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# Introduction

The following objectives for the email discussion [AT113b-e][251][NR] Slice-specific cell reselection was provided by the chair:

Scope:

* + - Summarize main open issues based on contributions and online agreements.
    - Highlight if there are topics that clearly require online discussion.
    - Identify topics that might benefit from email discussions.

Intended outcome:

* + - Discussion summary in [R2-2104321](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113bis-e/Docs/R2-2104321.zip) (by email rapporteur)

Deadline for providing comments and for rapporteur inputs:

* + - Initial deadline (for companies' feedback): 1st week Fri, UTC 0900
    - Initial deadline (for rapporteur's summary): 2nd week Mon, UTC 1200

# Discussion

## Deployment scenario

One company proposed to focus on deployment scenario 1 and 2 [1]. The deployment scenario will impact the solution details about the prioritisation for slice specific cell reselection discussed in Section 2.3. The RAN2 agreement on homogeneous deployment should also be considered on top of the scenarios. Deployment scenario could be considered as part of the solution details; for example if a scenarios is not covered by the solution.

## Whether slice specific cell reselection happens at the time MO

Some companies discussed clarifying/updating the definition of intended slice. There are different definitions of intended slice depending on the context. The definition could be relevant if it is used to define the prioritisation mechanism for slice specific cell reselection. If cell reselection should be considered at the time of MO, MO could also be considered in the definition of intended slice for cell reselection

While some companies [5, 10] also considered the intended slice for MO for purpose of cell reselection (i.e., UE does reselection at the time of MO), other companies seems to be relating the intended slice for purpose of cell reselection with IDLE and/or INACTIVE. This issue could also be related to homogeneous deployments [4]. Online discussion was not able to conclude on this.

Question #1: Please provide any initial views on whether to support slice based cell reselection at the time of MO?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company Name | Yes/No | Comments |
|  |  |  |

## Slice info in SIB

### How to indicate slice info in SIB

Most companies seems to be considering broadcasting available slices in current/neighbouring cell/frequencies. In addition, priority and intra-frequency reselection parameters are also proposed and these are discussed in later sections.

There are different proposals along with pros and cons for providing slice information such as Slice access category, SST and possibly SD, Slice group, TA list based [14], encoded slice info [12] (please note that only the reference to the last two are provided as these options may not be well known and if someone wants to refer to the contribution for a better understanding). Based on the current contributions, SST, and slice group seems to have bigger majority. There is some support for Slice access category but also many concerns were also raised on this option [1, 2, 13, 19].

For slice grouping, companies also discussed whether to signal this over RRC or NAS [1, 7, 19, 20]. Rapporteur feels that this can be discussed after decision is made on the broadcast slice info.

Question #2: Please indicate company preference on what is broadcast as slice availability (e.g., Slice access category, SST and possibly SD, Slice group, TA list based, encoded slice info) or if you feel that email discussion could be helpful before online discussion? Online discussion is expected for final agreement.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Company Name | Preferred indication of slice availability  (e.g., Slice access category, SST and possibly SD, Slice group, TA list based, encoded slice info) | Progress by email before online: Yes/No? | Comments  (please avoid copy paste pros and cons from contributions) |
|  |  |  |  |

### Security risk of broadcasting NSSAI and need for SA3 LS

If NSSAI is broadcast, there were previously some concerns about security issue and an LS to SA3 was suggested to check for any issues with broadcasting SST [10]. Some companies [1, 2, 8] expressed a view that the security concern is related to the slice selection by UE and not about broadcasting the available slices. They felt that it is not essential to check with SA3. In any case, the security risk is only an issue if SST (optionally with SD) is chosen.

Rapporteur feels that an LS to SA3 may not be essential at this point, provided the lack of response from SA3 is not as an argument against use of SST option.

