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# Introduction

This document is the summary of the offline email discussion “[AT113bis-e][027][IoT NTN] Essential Parts (Huawei)”, as indicated below:

* [AT113bis-e][027][IoT NTN] Essential Parts (Huawei)

 Scope: Take into account the contributions on Essential parts in AI 9.2.1. Collect comments. Identify/confirm enhancements that are considered essential for IoT NTN. Can also collect opinions, on which aspects of those enhancements need further study in the SI. Note it is not expected to achieve full consensus on all points, e.g. for some points it might only be possible to capture observations such as: “there is significant/some/low/no interest to enhance X, to address problem Y”. Exclusion proposals are not the primary focus but can be captured if there is a clear benefit to exclude. Note that this listing is not intended to be an exhaustive scope (the old agreement still generally applies that R2 assumes all functions upto R16 can be supported, unless problems are found).

 Intended outcome: Report

 Final Deadline for comments: Friday April 16 (so the report can be in time for on-line session Monday). Intermediate deadlines by Rapporteur if needed.

Note that discussion of which scenario is supported/ prioritised is not included in this email discussion.

# Discussion

For each enhancement discussed below, please clarify if further study is required on the specific enhancement in addition to what is currently captured in TR 36.723.

## User plane

### Random Access procedure

The following proposals are made in documents [1] - [8]:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Tdoc | Proposals |
| R2-2102828 [2] | Observation 1: Delaying the start of ra-ResponseWindow and mac-ContentionResolutionTimer with an offset can be considered as essential for Rel-17 and this topic has been concluded in TR-36.373Proposal 3: RAN2 prioritises the following functionality for IoT-NTN in Rel-17 * RACH window offsets
 |
| R2-2103177 [5] | Proposal 2: TA pre-compensation is considered as essential minimum functionality.Proposal 3: Enhancement of MAC/RLC/PDCP timers (e.g. RAR window, contention resolution timer, DRX HARQ RTT timer, SR prohibit timer, t-Reordering, discardTimer) to address long RTT should be considered as essential minimum functionality. |
| R2-2103509 [7] | Proposal 1: Random access procedure: The same enhancements to ra-ResponseWindow and ra-ContentionResolutionTimer as NR NTN are reused. Need for enhancements to ra-ResponseWindowSize depends on RAN1. |

1. Do companies think that enhancements to ra-ResponseWindow and mac-ContentionResolutionTimer are essential?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **essential (yes/no)** | **Detailed comments** |
| Xiaomi | **yes** | Otherwise, UE may not be able to receive RAR and msg4. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | **yes** | The solution is already captured in the TR. No additional study needed. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

### HARQ operation and DRX

The following proposals are made in documents [1] - [8]:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Tdoc | Proposals |
| R2-2102828 [2] | Observation 3: Extension of HARQ-RTT-Timer and UL-HARQ-RTT-Timer can be considered as essential for Rel-17 and this topic has been concluded in TR-36.373.Proposal 3: RAN2 prioritises the following functionality for IoT-NTN in Rel-17 * HARQ RTT timer extension

Proposal 4: RAN2 to deprioritise the following functionality for Rel-17• Enhancements related to disabling HARQ |
| R2-2103177 [5] | Proposal 3: Enhancement of MAC/RLC/PDCP timers (e.g. RAR window, contention resolution timer, DRX HARQ RTT timer, SR prohibit timer, t-Reordering, discardTimer) to address long RTT should be considered as essential minimum functionality.Proposal 4: Disabling HARQ is not considered as essential minimum functionality. |
| R2-2103189 [6] | Proposal 2: Only basic HARQ functionality supported in Rel-17. The data rate target in TR need to be removed or re-visited since no HARQ enhancements to be supported. |
| R2-2103509 [7] | Proposal 2: HARQ operation: Disabling of HARQ feedback is not supported in IOT NTN for the considered scenarios. |
| R2-2104016 [8] | Observation 2 The main motivation for introducing enhancements for HARQ operation in NR NTN is to address throughput stalling due to the large HARQ RTT.Proposal 2 The necessity of HARQ enhancements for IoT NTN should be studied considering the reduction in link throughput. |

