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# 1 Introduction

This contribution is aimed at providing a summary of contributions regarding the mobility and tracking area aspects in IoT-NTN. The following 22 contributions with “Idle Mode Mobility (Cell Selection/Re-selection and Tracking Area Update), Connected Mode Mobility and System Information Broadcast (SIB)” are summarized:

1. [R2-2102744](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113bis-e/Docs/R2-2102744.zip), Discussion on control plane for IoT over NTN, OPPO
2. [R2-2102829](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113bis-e/Docs/R2-2102829.zip), On Cell-Reselection in NR-NTN, MediaTek Inc.
3. [R2-2102957](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113bis-e/Docs/R2-2102957.zip), Discussion on the mobility of IoT over NTN, CATT
4. [R2-2102961](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113bis-e/Docs/R2-2102961.zip), Essential adaptations for discontinuous coverage in IoT-NTN, Gatehouse Satcom A/S
5. [R2-2103051](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113bis-e/Docs/R2-2103051.zip), Connected mode and idle mode mobility Qualcomm
6. [R2-2103136](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113bis-e/Docs/R2-2103136.zip), Discussion on RRC Idle mobility for IoT NTN Xiaomi
7. [R2-2103183](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113bis-e/Docs/R2-2103183.zip), Discussion on connected mode mobility in NB-IoT and eMTC NTN Xiaomi
8. [R2-2103190](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113bis-e/Docs/R2-2103190.zip), On the mobility aspects of IoT-NTN Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bells
9. [R2-2103243](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113bis-e/Docs/R2-2103243.zip), Discussion on the issue of mobility for IoT over NTN Spreadtrum
10. [R2-2103342](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113bis-e/Docs/R2-2103342.zip), Control plane aspects of IoT over NTN ZTE Corporation, Sanechips
11. [R2-2103411](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113bis-e/Docs/R2-2103411.zip), Potential issues in IoT NTN with discontinuous coverage Lenovo, Motorola Mobility
12. [R2-2103412](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113bis-e/Docs/R2-2103412.zip), Further considerations on RLF-based mobility for NB-IoT in NTN Lenovo, Motorola Mobility
13. [R2-2103510](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113bis-e/Docs/R2-2103510.zip), Discussion on Mobility for NTN NB-IoT Huawei, HiSilicon
14. [R2-2103511](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113bis-e/Docs/R2-2103511.zip), Discussion on discontinuous coverage for NTN NB-IoT Huawei,
15. [R2-2103727](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113bis-e/Docs/R2-2103727.zip), RLF-based NB-IoT mobility in NTN CMCC
16. [R2-2104298](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113bis-e/Docs/R2-2104298.zip), Discussion on TA Update for IoT-NTN CMCC
17. [R2-2104017](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113bis-e/Docs/R2-2104017.zip), Mobility for NB-IoT and LTE-M in NTN Ericsson
18. [R2-2102745](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113bis-e/Docs/R2-2102745.zip), Discussion on system information enhancement for IoT over NTN OPPO
19. [R2-2102830](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113bis-e/Docs/R2-2102830.zip), On Providing Ephemeris Information in IoT-NTN MediaTek Inc.
20. [R2-2103052](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113bis-e/Docs/R2-2103052.zip), Enhancement to SIB acquisition Qualcomm
21. [R2-2103233](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113bis-e/Docs/R2-2103233.zip), On system information enhancement and IoT features applicability for NTN Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell
22. [R2-2103357](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113bis-e/Docs/R2-2103357.zip), SIB and IoT features applicability for IoT over NTN ZTE Corporation, Sanechips

**Note-1**: RAN Plenary (RP) recommendations are to keep scope small and guidance in RP-210915 shall be taken into account when assessing the proposals, i.e. focus on essential enhancements. Non-essential enhancements should be considered only if impact is small.

