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# 1 Introduction

The following email discussion was defined to make progress about NR multicast PTM to PTP operation.

* **[AT113bis-e][036][MBS17] PTM PTP operation switching (Ericsson)**

Scope: Based on R2-2103518 and related on-line discussion, offline on P6/P7, focus on the main aspects, determine the options on the table (with significant support) with brief justifications (the issue(s) that an option is expected to address) and converge if possible. If R1 aspects e.g. DCI impacts need to be captured we can capture FFS for now, no LS now.

Intended outcome: Report.

Deadline: In time for CB Tuesday April 20

# 2 Background (reiterated)

The endorsed 38.300 running CR contains the following definitions for PTM and PTP and also a text on the RLC entities:

For multicast service, gNB may deliver MBS data packets using the following methods:

- PTP Transmission: gNB individually delivers separate copies of MBS data packets to each UEs independently, i.e. gNB uses UE-specific PDCCH with CRC scrambled by UE-specific RNTI (e.g., C-RNTI) to schedule UE-specific PDSCH which is scrambled with the same UE-specific RNTI.

- PTM Transmission: gNB delivers a single copy of MBS data packets to a set of UEs, e.g., gNB uses group-common PDCCH with CRC scrambled by group-common RNTI to schedule group-common PDSCH which is scrambled with the same group-common RNTI.

A gNB node dynamically decides whether to deliver multicast data by PTM or PTP for a given UE based on the protocol stack defined in section16.x.3.

…

For multicast session, the UE may be configured with two RLC-UM entities for an RB: one RLC entity is used to receive data using PTP transmission, and the other RLC entity is used to receive data using PTM transmission, as described in section 16.x.5.4. And the UE may be configured with one RLC-UM or RLC-AM entity for an RB for multicast session, which can be used to receive data using PTP transmission. Alternatively, the UE may be configured with one RLC-UM entity for an RB for multicast session, which can be used to receive data using PTM.

## 2.1 Agreements from main session 113e-bis:

Agreements

Chair: NOTE that the below agreements are only based on architecture decisions so far. The reliability discussion not concluded yet i.e. other cases than RLC UM + RLC UM. PTM PTP switch for such other cases is FFS

* Dynamic PTM/PTP switch is supported for a split MRB bearer (type) with a common (single) PDCP entity.
* As a baseline, no new UE based signalling is introduced to support gNB switch decision (e.g. PDCP SR for high reliability is still TBD)

# 3 PTM and PTP operation for switching

From several contributions (e.g. R2-2100643, R2-2100677, R2-2100942, R2-2101143, R2-2101317, R2-2101605) a minimum main-stream procedure to setup and use the MRB with both PTP and PTM was discussed (as presented in R2-2103518):



Figure 2.3-1: Baseline procedure

*In step 0 the UE is in RRC Connected, normal unicast is setup, MBS groups are setup and now the gNB wants to establish an MRB.*

*In step 1 the gNB configures the UE to setup the MRB bearer with PTM and PTP. This configuration should roughly contain RLC modes, G-RNTI, etc. The exact details can be decided later.*

*In step 2 the UE monitors both G-RNTI and C-RNTI for MRB traffic for both PTM and PTP. The UE receives PDUs on G-RNTI and/or C-RNTI which does not result in any activation/deactivation of any leg/RNTI. Selection between PTM and PTP is a scheduling decision in the gNB.*

---

Additionally some contributions (R2-2100173, R2-2100506, R2-2100677, R2-2100988, R2-2101012, R2-2101217, R2-2101317, R2-2101627) mention the need to rapidly activate/deactivate G-RNTI (and correspondingly the PTM leg). As the UE always should monitor for C-RNTI while in RRC connected (legacy), the discussion should determine if the UE may suspend/resume monitoring for G-RNTI based on e.g. some indication. Some contributions (e.g. R2-2100084, R2-2100321, R2-2100942) mention a two-step process where the network could activate the UE to enable PTM after the configuration step.

---

From the online session, it is clear that most companies think that PTM PTP switching is a scheduling decision by gNB (or directly related to scheduling), and this may be transparent to the UE. However, it was not clear to what extent activation/deactivation, power saving and additional bearer configurations that was to be supported for a switch between operation in PTM and PTP.

Currently companies' opinion can be summarised to:

* That there may be power saving gain in suspending/resuming monitoring of G-RNTI.
* There are a number of uncertainties in the significance to those gains: complexity, SPS (as supported for MBS in RAN1), assuming MBS specific DRX (discussed under group scheduling) and the related support for additional signalling.
* Other operational scenarios for where the PTM and PTP leg is not “active” simultaneously for a split MRB bearer type.
	+ The Rapporteur suggests postponing this issue for now.

As a result, there are these two initial points for discussion:

1. Assuming a split-MRB (as agreed during the online session) configured with a PTM leg and PTP leg, the usage of the PTP leg of the split-MRB is determined by UE scheduling by gNB following R16 for unicast DRBs and no activation or deactivation is required after the necessary split-MRB configuration. (Majority view from the email discussion into the meeting)
2. Assuming a split-MRB (as agreed during the online session) configured with a PTM leg and PTP leg, the usage of the PTM leg of the split-MRB may be subject to per-UE activation or deactivation.

Proposal from the Rapporteur:

1. Agree to 1, FFS on 2.

Q1: Please summarize and motivate your view on the Rapporteur proposal.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Summary:**

1. tbd

# 8 Summary

Based on above email discussion summary, the following proposals are presented for discussion and agreement:

TBD