1. : Do companies think that enhancements to HARQ-RTT-Timer and UL-HARQ-RTT-Timer are essential?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **essential (yes/no)** | **Detailed comments** |
| Xiaomi | **yes** | DRX function is essential for power saving for IOT. If HARQ RTT timer is not enhancement, UE cannot receiving DL/UL retransmission scheduling when DRX is configured.  |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | **yes** | The solution is already captured in the TR. No additional study needed. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

1. : Do companies think that enhancements to disable HARQ are essential?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **essential (yes/no)** | **Detailed comments** |
| xiaomi | **no** | The reason for introducing disabling HARQ is to avoid the peak data rate reduction due to HARQ stalling issue caused by the large RTT. However, for intermittent delay-tolerant small packet transmissions, data rate will not be an issue. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | **no** | Not needed for the use case of intermittent delay-tolerant small packet transmissions |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

### Scheduling request

The following proposals are made in documents [1] - [8]:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Tdoc | Proposals |
| R2-2102828 [2] | Observation 2: Extension of the sr-ProhibitTimer can be considered essential for Rel-17 and this topic has been concluded in TR-36.373.Proposal 3: RAN2 prioritises the following functionality for IoT-NTN in Rel-17 * SR prohibit timer extension
 |
| R2-2103177 [5] | Proposal 3: Enhancement of MAC/RLC/PDCP timers (e.g. RAR window, contention resolution timer, DRX HARQ RTT timer, SR prohibit timer, t-Reordering, discardTimer) to address long RTT should be considered as essential minimum functionality. |
| R2-2103509 [7] | Proposal 3: Scheduling request: sr-ProhibitTimer is modified for including larger values. |

1. : Do companies think that enhancements to sr-ProhibitTimer are essential?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **essential (yes/no)** | **Detailed comments** |
| xiaomi | **yes** | Otherwise, UE cannot be prohibited from sending SR before waiting for UL scheduling. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | **yes** | The solution is already captured in the TR. No additional study needed. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

### UL scheduling, EDT and PUR

The following proposals are made in documents [1] - [8]:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Tdoc | Proposals |
| R2-2102828 [2] | Observation 9: Enhancements related to UL scheduling can be deprioritised for Rel-17.Proposal 4: RAN2 to deprioritise the following functionality for Rel-17* UL scheduling enhancements
 |
| R2-2103177 [5] | Proposal 6: Latency reduction/UL scheduling enhancement is not considered as essential minimum functionality.Proposal 10: EDT/PUR is not considered as essential minimum functionality. |
| R2-2103189 [6] | Proposal 3: Latency time evaluation considering the additional delay due to NTN adaptation should be concluded within RAN2/RAN1.Proposal 4: RAN2/RAN1 to agree on whether relaxation on latency for Exception Reporting (i.e. Alarm reporting, Critical event reporting from IoT device) beyond 10 seconds is acceptable or not to further decide on essential features.Proposal 5: If the latency requirements cannot be relaxed for Rel-17, features relevant for small data transmission (i.e. EDT, Fast RRC connection release) should be considered as essential features for the study. |
| R2-2104016 [8] | Observation 3 Whether any enhancements are needed or justified for latency depends on the evaluation results provided based on the assumptions which are under discussion in [Post113-e][055][IoT NTN] Performance Evaluation.Proposal 3 RAN2 to conclude performance evaluations before considering whether latency is an essential functionality in IoT NTN and discuss the need for enhancements. |

1. : Do companies think that enhancements to UL scheduling are essential?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **essential (yes/no)** | **Detailed comments** |
| Xiaomi | **no** | It was already agreed in RAN2 #112e meeting that: Unlike NR-NTN, as latency is not a critical performance requirement in NB-IoT devices, UL scheduling enhancement for delay reduction is not necessary for NB-IoT over NTN. Besides, we do not think 10 seconds latency cannot be met by existing feature e.g. repetition. And considering that the number of IOT NTN devices for R17 might not be very large, latency caused by congestion would not be high. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | **no** | the use case is delay-tolerant . |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

