support all these possible configurations.

**Q4: Do companies agree that all the following configurations shall be considered for shared ROs case? If no, please point out which one(s) and why.**

* **4-step RA-SDT shares ROs with 4-step RA and/or 2-step RA**
* **2-step RA-SDT shares ROs with 4-step RA and/or 2-step RA**
* **2-step RA-SDT shares ROs with 4-step RA-SDT and/or 4-step RA and/or 2-step RA.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Detailed Comments |
| CATT | Yes | We think if the preambles are separately configured, all the above configurations shall be considered for shared ROs case. |
| Sony | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# 4. Resource selection

## 4.1 Preamble group selection

The company proposals related to this topic are summarized in the table below.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Tdoc | Proposals |
| OPPO [4] | Proposal 1: For 4-step RA-SDT, when two preamble groups are configured, UE selects preamble groupB if the potential data size is larger than the data volume threshold as well as the measured RSRP is above the RSRP threshold, which is as legacy. The data volume threshold and RSRP threshold for preamble group selection are configured specific for SDT.  Proposal 2: For 2-step RA-SDT, when two preamble groups are configured, UE makes the selection between the two groups based on the RSRP threshold and the payload size associated with each preamble group. Data volume threshold for preamble group selection is not configured for 2-step RA-SDT. |
| Huawei [15] | Proposal 3: For the RA-SDT preamble group selection, the UE should consider: CCCH SDU size plus MAC subheader + SDT data size plus MAC subheader and Pathloss. |

In order to support flexible TB size of Msg3/MsgA, up to two preamble groups as legacy can be configured for RA-SDT of each type, i.e. 2-step RACH type or 4-step RACH type. As a result, UE shall further make the selection between the two preamble groups after the RACH type is determined.

As listed in the following table, [4] and [15] think that both potential data size and pathloss shall be considered for preamble group selection. [4] further propose that data volume threshold may not be configured for 2-step RA-SDT in order to avoid unnecessary subsequent transmissions. [15] thinks that it is not enough to take the CCCH SDU size plus it MAC subheader and pathloss into account when make the selection, but also the size of SDT data plus MAC subheader. Rapporteur thinks that this change is necessary and more companies’ views are expected in order to reach an agreement.

**Q5: Do companies agree that for the RA-SDT preamble group selection, the UE should consider SDT data size plus MAC subheader in addition to CCCH SDU size plus MAC subheader and pathloss?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Detailed Comments |
| CATT | Yes | Regarding the pathloss threshold, we think the legacy threshold can be reused in SDT. But for *ra-Msg3SizeGroupA*, we think one new threshold is necessary for SDT. |
| Sony | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 4.2 SSB selection

The company proposals related to this topic are summarized in the table below.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Tdoc | Proposals |
| Intel [5] | Proposal 12. UE select any SSB when none of the SSBs meet the SS-RSRP thresholds for 2-step RA-SDT. Same approach is taken for 4-step RA-SDT. |

Legacy operation of the SSB selection is shown below from TS 38.321:

1> else (i.e. for the contention-based Random Access preamble selection):

2> if at least one of the SSBs with SS-RSRP above *rsrp-ThresholdSSB* is available:

3> select an SSB with SS-RSRP above *rsrp-ThresholdSSB*.

2> else:

3> **select any SSB.**

….

1> else (i.e. for the contention-based Random Access Preamble selection):

2> if at least one of the SSBs with SS-RSRP above *msgA-RSRP-ThresholdSSB* is available:

3> select an SSB with SS-RSRP above *msgA-RSRP-ThresholdSSB*.

2> else:

3> **select any SSB.**

It is still unclear how to handle the case if there is no qualified SSB for RA-SDT. Two possible approaches are as follows:

1. UE selects any SSB and continues with RA-SDT procedure.
2. UE selects non-SDT procedure

**Q6: Which option do you prefer to handle the case if there is no qualified SSB for RA-SDT?**

* **Option1: UE selects any SSB and continues with RA-SDT procedure.**
* **Option2: UE selects non-SDT procedure**
* **Option3: Others, please specify**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Option1/2/3 | Detailed Comments |
| CATT | Option 2 | We think if the no SSB is beyond the configured threshold, it means that the RA-SDT criteria are not satisfied. So it is naturally that the UE goes to non-SDT procedure. |
| Sony | Option 1 | We should apply the same legacy mechanism, note that there is also SDT-RSRP threshold which ensures SDT to succeed in case of large cells. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# 5. Fallback and switching