* [AT113bis-e][0xx][IoT NTN] Mobility and Tracking Area (Mediatek)

      Scope: Take into account the contributions in AI 9.2.3. Collect comments. Determine which additional enhancements to be considered for IoT NTN (if any). Note that the RP recommendations to keep scope small and guidance in RP-210915 shall be taken into account when assessing the proposals, i.e. focus on essential enhancements. Non-essential enhancements should be considered only if impact is small.

      Intended outcome: Report

 **Initial Deadline for comments: Thursday April 15, UTC: 1 PM.**

 Rapporteur’s Summary Upload: Thursday April 15, UTC: 11 PM.

 Final Deadline for comments on Rapporteur Summary: Friday April 16, UTC: 1 PM.

**Note-2**: As TN-NTN mobility is out-of-scope of this Study Item, proposals corresponding to TN-NTN mobility are not discussed in this summary.

# 2 Contact Information

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Name | Email |
| MediaTek Inc. | Abhishek Roy | Abhishek.Roy@mediatek.com |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Tangxun | tangxun@huawei.com |
| OPPO | Haitao Li | lihaitao@oppo.com |
| Xiaomi | Xiaolong Li | lixiaolong1@xiaomi.com |
| ZTE | Ting Lu | lu.ting@zte.com.cn |
| LG | Oanyong Lee | aidoy.lee@lge.com |
| GateHouse | Robert van der Pool | rvp@gatehouse.com |
| Novamint | Thierry Bérisot | tberisot@novamint.com |
| Sateliot | Ramon Ferrús | ramon.ferrus@sateliot.space |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# 3 Idle Mode Mobility in IoT-NTN

In RAN2#113-e meeting, NB-IoT/eMTC support for NTN was discussed over email discussion, and the following agreements were made regarding the Idle Mode mobility (Cell Selection/Re-selection and Tracking Area Update):

Table 1: RAN2#113-e Agreements on Idle Mode in IoT-NTN

* **Proposal 3: RAN2 will capture the options for signalling of Tracking Areas in the TR and wait for progress in NR-NTN for possible updates, if applicable to IoT NTN.**
* **Proposal 4(a): Paging capacity is evaluated using the same methodology captured in TR 38.821 as the baseline.**
* **Proposal 4(b): RAN2 will evaluate the paging capacity and the impact on the size of the Tracking Area considering the target IoT NTN device density captured in TR 36.763.**
* **Proposal 5: RAN2 will use cell selection/re-selection mechanism of NB-IoT/eMTC as a baseline. Enhancements introduced for cell selection/re-selection mechanism in NR NTN will be considered if applicable to IoT-NTN.**
* **Proposal 6: Cell selection/re-selection mechanism in IoT-NTN can be enhanced by using satellite assistance (e.g. ephemeris) information (similar to NR-NTN). RAN2 will wait for RAN1’s progress about the details of satellite ephemeris information.**

In the remaining part of this section, we summarize the contributions separately for Cell Selection Re-selection and Tracking Area Update.

3.1 Cell Selection and Re-selection in IoT-NTN

In RAN2#113-e, cell selection/re-selection enhancements in NR NTN was discussed and the following agreements have been made.

Table 2: RAN2#113-e Agreements on Cell Selection/Re-selection in NR-NTN

Agreements:

1. RAN2 thinks that a UE needs to know whether the network is a TN or NTN no later than SIB1 reception
2. The information on when a cell is going to stop serving the area and/or the timing information (e.g. timer or absolute time) about new upcoming cell is supported at least in Earth-fixed NTN scenario. FFS if both types of information are needed. FFS if this is known from system information and/or the ephemeris.

