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1. Introduction

During the online session it has been agreed to begin working towards an agreeable LS that could be send to RTCM to discuss the work carried out by 3GPP and RTCM on GNSS integrity.

|  |
| --- |
| * [AT114-e][624][POS] LS to RTCM on GNSS integrity (ESA)

      Scope: Draft an LS to RTCM informing them of our agreements on GNSS integrity and soliciting their input.      Intended outcome: Agreeable LS      Deadline:  Thursday 2021-05-27 0000 UTC |

Note, that RTCM was the first to reach to 3GPP by sending an LS to RAN at the RAN #88e meeting – see RP-200557 [1]. In this LS, RTCM asks for cooperation between the two organisation on high accuracy and integrity messages definition.

This contribution puts forward several considerations for a potential LS to RTCM.

1. Review of RP-200557 (LS from RTCM to 3GPP)

Besides providing an overview of its work over the last decades, RTCM asks 3GPP about the possibility to jointly develop the following points:

1. *Communication protocols and Compression*

*Design and development of advanced and efficient protocols for the broadcasting of GNSS augmentation data for high accuracy positioning in the framework of current and emerging mobile communication systems (e.g. 5G).*

*Analysis of compression means for big data transmission in an automotive V2I perspective*

1. *IoT protocols and data formats*

*Analysis and development of standards for high accuracy positioning metadata transmission and Edge computing developments, taking into account security threats and sensor agnostic solutions.*

1. *Security and Antispoofing*

*Analysis of protocols and messages for counteracting security threats and spoofing techniques through signal authentication*

1. *Integrity and Safety for emerging applications*

*Analysis of mobile communication network faults and relevant Integrity support messages transmission for guaranteeing safety in the merging transport applications (e.g. autonomous driving).*

Note, this LS has not been answer and is now approximately one year old. The initial list of items provided by RTCM may not be relevant anymore.

|  |
| --- |
| Q 1: Do RAN2 delegates consider we need to answer to the initial LS? If yes, do delegates have any considerations on the above list they would like to see included in the LS to be sent by RAN2 to RTCM? |
| Company | Agree/Disagree | Comments if any |
| ESA | Yes | Looking at the list of points mentioned by RTCM, if it´s to begin work with RTCM on all, we estimate that this would require several 3GPP releases. As a priority for Rel17, we would recommend to open a channel with RTCM integrity working group to collaborate **on item d**. although the scope of this collaboration needs clarifications from RTCM – this is addressed in more details in next section. We suggest to leave points a. to b. for future studies in next releases and to ask RTCM to further clarify the intention behind point c. |
| Hexagon | Yes | We agree with ESA that we should focus our collaboration on item d. We are interested to hear if RTCM SC-134 is able to share information on their progress on items a-c and whether there are specific topics related to these they like to collaborate on with 3GPP.Furthermore, we believe that we should work together with RTCM SC-134 on defining the integrity information that is applicable to emerging (transport) applications, i.e. the information that has to be relayed from the Correction Service infrastructure to the UE. It would be a very good outcome of 3GPP/RTCM collaboration if it ensures that the mapping of integrity information & message content between the two formats is understood, and ideally fully possible, so that integrity content initially encoded in RTCM SC-134 defined messages can be converted to 3GPP defined messages, or vice versa.We see it as very important that there is significant commonality in the integrity information content defined and encoded in both RTCM SC-134 and 3GPP messages, to enable maximum interoperability between Correction Services and UE’s irrespective of the communication infrastructure. If this is not achieved it will ultimately slow down adoption of either standard, and slow down the emergence of integrity applications in the market place. |
| Huawei, HiSIlicon | Yes | Agree with the companies above that we should focus on integrity aspects of the LS and consider for the others in the future releases. The scope of R17 pos has already been determined and would not be able to accommodate more objectives.  |
| MELCO | Yes | RTCM has a lot of experts on GNSS including integrity topics, we would recommend to communicate and collaborate on item d with RTCM SC-134 for the standardization on 3GPP.For item a-c, it includes the interesting topic, but,  we think that it is beyond the scope for the standardization of integrity in 3GPP. |
| Intel | Yes | We should focus on integrity since it is part of Rel-17 WI. Othesr are out of scope.  |
| CATT | Yes | Agree with above companies and we could clarify that we could not accommodate all these points in one release and may consider to support them in several releases. We also hope RTCM could provide and share more information and their progress on these points. |
| InterDigital | Yes | We have same understanding with ESA and MELCO to focus on point (d) in the LS to RTCM in order to accommodate any harmonization work on GNSS integrity in 3GPP.  |
| Qualcomm | Yes | Given the objectives of our Work Item, item d seem to have most overlap. Potential collaboration should focus on the integrity message definition. |