## 5.1 RA type switching with SDT

The company proposals related to this topic are summarized in the table below.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Tdoc | Proposals |
| vivo [3] | Proposal 6: The Rel-16 fallback and switching mechanism for 2-step RACH are reused for 2-step RACH based SDT procedure. |
| Intel [5] | Proposal 4.To confirm the support to fallback from 2-step RA-SDT to 4-step RA-SDT or to 4-step RACH via fallbackRAR (following similar approach to legacy NR fallback from 2-step RACH to 4-step RACH).  Proposal 5.Fallback from 2-step RA-SDT to 4-step RA-SDT via Msg.1 is supported same to legacy NR (i.e. preamble group selected should be the same and UE would expect that the size for Msg.A and Msg.3 sizes are the same). |
| ZTE [8] | Proposal 8: For the fallback from 2-step RACH to 4-step RACH within one RACH procedure, 2-step RACH with SDT resource can only fallback to 4-step RACH with SDT resource (i.e. 2-step SDT RACH can not fallback to 4-step normal (i.e. non-SDT) RACH.).  Proposal 9: NW is allowed to configure 2-step SDT resource only on initial BWP without 4-step SDT RACH resource, in which case the fallback from 2-step RACH to 4-step RACH is not allowed in RA-SDT.  Proposal 10: The MsgA-TransMax for the fallback from 2-stepSDT RACH to 4-step SDT RACH is specific to SDT. |
| NEC[10] | Proposal 1: Support fallback from 2-step RA-SDT to 4-step RA-SDT after N times of MsgA transmission.  Proposal 2: The maximum number of MSG A transmission is specific to SDT (i.e. separately configured for SDT). |
| Huawei [23] | Proposal 3: Fallback can be explicitly indicated by the network:  - For 2-step RA based SDT, UE falls back to 4-step RA-SDT procedure upon receiving fallbackRAR from the network. For 4-step RA based SDT, the fallback can be realized by the gNB providing a smaller grant in the first received RAR and optionally indicating that the UE should only include RRC message (no user data) in msg3  - For CG-based SDT, fallback indication is included in DCI.  Proposal 4: UE falls back from 2-step RA SDT procedure to 4-step RA-SDT procedure upon reaching the maximum number of msgA transmissions. |

In Rel-16 2-step RACH, the fallback indication can be included in MsgB when the network can not decode the MsgA payload successfully. And the UE can be configured to switch to CBRA with 4-step RA type if the 2-step RACH is not completed after a number of MSGA transmissions, i.e., via MsgA-TransMax. For RA-SDT, it is still under discussion whether the fallback and switching procedure as legacy 2-step RACH are also supported in 2-step RA-SDT.

In order to avoid any ambiguity in the following discussions, rapporteur proposes that the terminology ‘fallback’ refers to the procedure that UE receive a fallbackRAR in MsgB which is used to schedule Msg3 transmission, the terminology ‘switching’ means the UE switches from 2-step RA-SDT to 4-step RA-SDT after a configured number of unsuccessful attempts.

**Q7.1: Whether the fallbackRAR reception as legacy 2-step RACH is supported in 2-step RA-SDT?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Detailed Comments |
| CATT | Yes | We support from 2-step RA-SDT to 4-step RA-SDT via fallbackRAR. |
| Sony | Yes | It should only be supported if both 2-step and 4-step are configured on the same BWP for SDT. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Q7.2: Whether the switching procedure as legacy 2-step RACH is supported in 2-step RA-SDT, i.e., UE can switch from 2-step RA-SDT to 4-step RA-SDT after N times of MsgA transmissions?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Detailed Comments |
| CATT | Yes | This is similar to the fallback procedure for 2-step common RA fallback to 4-step common RA. |
| Sony | Yes | Possibly the counter (N) can be reduced to a small value. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 5.2 RA type switching between SDT and non-SDT