1. : Do companies think that EDT and PUR are essential?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **essential (yes/no)** | **Detailed comments** |
| Xiaomi | **no** | EDT/PUR was introduced mainly for reducing latency and signalling, it is not critical for IOT intermittent delay-tolerant small packet transmissions, and should be deprioritized. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | **no but** | EDT/PUR were introduced for power saving for exactly the use case of intermittent delay-tolerant small packet transmissions. We do not see any reason to exclude. EDT is based on the RACH procedure and the enhancements to -ResponseWindow and mac-ContentionResolutionTimer apply, no additional work is needed. PUR is based on EDT minus msg1/msg2, thus the enhancement to mac-ContentionResolutionTimer should also be applied to pur-ResponseTimer.  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

### RLC and PDCP

The following proposals are made in documents [1] - [8]:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Tdoc | Proposals |
| R2-2102828 [2] | Observation 9: Extending the RLC and PDCP sequence number space can be deprioritised for Rel-17.Proposal 4: RAN2 to deprioritise the following functionality for Rel-17* RLC and PDCP SN extension
* RLC reordering timer and PDCP discard timer extension
 |
| R2-2103177 [5] | Proposal 3: Enhancement of MAC/RLC/PDCP timers (e.g. RAR window, contention resolution timer, DRX HARQ RTT timer, SR prohibit timer, t-Reordering, discardTimer) to address long RTT should be considered as essential minimum functionality. |
| R2-2103509 [7] | Proposal 4: RLC reordering: The value range of the RLC t-Reordering timer is extended.Proposal 5: PDCP: Enhancement to PDCP discard timer is not needed for NB-IoT NTN |

1. : Do companies think that enhancements to RLC SN and PDCP SN are essential?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **essential (yes/no)** | **Detailed comments** |
| xiaomi | **no** | It was already agreed in RAN2 #112e that: There is no need to extend RLC and PDCP SN length for eMTC/NB-IoT NTN, similar to NR-NTN. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | **no** | already agreed. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

1. : Do companies think that enhancements to RLC t-Reordering timer are essential?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **essential (yes/no)** | **Detailed comments** |
| xiaomi | **yes** | In RAN2 #113e, it was agreed that Extend the value range of t-Reordering to support IoT NTN. If t-Reordering is not enhanced, t-Reordering would expire too early, left no time for HARQ retransmissions. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | **yes** | The solution is already captured in the TR. No additional study needed. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

1. : Do companies think that enhancements to PDCP discard timer are essential?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **essential (yes/no)** | **Detailed comments** |
| xiaomi | **yes** | For NR NTN, it is agreed during online session that the value range of t-Reassembly is {ms210, ms220, ms340, ms350, ms550, ms1100, ms1650, ms2200}, and that the network can configure the values of PDCP discardTimer and PDCP t-Reordering timer greater than the RLC t-Reassembly timer.Similarly for IOT NTN, PDCP discard timer should be greater than t reordering timer. The current maximum PDCP discardTimer is 1500ms. Therefore, PDCP discard timer needs to be extended. Otherwise, PDCP SDU will be discard without sufficient RLC retransmission. Although infinity value can be configured for PDCP discardTimer, it does not allow outdated PDU being discard, which may impact the application layer. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | **no** | For NB-IoT, there is no QoS requirement and no risk of buffer overflow for the considered use case.For eMTC, it is possible to configure infinity value. We do not think that an extension is required for the considered use case.  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

### Coverage enhancements

The following proposals are made in documents [1] - [8]:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Tdoc | Proposals |
| R2-2102743 [1] | Proposal 1 Coverage enhancements should be studied and specified for IoT over NTN in Rel-17. |
| R2-2102828 [2] | Observation 13: IoT-NTN work related to eMTC should focus on CE mode A operation, and changes related to CE mode B can be deprioritised for Rel-17.Proposal 4: RAN2 to deprioritise the following functionality for Rel-17* CE mode B operation
 |
| R2-2103189 [6] | Proposal 10: Deployment scenarios for Rel-17 should be prioritised to further analyse the coverage enhancement relaxation. Further discussions required in RAN1 to conclude on the relaxation in coverage enhancements. |

1. Do companies think that coverage enhancements and CE-Mode B are essential?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **essential (yes/no)** | **Detailed comments** |
| xiaomi | **FFS** | RAN1 to decide |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | **FFS** | Need for coverage enhancements is up to RAN1.Not supporting CE mode B will reduce the amount of work needed in RAN2 for R17. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Control Plane