|  |
| --- |
| Q 2: Do RAN2 delegates agree that we attached to the LS the TR of the NR Pos Enh study item? |
| Company | Agree/Disagree | Comments if any |
| ESA | Yes | We think the TR can help RTCM get up to speed with all the work carried out by RAN2 on the topic of GNSS integrity during the study item phase. |
| Hexagon | Yes | We agree; this will be an effective way of updating the RTCM SC-134 committee on the discussions and status within 3GPP and will help identify the areas where collaboration will be most needed |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | No strong view | If we can confirm the content of the TR as formal agreement for the group in the WI, they can be sent to RTCM. Otherwise, we should re-examine the progress we have in the TR and only send the agreements to RTCM when they are formally agreed.We think the current question 4 is sufficient already, i.e., asking RTCM to provide feedback to the chapter on integrity in the TR.  |
| MELCO | Yes | We agree that we attached to the LS the TR proposed by ESA because it is valuable to confirm the basic understanding between 3GPP and RTCM SC-134 committee. |
| Intel | Yes |  |
| CATT | Yes | And hope RTCM SC-134 committee could check and give further suggestions or comments to integrity part in the TR. |
| InterDigital | Yes | We think the TR can be included with the LS, with the intention of indicating to RTCM the scope of the topics on GNSS integrity discussed during the SI phase in 3GPP. The contents in the TR can at least be used as a starting point to motivate any feedback and inputs from RTCM on related topics.  |
| Qualcomm | No | We do not see the need to send them the TR since most of the terminology and content is 3GPP specific which would require quite a bit of explanation for someone not familiar with the 3GPP system and architecture. And the basics/background of GNSS integrity we would not need to explain to RTCM anyhow. However, if there is majority view that the TR should be attached, we would also be O.K. But then I think the rapporteur should be prepared to go to RTCM and explain the context/background of the TR, since I would expect many questions… |

|  |
| --- |
| Q 3: Do RAN2 delegates agree that we include in the LS the sentence from bellow which identifies our priorities when have to pick from the list of items suggested by RTCM?Out of the four topics, RAN2 puts priority on the Integrity and Safety for emerging applications as there are synergies with the scope of GNSS integrity as part of NR Positioning Enhancements work item.  |
| Company | Agree/Disagree | Comments if any |
| ESA | Yes | See answer to Q1 |
| Hexagon | Yes | Yes.  |
| Huawei,HiSIlicon | Yes |  |
| MELCO | Yes | See answer to Q1 |
| Intel | Yes |  |
| CATT | Yes |  |
| InterDigital | Yes |  |
| Qualcomm | Yes |  |