The company proposals related to this topic are summarized in the table below.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Tdoc | Proposals |
| Samsung [2] | Proposal2: Network can send indication in RAR/fallbackRAR/DCI to switch to non-SDT procedure. |
| Qualcomm [11] | Proposal 2: Network sends an indication in RAR or fallbackRAR to switch UE from RACH based SDT to legacy RRC resume procedure. |
| Sony [13] | Proposal 1: UE switches from SDT to non-SDT when initial UL transmission (in msgA/Msg3/CG resources) fails configured number of times. |
| Nokia [16] | Proposal 1: Support switching from SDT to non-SDT procedure for RA-SDT if initial UL transmission fails configured number of times (ie., PREAMBLE\_TRANSMISSION\_COUNTER = preambleTransMax +1).  Proposal 2: Indication to switch from SDT to non-SDT over RAR/fallbackRAR is not supported. |
| ASUSTek [20] | Proposal 1: When the Msg1/MSGA transmission fails configured number of times in a RA-SDT procedure, the UE stops the ongoing SDT procedure and initiate a legacy RA procedure (i.e., without UL data). |
| Huawei [23] | Proposal 3: Fallback can be explicitly indicated by the network:   * For 2-step RA based SDT, UE falls back to 4-step RA-SDT procedure upon receiving fallbackRAR from the network. For 4-step RA based SDT, the fallback can be realized by the gNB providing a smaller grant in the first received RAR and optionally indicating that the UE should only include RRC message (no user data) in msg3 * For CG-based SDT, fallback indication is included in DCI. |

In RAN2 #113bis, it was agreed that UE switches from SDT to non-SDT when UE receive indication from network to switch to non-SDT procedure. Network can send RRCResume. FFS whether network can send indication in RAR/fallbackRAR/DCI to switch to non-SDT procedure. Another FFS is whether a UE can switch from SDT to non-SDT if initial UL transmission (in msgA/Msg3/CG resources) fails for a configured number of times, but no agreement was reached. Ffurther discussion shall be focused on whether UE can switch from SDT to non-SDT by the following two ways:

Case1: Network triggered - via RAR/fallbackRAR/DCI

Case2: UE triggered - switching to non-SDT after a number of failures at the initial transmission stage

Companies are invited to answer the following questions to make a way forward on these issues.

**Q8: Whether network can send indication in RAR/fallbackRAR/DCI to switch to non-SDT procedure?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Detailed Comments |
| CATT | No | We have agreed that the network can send RRCResume message if the network wants to switch the UE from SDT to non-SDT. We don’t need to an additional approach to switch to non-SDT by network. |
| Sony | Yes, partially | We only support the case of **RAR/fallbackRAR,** we think additional **DCI** is not needed. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Q9: Whether switching to non-SDT after a number of failure at the initial transmission stage is supported?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Detailed Comments |
| CATT | - | We don’t see the strong motivation/benefit to support the UE autonomously switches from RA-SDT to non-SDT after a number of failure. But no strong view. |
| Sony | Yes | It should be possible a UE to move to connected mode (i.e., non-SDT procedure) |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# 6. Subsequent transmissions

## 6.1 PDCCH monitoring

The company proposals related to this topic are summarized in the table below.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Tdoc | Proposals |
| Vivo [3] | Proposal 3: UE monitors PDCCH addressed to C-RNTI in the separate CSS for RA-SDT after successful contention resolution only if the SDT detection timer is running. Send an LS to RAN1 asking whether it is feasible. |
| OPPO [4] | Proposal 3 For RA-SDT, UE keeps monitoring PDCCH after the contention resolution until the reception of the indication to terminate the SDT. |
| APT [7] | Proposal 2: UE continuously monitors the PDCCH on the common search space for RA-SDT within the subsequent transmission phase of the RA-SDT. |
| Google [19] | Proposal 1: For RA-SDT, UE should start a window to monitor PDCCH as in CG-SDT.  Proposal 2: Discuss whether CG-SDT and RA-SDT have the same window size and the same timer. |
| ASUSTek [21] | Proposal 1: In RA-SDT procedure, the UE monitors the PDCCH addressed to C-RNTI when a timer is running for subsequent transmission.  Proposal 2: RAN2 should discuss when the timer is started: |
| Huawei [24] | Proposal 11: Similarly as for CG-SDT, a PDCCH monitoring timer is used for RA-SDT scheme. A common timer can be used for PDCCH monitoring of CG-SDT and RA-SDT. |

As agreed in RAN2#113bis-e, UE would start a window after CG/DG transmission for CG-SDT, during which the UE monitor PDCCH. It is still FFS whether a similar timer shall also be introduced for RA-SDT procedure.