(10/21) contributions have provided proposals on idle mode mobility for IoT-NTN. Among these 10 contributions, 2 contributions (R2-2102829, R2-2102957) have suggested using existing cell selection/re-selection mechanism of NB-IoT/eMTC as a baseline, which was already agreed in RAN2 113-e (Proposal 5). While R2-2102744 has suggested location based cell selection/re-selection, R2-2102829 have pointed out that such optimizations are not needed, as the legacy measurement based methods could be used for cell reselection. Location-based cell reselection requires UE to process its location at every DRX cycle, thereby incurring additional power consumption, which needs to be avoided in IoT/eMTC devices. Moreover, as suggested by RAN plenary, in Rel-17 the focus is only on essential enhancements. R2-2102957, on the other hand, has suggested the use of legacy (measurement-based) cell selection/re-selection for earth-fixed beams.

R2-2103190 has suggested enhancements for reduction of additional energy consumption for eDRX operation and relaxed RRM measurements. Similarly, R2-2103591 considers a scheme where the UE is alternating between eDRX and PSM based on the presence or outage of coverage. However, these solutions can be considered as optimizations over basic essential (minimum working) solution. Given the guidance from RAN Plenary to focus on essential enhancements, it is imperative to agree on basic working solution and consider further enhancements in future releases. Hence, based on this discussion, the rapporteur asked the following question:

**Question 1: Do the companies agree that existing measurement based procedures can be used for a baseline working solution for Cell Selection/Re-selection in IoT-NTN and further enhancements (e.g. eDRX enhancements and measurement relaxation) can be considered in future releases?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes / No** | **Comments (if any)** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Yes but | Existing cell (re-)selection mechanism can be reused in IoT NTN but this will be at the cost of the battery life, e.g. in case of moving cells or discontinuous coverage. Also, paging does not work in discontinuous coverage scenario, so only PSM can be used. Further enhancement could be done if time allows. |
| OPPO | No | We think camping on a non-best cell may cause even more UE power. Since existing RSRP-based procedures have difficulty to reflect the cell edge and center, in our view location needs to be used together in evaluating the target cell. |
| Xiaomi | Yes but | We think existing measurement based procedures can be used as a baseline, but the enhancements from NR-NTN also can be considered, such as timing information about serving cell. |
| ZTE | No | Even there is kind of guideline about focusing on basic essential solution, as long as LEO NTNs with moving cells scenario would be considered, we still think unnecessary UE power consuming in Cell Selection/Re-selection for IoT UE with long eDRX cycle/PSM and under quick moving cells cannot be neglected (even the UE might still be a stationary one). Such issue might be seldom in legacy IoT network and doesn’t exist in NR NTN (as eDRX cycle is not supported there). Therefore, to address this issue is kind of essential work other than just optimization.Specifically, as mentioned in [R2-2103342], the main reason for the issue is that the potential serving cell for the UE in subsequent eDRX cycle might be totally different from the neighbor cells that are measured by the UE in an eDRX cycle. Therefore, the neighbor cell measurement in an eDRX cycle may not give any help for the UE to select to the next satellite in the subsequent eDRX cycle and such neighbor cell measurement is useless. The straightforward way for addressing this issue is to try to avoid useless or unnecessary neighbour cell measurement in this case when eDRX cycle is configured. |
| LG | Yes | As Xiaomi commented, we think that existing measurement based UE mobility procedures and enhancements from NR-NTN (e.g. ephemeris based cell selection and reselection) can be also considered. |
| GateHouse | No | Agree with ZTE that existing procedures may be used as abaseline, but the case of discontinuous coverage and LEOmoving beams should be addressed in Rel 17.As indicated in [R2-2102961](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113bis-e/Docs/R2-2102961.zip). Discontinuous reception will be amain issue during deployment of dense LEO constellations, it willbe an issue related to satellite errors/failures in LEO/MEO andfinally some operators plan to deploy low density constellations. |
| Novamint | No | Existing procedures may be used as a baseline however, let’s not forget that we are aiming to have a first workable version in Release 17 which can meet market adoption which means to be able to be very cost effective and to be competitive as well with non-3GPP proprietary solutions considering the use cases targeted such as Asset tracking, asset monitoring…In this context, such first version needs to be able to support small constellations of cubsat (in the case of LEO) with progressive deployment while being already offering the service as soon as the first satellite is in orbit which means discontinuous coverage. Similar for GEO, service link discontinuity is seen as very important for cost perspective.Therefore, we agree with ZTE and GateHouse that dedicated and specific IoT-NTN enhancements for Cell Selection/Re-selection (such as support of service link discontinuity) are essential work and not just optimization.PS: We realised that [R2-2102961](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113bis-e/Docs/R2-2102961.zip) from GateHouse was not listed in the introduction though it is related to this topic so we added it up (with revision marks). It should be added to the references at the end of the document |
| Sateliot | No | As pointed out by ZTE, Novamint and GateHouse, cell selection/re-selection enhancements needed to be able to cope with the nature of service discontinuity / discontinuous coverage / intermittent coverage holes cannot be left to subsequent releases but form part of a minimum workable solution under Rel-17.  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