### Tracking area management

The following proposals are made in documents [1] - [8]:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Tdoc | Proposals |
| R2-2102828 [2] | Observation 6: Tracking area management can be considered as essential functionality for Rel-17 and related options have been captured in TR-36.763.Proposal 3: RAN2 prioritises the following functionality for IoT-NTN in Rel-17 * Tracking area management
 |
| R2-2103509 [7] | Proposal 6: Tracking area: Same enhancements as agreed in NR NTN can be reused in IOT NTN. |

1. Do companies think that enhancements to tracking area management are essential?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **essential (yes/no)** | **Detailed comments** |
| xiaomi | **yes** | From deployment point of view, earth moving cell is less complex than earth fixed cell. In the early deployment, to save cost, it might be beneficial to deploy earth moving cell. However, for earth moving cell, TAC update is required to meet the requirement of fixed tracking areas on earth. Therefore, we agree to introduce the same enhancements as agreed in NR NTN without further enhancements.  |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | **yes** | It is already agreed in the TR to reuse NR agreements. No additional study needed. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

### Idle mode mobility

The following proposals are made in documents [1] - [8]:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Tdoc | Proposals |
| R2-2102828 [2] | Observation 4: Reusing the Idle mode mobility baseline for NB-IoT and eMTC can be considered as essential functionality for Rel-17 as captured in TR-36.763. If time permits, additional enhancements from NR-NTN can also be considered.Proposal 3: RAN2 prioritises the following functionality for IoT-NTN in Rel-17 * Reusing Idle mode and Connected mode mobility baseline
 |
| R2-2103509 [7] | Proposal 7: Cell selection/reselection: The existing mechanisms can be reused. For scenarios with regular long coverage outages, enhancements to synchronise paging and UE wake up time with in-coverage are necessary. |
| R2-2104016 [8] | Proposal 5 Existing mobility mechanisms are considered essential functionality for LTE-M and NB-IoT in IoT NTN.Proposal 6 Minor adjustments to existing mobility mechanisms, such as a new parameter, parameter values, timers, timing etc. are considered essential enhancements to adapt functionality to NTN.Proposal 7 No new mobility mechanisms or major enhancements to existing mechanisms are introduced in Rel-17 for IoT NTN  |

Please note that power saving optimisations are discussed in 2.2.5.

1. Do companies think that existing idle mode mobility mechanisms are essential and that no further enhancements (other than power consumption related) are needed?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **essential (yes/no)** | **Detailed comments** |
| xiaomi | **yes** | At initial deployment of IOT NTN, UE may suffer from long coverage outage due to significantly small number of serving satellites in NSGO case. It would be undesirable for UE to keep searching for cells and drain out battery. Enhancement on this to save UE power consumption should be considered as essential. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | **yes** | Existing mechanisms will work although maybe not optimal.  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

### Connected mode mobility

The following proposals are made in documents [1] - [8]:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Tdoc | Proposals |
| R2-2102828 [2] | Observation 5: Reusing the connected mode mobility baseline for NB-IoT and eMTC can be considered as essential functionality for Rel-17.Observation 10: Enhancements to improve mobility performance in connected mode can be deprioritised for Rel-17.Proposal 3: RAN2 prioritises the following functionality for IoT-NTN in Rel-17 * Reusing Idle mode and Connected mode mobility baseline

Proposal 4: RAN2 to deprioritise the following functionality for Rel-17* Enhancements to Connected mode mobility performance
 |
| R2-2103177 [5] | Proposal 7: Time or timer based and Location based CHO, location based measurement event, and cell selection/reselection enhancement reusing NR NTN conclusion can be considered as essential minimum functionality for R17 IOT NTN.Proposal 8: RLF enhancement is not considered as essential minimum functionality for R17 IOT NTN. |
| R2-2103189 [6] | Proposal 11: For NB-IOT over NTN, RLF enhancements are not considered for Rel-17. |
| R2-2103509 [7] | Proposal 8: Connected mode mobility: The existing mechanisms can be reused. Further enhancements are not needed for the considered use cases. |
| R2-2104016 [8] | Proposal 5 Existing mobility mechanisms are considered essential functionality for LTE-M and NB-IoT in IoT NTN.Proposal 6 Minor adjustments to existing mobility mechanisms, such as a new parameter, parameter values, timers, timing etc. are considered essential enhancements to adapt functionality to NTN.Proposal 7 No new mobility mechanisms or major enhancements to existing mechanisms are introduced in Rel-17 for IoT NTN  |

Please note that power saving optimisations are discussed in 2.2.5.

