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**1. Overall Description:**

During RAN2#113\_e meeting, RAN2 had achieved the following agreement relevant to support of emergency services for SNPN:

* **R17 UEs in SNPN Access Mode can camp on an acceptable SNPN cell supporting emergency services to obtain emergency services.**

In RAN2#115\_e meeting, RAN2 agreed to:

* **Introduce a new IE/field to indicate the support of IMS emergency service for SNPN.**

And also, RAN2 discussed the availability of emergency services for R17/R16/R15 UEs. Regarding the R17 SNPN-capable UEs that are not in SNPN Access Mode and R17 Non-SNPN capable UEs. RAN2 tends to reach the following conclusion:

* **The R17 SNPN-capable UEs that are not in SNPN Access Mode and R17 Non-SNPN capable UEs cannot camp on an SNPN cell supporting emergency services to obtain emergency services via any SNPN.**

RAN2 would like to ask CT1/SA2 to confirm RAN2’s understanding.

**Question:**

Can CT1/SA2 to confirm that the R17 SNPN-capable UEs that are not in SNPN Access Mode and R17 Non-SNPN capable UEs cannot camp on an SNPN cell supporting emergency services to obtain emergency services via any SNPN.

**2. Actions:**

RAN2 respectfully asks CT1/SA2 to answer the above question.

**3. Date of Next RAN WG2 Meetings:**

RAN2#116-e 1st November - 11th November 2021 Online