LEO NTNs with moving beams might not have continuous cell coverage, i.e. there could be intermittent coverage holes between consecutive cells (beams). Hence, UEs might face out-of-coverage problems during the cell movements. (5/21) contributions have provided proposals regarding such discontinuous coverage and its possible solutions. R2-2103342 and R2-2103136 has mentioned that it is beneficial for IoT UE in RRC idle and inactive mode to stop searching a cell in a coverage hole. Subsequently R2-2103342 has also suggested options to determine such discontinuous coverage, including satellite and/or network assistance (e.g. ephemeris information). Studying on reducing UE’s power consumption during discontinuous coverage is also proposed in R2-2103411 and R2-2103511. Support for satellite assistance, including ephemeris information is also mentioned in R2-2102829 and R2-2104017. Hence, based on these contributions the rapporteur would like to ask the following question:

**Question 2: Do companies agree that satellite assistance information could be provided to the UE, so that UE can use this information for acquiring knowledge about coverage holes (out-of-coverage or discontinuous coverage) to improve cell re-selection in IoT-NTN?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes / No** | **Comments (if any)** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Yes | Assistance information could include cell center location and radius, then UE could estimate the cell coverage and determine if it is in coverage or out of the cell. |
| OPPO | Yes | Same view as Huawei. UE may derive the coverage holes from those available cell’s coverage information. |
| Xiaomi | Yes | The satellite assistance information on coverage holes can be provided to UE, then UE should keep in dormancy in the coverage holes to reduce power consumption.  |
| ZTE | Yes, but | We agree the issue of coverage hole in IoT NTN may be more serious than that in legacy IoT network and therefore agree that addressing this issue is also essential. However, we don’t think satellite assistance information provision is the only suitable way for IoT UE. Some other ways for assisting cell selection/reselection for idle mode UE can also be considered, e.g., with more consideration on trade-off between signaling overhead and UE power saving/simplicity. For example, the direct information about when a cell is going to stop serving the area and/or the timing information about new upcoming cell can be provided. This may be benefit to UE as UE no need to do much calculation. Anyway, down-selection on the solutions can be left to WID stage. |
| LG | Yes | The satellite assistance information such as upcoming satellite scheduling information can be used to avoid coverage holes (e.g. because of feeder-link switch) or cell reselection to a cell which will disappear soon. |
| GateHouse | Yes | Solution proposals should be investigated. Since the ephemerisdata (TLE or GNSS+velocity) is considered essential for PUSCHtransmissions it may be convenient to utilize this information. |
| Novamint | Yes | Agree with answers from Huawei, Oppo, Xiaomi, LG and Gatehouse. |
| Sateliot | Yes | Providing UEs with such information needed for UEs to acquire knowledge about coverage holes (out-of-coverage or discontinuous coverage) will not only improve cell re-selection in IoT-NTN but also may be key to many other features (e.g. cell search, managing PSM / eDRX modes, system information acquisition, etc.)  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

3.2 Tracking Area Update in IoT-NTN

In RAN2#113-e, Tracking Area Update in NR NTN was discussed and the following agreements have been made:

Table 3: RAN2#113-e Agreements on Tracking Area in NR-NTN

Agreements:

1. In NTN, the UE determines the TA based on the broadcast information (the use of other information is not excluded). In any case RAN2 will not go in a different direction than other groups
2. In NTN, the network may broadcast more than one TACs per PLMN in a cell, which is to up to network implementation.