1. Do companies think that existing connected mode mobility mechanisms are essential and no further enhancements (other than power consumption related) are needed?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **essential (yes/no)** | **Detailed comments** |
| xiaomi | **yes for eMTC, no for NB-IOT** | Due to movement of satellite, HO may happen frequently for eMTC. Due to the near far effect, current RSRP/RSRQ based HO may not be enough. To improve HO performance, Time or timer based and Location based CHO, location based measurement event reusing NR NTN conclusion can be considered as essential minimum functionality for R17 eMTC NTN. However, for NB-IOT, RLF enhancement is not considered as essential minimum functionality for R17 IOT NTN. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | **yes** | Connected mode mobility will be a rare event considering the use case of short lived connections. Existing mechanisms will work although maybe not optimal.  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

### Paging

The following proposals are made in documents [1] - [8]:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Tdoc | Proposals |
| R2-2102828 [2] | Observation 12: Paging capacity and connection density evaluations can be considered as low priority for Rel-17.Proposal 4: RAN2 to deprioritise the following functionality for Rel-17* Performance evaluation work
 |
| R2-2102961 [4] | Observation #1: Discontinuous coverage is inherent in NTN NB-IoT and shall be handled to avoid service degradation and extraneous UE power consumption.Observation #7: A UE for which scheduled paging occasions coincide with coverage gaps will be unreachable by the network.Observation #8: The network may plan paging occasions for UEs to coincide with satellite coverage of tracking areas (TA)s.Proposal #4: The network and UEs should agree upon the timing of paging occasions such that they coincide with satellite coverage. |
| R2-2103177 [5] | Proposal 9: Capacity related issue (e.g. paging capacity) is not considered as essential minimum functionality. |
| R2-2103509 [7] | Proposal 7: Cell selection/reselection: The existing mechanisms can be reused. For scenarios with regular long coverage outages, enhancements to synchronise paging and UE wake up time with in-coverage are necessary. |

Please note that power saving optimisations are discussed in 2.2.5.

1. Do companies think that existing paging mechanisms are essential and no further enhancements are needed?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **essential (yes/no)** | **Detailed comments** |
| xiaomi | **no** | Paging enhancement is not necessary. For capacity, in early deployment, it is not an issue. For paging occasion, network can handle it by implementation. For outage, normal i-drx can be applied without further enhancement. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | **no** | For the use case of intermittent delay-tolerant small packet transmissions, paging is not needed and PSM can be used instead.If use cases with mobile terminated calls are supported in discontinuous coverage scenarios, enhancements are needed to synchronise paging and satellite coverage. |
|  |  |  |

### Ephemeris

The following proposals are made in documents [1] - [8]:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Tdoc | Proposals |
| R2-2102828 [2] | Observation 7: Provisioning of ephemeris information to the UE can be considered as essential functionality for Rel-17.Observation 10: Enhancements to improve mobility performance in connected mode can be deprioritised for Rel-17.Proposal 3: RAN2 prioritises the following functionality for IoT-NTN in Rel-17 * Ephemeris provisioning
 |
| R2-2103509 [7] | Proposal 9: System information: Enhancements are necessary to provide NTN specific information to the UE. Agreement from NR NTN can be reused as a baseline for IOT NTN. |

1. Do companies think that provisioning of ephemeris is essential?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **essential (yes/no)** | **Detailed comments** |
| xiaomi | **yes** | without it, UE cannot perform TA pre-compensation |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | **yes** | Further sudy is needed. pending on RAN1 progress. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