Question #3: Do companies agree that it is not essential to check with SA3 immediately about any potential security issue with broadcasting SST and possibly SD?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company Name | Yes/No | Comments |
|  |  |  |

### Which SIB, SIB segmentation and on-demand SIB

There were differing views [1, 6, 10, 9, 12, 21] expressed on which SIBs should broadcast the slice info (SIB1/2/3/4/5/new) depending also on what is broadcast. The details of this will depend on the prioritisation solution for slice specific cell reselection such as whether intra-freq, inter-frequency, inter-RAT is broadcast. Assuming slice availability for serving cell/frequency have to be provided:

Question #4: Should slice availability for neighbour cell/frequency be provided?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company Name | Yes/No | Comments |
|  |  |  |

There were also different views on whether SIB segmentation [2, 8, 16] and on-demand SIB is needed [6, 10] or can be used if the slice information size is large. As discussed in section 2.2, most companies are proposing some shortened form of slice info. Considering this, and that is possible to use SIB segmentation/on demand if the slice info is found necessary later, rapporteur suggests to consider this after the discussion on the broadcast slice info.

Question #5: Do companies see a need to support segmentation/on-demand SIB?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company Name | Support: Yes/No | Comments |
|  |  |  |

## Prioritisation mechanism in UE for slice specific cell reselection

This aspect can be considered the key part of the solution required for the WI. Some companies provided some details of how to use the slice info for determining the priority for slice specific cell reselection, with varying level of details marked as FFS. Assumption on homogeneous deployment and the applicable deployment scenario can also impact the details of the solution. There are many inter-related aspects to consider regarding this that are mentioned in contributions, at high level (not an exhaustive list):

* Definition of intended slice

The definition is relevant if the term “intended slice” is used to define UE behaviour for slice specific cell reselection; e.g., prioritisation based on intended slice. Some update of definition was proposed by some companies [1,15, 19] such as allowed slice, suspended slices, requested slice, IDLE/INACTIVE/MO.

* How the highest priority slice is chosen when there is more than one intended slice in the UE

Depending on the definition of intended slice, it is possible that UE may have more than one intended slice (for example, if intended slice is the allowed slices). In this scenario, and also depending on the actual solution, UE may need to pick one of these intended slices to use for prioritisation for slice specific cell reselection. Companies proposed different algorithms for this selection [2, 3, 7, 21]

* Frequency priority information as part of slice info

Many companies propose to also provide the frequency priority as part of the slice info [1, 4, 10, 13, 16, 19, 20, 21], for example when the intended slice is available on more than one frequency. The UE uses this along with the intended slice/highest priority slice to decide which frequency layer to prioritise. Others [7, 9, 11, 12, 17], proposed a UE based algorithm for frequency prioritisation for slice based cell reselection. If priority is broadcast, the format for providing this information is also provided by some companies.

These are inter-related aspects of a particular solution, especially the second and third related to the actual frequency prioritisation. Further details of the solutions will most likely require company contributions into next meeting. Some discussion on the definition of intended slice could be progressed separately by email but it might be difficult to already check for company views this meeting.

Question #6: Do companies feel that progress by email on definition of intended slice could be helpful before online discussion? And other aspects of prioritisation mechanism for slice specific cell reselection to be based on company contributions into next meeting.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company Name | Is email discussion on definition of intended slice helpful: Yes/no | Comments |
|  |  |  |

## Sliced based Intra-frequency and inter-frequency cell reselection parameters

There are a few proposals to also consider intra-frequency and inter-frequency slice specific cell reselection or providing different reselection parameters for slices [1, 14, 16, 17]. These companies feel that reselecting an intra/inter-frequency cell based on slice availability will be acceptable/needed from the radio perspective. It is difficult to judge the level of wider support for this based on the contributions given that only few companies discussed this.

Question #7: Please indicate whether slice specific Intra-frequency and inter-frequency cell reselection parameters should be supported?

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Company Name | Intra-frequency parameters Supported:  Yes/No | Inter-frequency parameters Supported:  Yes/No | Comments |
|  |  |  |  |

## Slice info in RRC release

### Additional information in RRC Release such as validity area

Some companies proposed that Information provided in RRC Release could be restricted to a certain restricted validity area [7, 8, 17]. As only very few companies discussed this, some discussion by email can be useful before online discussion. Given the RAN2 agreement to support homogeneous slice availability, there may be less motivation for restricted validity area.

Question #8: Please indicate whether Additional information in RRC Release such as validity area should be supported?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company Name | Additional information in RRC Release such as validity area should be supported: Yes/No | Comments |
|  |  |  |

# Summary and proposals

….
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