It was already agreed in RAN2#113-e that RAN2 will capture the options for signalling of Tracking Areas in the TR and wait for progress in NR-NTN for possible updates, if applicable to IoT-NTN. R2-2102744 and R2-2103190 have proposed to use NR-NTN agreements, mentioned in Table 3, as baseline for IoT-NTN.

 **Question 3: Do companies agree that Tracking Area in IoT-NTN can use the NR-NTN agreements, where the network may broadcast more than one TACs per PLMN in a cell?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes / No** | **Comments (if any)** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Yes | Ok to follow NR, as the issue is the same in both IoT NTN and NR NTN. |
| OPPO | Yes |  |
| Xiaomi | Yes |  |
| ZTE | Yes | “Soft switch" option that one cell can broadcast more than one TAC per PLMN to avoid the frequent TAU for UE has been captured in 36.763. |
| LG | Yes | We are fine to follow the conclusions from NR-NTN. |
| GateHouse | Yes, but | Ok to follow NR for fixed cells, but in the moving beam scenariofurther investigation and definitions are required.In our view, a more flexible TA scheme is warranted.We suggest calling the proposed (NR-TA) TA format 0 and studyother formats. Potentially, allow defined TA formats be picked bynetwork operators. |
| Novamint | Yes, but | As stated by Gatehouse, for the moving beam scenario, further investigation is needed |
| Sateliot | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# 4 Connected Mode Mobility in IoT-NTN

In RAN2#113-e meeting, the following agreements were made regarding the Connected Mode mobility in IoT-NTN.

Table 4: RAN2#113-e Agreements on Cell Selection/Re-selection in NR-NTN

(1) Proposal 1: For eMTC in NTN CHO can be used for both moving cell and fixed cell scenarios, and the CHO procedure and execution condition defined in Rel-16 is the baseline. The existing measurement framework for CHO (e.g. measurement configuration, execution) is the baseline. The existing eMTC measurement criteria and event can be used in NTN. Support for new measurement would need justification, but is not precluded, e.g. for enh coverage. Time or timer based and Location based CHO triggering event, in combination with the existing R16 CHO measurement based event, can be introduced for both moving cell and fixed cell scenarios. Support for new triggering events is not precluded. (note that LTE CHO isn’t supported for 5GC, and same assumptions as LTE applies).

(2) Proposal 2: Rel-17 RLF enhancements in NB-IoT can be considered in NB-IOT NTN, if applicable. Further enhancements on RLF-based mobility can be considered, e.g. by using satellite assistance (ephemeris) information.

(9/21) contributions have provided proposals on Connected Mode mobility for IoT-NTN. Among these contributions, three contributions R2-2102744, R2-2103183 and R2-2103342 have mentioned that satellite assistance (e.g. ephemeris broadcast) can help the UE to configure cell measurements and triggers in Connected Mode mobility. Two contributions R2-2103051 and R2-2103183 have discussed configuration of location and time based triggering event. As such proposals of triggering events are under discussions in NR-NTN as well, it will be wise to wait and use NR-NTN agreements for these configurations. Hence, rapporteur would like to ask the following question:

**Question 4: Do companies agree that for configuration of location and time based triggering events, related to CHO in eMTC-based NTN, RAN2 should follow the proceedings in NR-NTN and use the agreements made in NR-NTN?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes / No** | **Comments (if any)** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Partially Yes | We should also determine at first if existing handover mechanism can be reused, and then evaluate if we can follow the proceedings in NR NTN after there is concrete agreement made in NR NTN. |
| OPPO | Yes |  |
| Xiaomi | Yes | RAN2 can wait and reuse NR-NTN agreements about the configuration of location and time based CHO triggering event. But RAN2 should discuss whether these NR-NTN agreements are suitable for the eMTC NTN. And some specific configuration for eMTC NTN should not be precluded. |
| ZTE | Yes | In order to avoid redundant discussion, we agree to wait for NR-NTN agreements on configuration of location and time based triggering events and then use them if applicable.Another important issue is, if the measurement gap configuration does not consider the propagation delay difference, the UE may be unable to perform measurements on the configured reference signals.Moreover, how to reduce the negative impacts of coverage hole on the service continuity of the connected mode UE is also essential work that needs to be considered, e.g., for both eMTC and NB-IoT. See our comments for Q5. |
| LG | Yes | For all the UE mobility issues in connected mode, NR-NTN can be the baseline. |
| Novamint | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Enhancements on RLF triggers are mentioned in R2-2103243 and R2-2103411. Similarly, conditional RRC reestablishment is proposed in R2-2103412 ad R2-2103727. However, these solutions can be considered as optimizations over basic essential (minimum working) RLF-based solution for NB-IoT mobility. Given the guidance from RAN Plenary to focus on essential enhancements, it is imperative to agree on basic working solution and consider further enhancements in future releases.

**Question 5: Do the companies agree that existing RLF-based mobility procedures can be used for a basic working solution of Connected Mode mobility in NB-IoT based NTN in Rel-17 and further enhancements (RLF triggers and conditional RRC reestablishment) can be considered in future releases?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes / No** | **Comments (if any)** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Yes | Existing RLF-based mobility procedures can be reused in IoT NTN. Further optimization can be considered if time allows. |
| OPPO | Yes |  |
| Xiaomi | Partially Yes | Existing RLF-based mobility procedures can be used for a basic solution and further enhancements can be considered. But conditional RRC reestablishment is not essential for NB-IoT terminals without low latency requirements. |
| ZTE | No | In IoT application, A UE will typically be kept in connected for 10 seconds. However, in LEO NTNs with moving cells case, it’s possible that “*a UE served by an NTN LEO cell of diameter 50 km may remained connected for a maximum of 6.61 seconds*”. Then more RLF may be seen in this case. Moreover, due to existence of coverage hole, whether the UE can timely reestablish to a new cell is also an issue. After RRC reestablishment procedure is triggered, as T310 is some kind of short, if a suitable cell couldn’t be detected during T310, e.g., due to coverage hole, lots of the failure of RRC reestablishment may happen. In order to address the above issues, one possible way is that in discontinuous coverage, eNB can proactively release/suspend the UE before the RLF occurs. |
| LG | Yes, but | We think RLF-based mobility can work, but it takes too much delay because of very long propagation delay. So we may need some enhancements for this. |
| GateHouse | No | Agree with ZTE |
| Novamint | No  | Agree with ZTE too |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

If companies consider any of the RLF enhancement proposals to be essential for the first release, please provide justifications on why these should be considered as essential in Section 6. Hence, based on this discussion, the rapporteur asked the following question.

# 5 System Information Broadcast in IoT-NTN

As mentioned before in Section 2, satellite assistance (e.g. ephemeris) needs to be provided to the UE to improve idle mode mobility during coverage holes (or discontinuous coverage). Ephemeris information is also needed for estimating the round-trip time, needed for timer adjustments and Timing Advancements. While the exact format of ephemeris is in the scope of RAN1, RAN2 needs to decide the signalling for providing this ephemeris to the UE.

(5/21) contributions have provided proposals on System Information Broadcast (SIB) in NR-NTN. Proposals in R2-2102745, R2-2102830, R2-2103052, and R2-2103357 have suggested SIB for providing different assistance information to the UEs. R2-2103052 has also suggested the usage of area-specific SIB to reduce the frequency of SIB-acquisition.