### Power saving optimisations

The following proposals are made in documents [1] - [8]:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Tdoc | Proposals |
| R2-2102743 [1] | Proposal 2 Power consumption enhancements should be studied and specified for IoT over NTN in Rel-17. |
| R2-2102956 [3] | Observation 1: If LEO with earth moving cell is prioritized, we should further consider the solutions for idle/connected mode mobility, to adapt the frequent change of the cell coverage caused by the movement of the LEO satellites. Proposal 5: we should not simply down-prioritize the Mobility aspects functionalities, some adaptions may be needed for idle mode and connected mode mobility in case of earth moving cell is deployed. |
| R2-2102961 [4] | Observation #1: Discontinuous coverage is inherent in NTN NB-IoT and shall be handled to avoid service degradation and extraneous UE power consumption.Observation #2: To mitigate discontinuous coverage, the UE and network must be aware of gaps in coverage.Proposal #1: Transmit an almanac for scheduling purposes and a short-term ephemeris for synchronization purposes. This can be an optional feature for operators to support discontinuous coverage (SIBx).Proposal #2: The short-term ephemeris should be broadcast as minimum at a rate allowing UEs on the edge to receive and decode the short-term ephemeris within the UEs access window. Since the window size will depend on the constellation, it should be a constellation dependent parameter.Observation #3: The almanac could be broadcast at a lower rate, but also at least once per access window.Observation #4: It is possible to reduce UE energy consumption for searching significantly by using almanac based predictions.Observation #5: The number of cell search trials can be 100s compared to typically 1 in terrestrial NB-IoT depending on the coverage scenario, which results in relatively large energy consumption.Proposal #3: The cell search process should be optimized for energy consumption in NTN.Observation #6: A UE waking up to receive paging while in a coverage gap will unnecessarily consume energy.Proposal #5: 3GPP to further study how to adapt iDRX and PSM for discontinuous coverage and cell-reselection.Proposal #6: Re-use the current PSM scheme adjusting only the timers with the wait-time until the next satellite covers the UE. |
| R2-2103177 [5] | Proposal 5: power consumption optimization for reducing power consumption of acquiring GNSS location is not considered as essential minimum functionality. |
| R2-2103189 [6] | Proposal 6: Connected mode enhancements related to power consumption is not considered as essential for Rel-17.Proposal 7: Key features for power consumption reduction for IoT-NTN are eDRX/PSM and serving cell relaxed measurements. Adaptation of these features for NTN should be considered as essential.Proposal 8: Battery lifetime requirements should to be revisited if the eDRX operations is considered without any modification for NTN aspects such as GNSS operation and cell-change during eDRX wake-up occasion. |
| R2-2103509 [7] | Proposal 7: Cell selection/reselection: The existing mechanisms can be reused. For scenarios with regular long coverage outages, enhancements to synchronise paging and UE wake up time with in-coverage are necessary.Proposal 8: Connected mode mobility: The existing mechanisms can be reused. Further enhancements are not needed for the considered use cases.Proposal 10: For LEO cell moving scenario, enhancements are needed to avoid the UE having to reacquire system information in every new cell |
| R2-2104016 [8] | Observation 4 Whether any enhancements are needed for UE power consumption depends on the evaluation results from studies in RAN1.Proposal 4 RAN2 to wait until RAN1 studies on UE power consumption in IoT NTN conclude before considering whether UE power consumption is an essential functionality in IoT NTN and discuss the need for enhancements. |

1. Do companies think that enhancements (and which ones) for power saving in Idle mode are essential?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **essential (yes/no)** | **Detailed comments** |
| xiaomi | **yes** | Power saving is important for IOT. However, the first priority should be adopt power saving enhancement as agreed in NR NTN.  |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | **yes** | One of the key requirement of IOT is the battery life. Unless we agree to reduce battery life requirements, enhancements are needed at least for the use case of:- Stationary UEs in moving cell scenarios (relaxed monitoring, SIB reading)- Discontinuous coverage (avoid unecessary scans in covergae holes) |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

1. Do companies think that enhancements (and which ones) for power saving in connected mode are essential?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **essential (yes/no)** | **Detailed comments** |
| xiaomi | **no** | For intermittent data transmission, UE will in idle mode for most of the time, power saving enhancement for connected mode should be de-prioritized.  |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | **no** | For the considered use case, connection will be short lived. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Other

1. Whether essential functionalities have been missed in the discussion?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Detailed comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# Conclusion
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