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# 1 Introduction

This contribution summarizes the following discussion:

* [AT115-e][015][NR15] UE Capabilties I (Ericsson)

Scope: Determine agreeable parts in a first phase, for agreeable parts agree on CRs. Treat R2-2108379, R2-2108380, R2-2108381, R2-2108382, R2-2108581, R2-2108582, R2-2108583, R2-2108584, R2-2108676, R2-2108677, R2-2106909, R2-2107977, R2-2107978,

Intended outcome: Report, agreed CRs if applicable

Deadline: Schedule 1

Companies are invited to fill in contact details.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Contact details** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | zhaoyang@huawei.com |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# 2 Discussion

## 2.1 Part 1: Intended to determine agreeable parts

The CRs in [1][2][3][4] intend to clarify the fallback band combination definition to avoid misleading interpretations e.g. “The current wording suggests that the network should first configure a parent band combination and then deconfigure an SCell/UL SCell/SCG to end up with a fallback band combination” as stated in the coversheet.

**Q1 Do companies agree with the intention of the CRs above?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | No | We think the current text is OK except the SUL correction part, and the proposed changes are not necessary. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

The CRs in [5][6][7][8] intend to clarify that the UE should also support the band combination resulting from the release of SUL configuration, i.e. “the logic of fallback by releasing SCell or SCell uplink should also be applied to SUL case” as stated in the coverseet.

**Q2 Do companies agree with the intention of the CRs above?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Yes | Proponent |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

The CRs in [9][10] intend to clarify how the *CarrierAggregationVariant* capability is applicable to the fallback definition when configuring single CC or single mode CA, e.g. “if for a BC (FDD + TDD CA) *CarrierAggregationVariant* indicates pCell can only be placed on FDD cell, whether a TDD only single Cell/CA is considered as “Fallback band combination’ of the combination?” as stated in the coverseet. The CRs propose to clarify that *CarrierAggregationVariant* is applicable to any fallback band combination, including the case when configuring single CC or single mode CA.

**Q3 Do companies agree with the intention of the CRs above?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Yes | Proponent |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

The CRs in [12][13] are related to the LS received from RAN1 [11] where it is confirmed that *multiDCI-MultiTRP* is applicable to the fallback definition. Hence, the CRs propose to correct the fallback definition for feature set per CC in a generic manner, which accounts for the *multiDCI-MultiTRP* capability (introduced in Rel-16) and *ModulationOrder* (introduced in Rel-15 and confirmed to be part of fallback definition in a previous LS R2-1810975).

**Q4 Do companies agree with the intention of the CRs above?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 2.2 Part 2: Intended to progress discussion on agreeable parts

- To be updated after discussion on part 1 -

# 3 Conclusion

- To be updated after discussion on part 1 -
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