**Question 6: Do companies agree that an NTN-specific SIB needs to be defined for providing satellite ephemeris information to all UEs in IoT-NTN?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes / No** | **Comments (if any)** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | No | Similar to TN/NTN indication, we think UE should derive ephemeris data no later than SIB1 to avoid further power consumption, as UE may need this ephemeris information to calculate and adjust the timing advance from time to time. |
| OPPO | Yes | Ephemeris is needed for UE for many purposes, e.g. TA pre-compensation during RACH. |
| Xiaomi |  | We suggest to wait for the conclusion from NR-NTN. |
| ZTE | Yes | If Satellite ephemeris information is introduced to deal with discontinuous coverage issue, TA pre-compensation, UE mobility issue etc., it’s better to be provided with a NTN-specific SIB. The scheduling periodicity of this NTN-specific SIB can be separately set according to the satellite mobility. |
| LG | Not yet | In NR-NTN, whether to introduce NTN-specific SIB is FFS. So we should wait for the conclusion from NR-NTN. |
| GateHouse | Yes | Agree with ZTE.Also, in addition to the information required to perform immediatesynchronisation, another SIB may contain information relevantfor long term scheduling of PSM and idle DRX as suggested in[R2-2102961.](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113bis-e/Docs/R2-2102961.zip) |
| Novamint | Yes | Agree with OPPO, ZTE, GateHouse |
| Sateliot | Yes | It should also be defined if there is a single type of satellite ephemeris information or distinction should be made at least between:-Short-term satellite ephemeris information, used e.g. for uplink synchronisation / TA pre-compensation-Long-term satellite ephemeris information, used e.g. for coverage hole estimation.  |
|  |  |  |

**Question 7: Do companies agree that it is useful to introduce SI Area Concept, as in NR, to reduce the frequency of SIB-acquisition in IoT-NTN?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes / No** | **Comments (if any)** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | No | This is an optimisation and would require a full redesign of the SIB. However, without any optimisation, there will be impact on the battery life, especially for stationary UEs in the moving cells scenarios.We think it will be useful to study other options to reduce system information acquisition  |
| OPPO | No | This is not essential for IoT-NTN to work. |
| Xiaomi | No | Based on the introduction section, R17 will focus on essential enhancements. The SI Area Concept is an optimisation and can be discussed in the future release.  |
| ZTE | Yes | If IoT NTN moving cell is supported, we think SI Area Concept is needed and beneficial to avoid frequent SI acquisition. Furthermore, the cell beam may also be necessary to balance between frequent TAU and UE density.  |
| LG | No | It is not essential for IoT-NTN. |
| GateHouse | Yes |  |
| Novamint | Yes | Agree with ZTE |
| Sateliot | Yes |  |

Further optimization and enhancements on SIB acquisition, group wake-up signal, preconfigured UL, PUR optimization, relaxed monitoring and multiple CEL support, SIB enhancements, as suggested in R2-2103233, R2-2103357 can be considered as not essential and discussed in future releases. If companies consider any of these proposals to be essential for the first release, please provide justifications on why these should be considered as essential in Section 6.

# 6 Others

 **Question 7: Companies are encouraged to provide any other issues along with justification on why this should be considered as essential in the first release here.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes / No** | **Comments (if any)** |
| GateHouse | Yes | 1) Cell selection and re-selection procedures for thediscontinuous coverage and the moving beams scenario.2) Study TA schemes - at least allowing for other TAformats in future releases. |
| Novamint | Yes | Support for discontinuous coverage/service link discontinuity and moving beams scenario should be consider as essential in the first release for both LEO and GEO in order to allow cost effective and competitive solutions and market adoption |
| Sateliot | Yes | Agree with GateHouse and Novamint comments.In addition, enhancements to enable the proper use of PSM/ eDRX features under the presence of coverage holes are necessary to have a power-efficient, competitive solution.  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# 7 Conclusion

 <To be updated after receiving responses from the companies>
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