|  |
| --- |
| Q 4: Do RAN2 delegates agree with the 4 questions and their scope?  |
| Company | Agree/Disagree | Comments if any |
| ESA | Yes | We think these four questions are a good basis for an initial contact with RTCM SC134. Additional suggestions (both text rephrasing and/or new items) are very welcome. |
| Hexagon | Yes | It is worth adding to Question 3, specifically stating, that RAN2 will share its working documents, progress and timeline with RTCM SC134 prior to SC134 meetings |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Yes |  |
| MELCO | Yes | We agree with 4 questions including scope.  RTCM SC-134 has the web meeting on May 26 and 27, the collaboration with 3GPP will also be discussed. |
| Intel | Yes |  |
| CATT | Yes | We would like to see more views from RTCM. |
| InterDigital | Yes |  |
| Qualcomm | No | On Q4, same as above. I.e., this would require some more background explanations to RTCM. For example, how HA-GNSS is supported in 3GPP, what MO-LR, MT-LR etc. means, what assistance data are, what UE-assisted and UE-based mode means, etc. Indeed, explaining how integrity is supposed to work for UE-assisted mode may be quite challenging.The focus of the collaboration should be to develop a common set of integrity messages (assistance data in our terminology); i.e., Q1.However, as said above, also fine to go with majority view. |

|  |
| --- |
| Q 5: If relevant, please provide any additional items you consider needs be included in the LS |
| Company | Additional items |
| Hexagon | See comment to Question 1 |
| InterDigital | We are not sure how familiar RTCM is with tasks of each working group in 3GPP but it may be helpful to mention that RAN2 is responsible for specification of radio interface architecture and protocols in 3GPP. |

1. GNSS Integrity – tentative draft LS to RTCM

Title: LS on GNSS integrity assistance data

Release: Release 17

Work Item: NR\_pos\_enh
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To: RTCM SC134

Cc: RTCM

**Contact Person:**

Name: Florin Grec

Tel. Number: xxxxxx
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**Send any reply LS to: 3GPP Liaisons Coordinator,** **mailto:3GPPLiaison@etsi.org**
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**1. Overall Description:**

In the past, 3GPP has relied on RTCM specifications to carry out its work on RTK and SSR during Release 15. Most recently, as part of the study NR\_pos\_enh, RAN2 carried out an initial feasibility study on GNSS integrity in the context of mobile networks positioning architecture and protocols. The summary of this work is included 3GPP TR 38.857 Sections 9 and 10.11. At the moment, the work on GNSS integrity moved to the normative phase which is expected to last until Q1 2022. This work item takes place at the same time RTCM, through its SC-134 working group, works on a standard for GNSS integrity messages and the first specifications are expected in the 2021-2022 timeframe.

Through LS RP-200557 RTCM expressed interest in setting up a collaboration with 3GPP on the following topics: Communication protocols and Compression, IoT protocols and data formats, Security and Antispoofing, and Integrity and Safety for emerging applications.

Out of the four topics, RAN2 puts priority on the Integrity and Safety for emerging applications as there are synergies with the scope of GNSS integrity as part of NR Positioning Enhancements work item.

3GPP documents are publicly available whereas RTCM meetings and documents in between public releases of standards are less open and usually restricted to members only.

RAN2 would like to learn from RTCM (Radio Technical Commission for Maritime Services):

* **Question 1: RAN2 would like to ask RTCM SC134 whether the interest to collaborate on definition of GNSS integrity messages is still of interest.**
* **Question 2: RAN2 would like to ask RTCM SC134 to disclose the timeline and the scope of its work on a standard for GNSS integrity.**
* **Question 3: Should a collaboration between 3GPP and RTCM gets established, RAN2 would like to understand from RTCM if its working documents can be shared with RAN2 on a regular basis.**
* **Question 4: RAN2 would like to ask RTCM SC134 to provide feedback on the work carried out by RAN2 on GNSS integrity summarized in sections 9 and 10.11 of TR 38.857.**

**Actions:**

**To RTCM SC134.**

**ACTION:** RAN2 respectfully asks RTCM SC134 to provide feedback on the above questions.

**3. Date of Next RAN2 Meetings:**

RAN2#115-e 16th – 27th August 2021 Electronic meeting

RAN2#115-bis-e xst – xth October 2021 Electronic meeting
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