**Q10.1: Whether a timer is started to control the PDCCH monitoring after contention resolution, i.e., a timer similar as that for CG-SDT?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Detailed Comments |
| CATT | No | It is sufficient to use SCG failure detection timer or RRC message to end the PDCCH monitoring for SDT after contention resolution. |
| Sony | Comment | If the SDT detection timer is started/Restarted after each DL Grant/UL Grant, do we need another timer? |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Q10.2: If the answer of Q10.1 is yes, whether a common timer can be used for PDCCH monitoring of CG-SDT and RA-SDT?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Detailed Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 6.2 Beam management

The company proposals related to this topic are summarized in the table below.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Tdoc | Proposals |
| Samsung [2] | Proposal 4: After the completion of RA procedure initiated for SDT and until the SDT procedure is completed/terminated, UE measures (e.g. periodically) the SS-RSRP of SSB selected during the RA procedure. If SS-RSRP is below a threshold:  -UE initiates RA procedure. During the RA procedure UE transmits C-RNTI MAC CE in Msg3/MsgA. The C-RNTI included in MAC CE is the C-RNTI received during the RA procedure initiated for SDT |
| Sony [13] | Proposal 2: RAN2 to send an LS to RAN1 to investigate how to address the beam failure detection (BFD) and beam failure recovery (BFR) for SDT. |

Upon completion of RA procedure for SDT, for subsequent UL/DL transmissions in RRC\_INACTIVE state, UE monitors PDCCH addressed to C-RNTI. Issues come to whether BFD and BFR procedure shall be introduced for SDT since there is a case that the selected SSB may be not qualified any more during the subsequent transmission phase. Considering RAN1 would be mainly involved if companies intend to support, it is suggested to send an LS to RAN1 for further progress instead of triggering detailed discussion in RAN2.

**Q11: Do companies think that it is necessary to send an LS to RAN1 to check whether BFD and BFR is supported for RA-SDT?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Detailed Comments |
| CATT | Yes | This is also valid for CG-SDT. |
| Sony | Yes | RAN1 should be informed to investigate the necessity of BFD and BFR. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 6.3 PUCCH resources for HARQ-ACK

The company proposals related to this topic are summarized in the Table below.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Tdoc | Proposals |
| OPPO [4] | Proposal 1: RAN2 confirms that HARQ-ACK is needed for the DL transmissions in SDT procedure.  Proposal 2: Consult with RAN1 whether it is necessary to introduce a set of PUCCH resources dedicatedly for SDT usage. |

As agreed, when UE is in RRC\_INACTIVE, it should be possible to send multiple UL and DL packets as part of the same SDT mechanism without transitioning to RRC\_CONNECTED on dedicated grant. For DL transmission, HARQ-ACK is necessary to guarantee the reliability. In current specification, the pucch-ConfigCommnon is used to configure a set of cell-specific PUCCH resources. UE uses these resources until a dedicated PUCCH configuration is available. While the support of DL data transmission in SDT might heavy the burden of PUCCH resources. Further discussion on the PUCCH resources for HARQ-ACK during SDT might be necessary.

**Q12: Do companies think that it is necessary to send an LS to RAN1 to check the PUCCH resources used for HARQ-ACK during SDT?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Detailed Comments |
| CATT | Yes | We think this is necessary in SDT considering subsequent transmission is agreed in SDT. |
| Sony | Yes | We should also consider to include PUCCH for CG-SDT where a UE may receive further DL data (PDSCH). |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 6.4 TAT expiry

The company proposals related to this topic are summarized in the Table below.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Tdoc | Proposals |
| Samsung [2] | Proposal 3: RAN2 to discuss and agree on one of the following options for handling TAT expiry during the SDT procedure.  - Option 1: UE terminates the ongoing SDT procedure  - Option 2: UE does not terminates the ongoing SDT procedure. UE suspends the UL transmission (except Random Access Preamble and MSGA transmission). UE waits for PDCCH order from gNB. Upon receiving PDCCH order, UE initiates the RACH and TAT timer will get re-started.  - Option 3: UE does not terminates the ongoing SDT procedure. UE suspends the UL transmission (except Random Access Preamble and MSGA transmission). UE initiates RACH. |

SDT procedure continues upon completion of random access procedure initiated for SDT. While the SDT procedure is ongoing, TAT timer may expire. How to handle this case needs further discussion.

**Q13: Which option do you prefer to handle the case when TAT expires during RA-SDT procedure?**

* **Option1: UE terminates the ongoing SDT procedure.**
* **Option2: UE suspends all UL transmissions and triggers RACH if any UL transmission is needed (same as in connected mode)**
* **Option3: Others, please specify.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Option1/2/3 | Detailed Comments |
| CATT | Others | The network knows whether TAT expires at the side. So if the network is aware there is UL data left at the UE side and TAT nearly expires, it can send TA command to update TAT. |
| Sony | Option1 | If for some reason TAT expires, a UE initiates a new SDT. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# 7. Support of anchor w/ and w/o relocation

## 7.1 Assistant information

The company proposals related to this topic are summarized in the Table below.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Tdoc | Proposals |
| OPPO [4] | Proposal 3 An indication to inform the anchor gNB that the procedure is for SDT should be included in RETRIEVE UE CONTEXT REQUEST, based on which the anchor gNB can decide whether to perform anchor relocation.  Proposal 4 It is the receiving gNB to generate the indication after determining the procedure triggered by UE is for SDT.  Proposal 5 The target gNB includes the security algorithms that it supports or prefers in RETRIEVE UE CONTEXT REQUEST message, based on which the anchor gNB can decide not to perform the anchor relocation if the security algorithms are not matched between the anchor gNB and target gNB. |
| Spreadtrum [6] | Proposal 2：UE assistant information can be included in the first UL message. |
| Qualcomm [11] | Proposal 7: The Retrieve UE Context Request message contains the assistance information provided by the serving gNB. It can be up to RAN3 to decide the details. |
| Sony [12] | Proposal 3: Assistance information may support the gNB to make the proper decision on Anchor relocation. |
| Huawei [15] | Proposal 5: Assistance information transferred from the receiving gNB to the last serving gNB for allowing the last serving gNB to take an informed decision whether to perform anchor relocation or not shall at least contain the following:   * UE’s expected traffic pattern, e.g. number of packets to be transmitted for SDT DRB in UL/DL, single-shot/multi-shot transmission etc. * Buffer status for data from SDT DRBs.   RAN2 should send an LS to RAN3 on assistance information provided to last serving gNB. |
| CMCC [17] | Proposal 1: Subsequent data information, e.g. BSR, traffic pattern, should be informed to receiving gNB by UE; receiving gNB convey assistance information to anchor gNB in Xn for UE AS context retrieve procedure.  Proposal 2: Contains the Assistance information as cause value provided by the UE in the RRC ResumeRequest or the RRCResumeRequest message. |
| CATT [18] | Proposal 1: For UE transition from SDT to RRC\_CONNECTED during SDT without anchor relocation, RAN2 asks RAN3 to consider:  - which node (the current serving gNB and/or anchor gNB) can trigger the transition from SDT to RRC\_CONNECTED;  - Some assistance info on dedicated radio resource configurations from the current serving gNB to anchor gNB needs to be considered. |

In RAN2#111e, it was confirmed that both anchor relocation and without anchor relocation will be considered in SDT for mobility scenario. For anchor without relocation solution, RNAU procedure can be taken as a reference. The anchor gNB may not relocate the UE context and keep the UE in RRC\_INACTIVE when UE is identified to perform an RANU procedure within the configured RNA. Otherwise, the UE context shall be always relocated from the anchor gNB to the target gNB when an RRC resume request is triggered by UE. The anchor gNB determines that the ongoing procedure is for RNAU by means of the ResumeCause IE included in RETRIEVE UE CONTEXT REQUEST. While as agreed in RAN2, SDT is transparent to NAS and an existing resume cause would be generated. Therefore, other assistant information needs to be provided from the serving gNB to the anchor gNB. Rapporteur thinks that this is mainly a RAN3 issue while discussion might be necessary on whether there is any information that shall be provided by UE.

**Q14.1: Regarding assistant information, which option do you support?**

* **Option 1: Existing assistance information (e.g. BSR) – i.e. no changes**
* **Option 2: New Assistance information (e.g. traffic pattern, RAI)**
* **Option 3: No assistance information (RAN3 discuss if any is needed)**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Option1/2/3 | Detailed Comments |
| CATT | Option 1/option 2 | If the UE predicts the incoming data, it can help the anchor gNB to decide whether to perform anchor relocation or not. |
| Sony | Option 2 | Additional information should be discussed that can help the anchor gNB to decide. Such information could be related to not only BSR, but if there are other information about subsequent data or not, of there are some latency or mobility aspects to be considered. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
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