**3GPP TSG-RAN WG2 Meeting #113bis-e R2-210xxxx**

**Online, April 12 – April 20, 2021**

**Agenda Item: 5.4.3**

**Source: Huawei, HiSilicon**

**Title: Summary of [AT113bis-e][009][NR15] UE caps BCS EN-DC (Huawei)**

**Document for: Discussion and decision**

# Introduction

This document summarizes the following offline discussion.

**[AT113bis-e][009][NR15] UE caps BCS EN-DC (Huawei)**

START ONLY AFTER ON-line Monday

Scope: Taking into account on-line agreements, Treat R2-2104025, R2-2103061, R2-2104030, R2-2104212, R2-2104213, R2-2104214, R2-2104026, R2-2104027, R2-2104028,

Phase 1, determine agreeable parts, Phase 2, for agreeable parts Work on CRs.

Intended outcome: Report and Agreed-in-principle CRs, Approved LS if agreeable.

Deadline: Schedule A

# Contact from companies

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Email |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# Discussion (Phase 1)

## Clarification on the BCS and its fallback

[R2-2104025](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_113bis-e\Docs\R2-2104025.zip) Discussion on BCS of a fallback band combination Huawei, HiSilicon discussion Rel-15 NR\_newRAT-Core

[R2-2104212](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_113bis-e\Docs\R2-2104212.zip) Further Clarification on the supportedBandwidthCombinationSet ZTE Corporation, Sanechips discussion Rel-15 NG\_RAN\_PRN-Core

### 3.1.1 BCS of a fallback band combination (online)

**Companies are invited to provide the comments directly to the draft LS (once available).**

### 3.1.2 Intra-band (NG)EN-DC/NE-DC BC with only single NR carrier

The observations and proposals are listed as below:

|  |
| --- |
| Observation 1: Based on the current field description the BCS for the Intra-band Part of a “Intra-band (NG)EN-DC/NE-DC BC with LTE inter-band CA and NR single carrier” shall be reported in the *supportedBandwidthCombinationSetIntraENDC*.  Observation 2: The *supportedBandwidthCombinationSetIntraENDC* was introduced for the case that can’t be covered by *supportedBandwidthCombinationSet*. From this point of view, the BCS for the Intra-band Part of a “Intra-band (NG)EN-DC/NE-DC BC with LTE inter-band CA and NR single carrier” shall be reported in the *supportedBandwidthCombinationSet*.  Proposal 1: Ran2 to confirm which capability element (*SupportedBandwidthCombinationSet* or *supportedBandwidthCombinationSetIntraENDC*) shall be adopted to report the intra-band Part of “Intra-band (NG)EN-DC/NE-DC BC with LTE inter-band CA and NR single carrier”.  Proposal 1.1: If RAN2 confirm that the *SupportedBandwidthCombinationSet* shall be reported, agree the related change in the draft CR [5][6] |

**Q1-1 Which capability element (*SupportedBandwidthCombinationSet* or *supportedBandwidthCombinationSetIntraENDC*) shall be adopted to report the intra-band Part of “Intra-band (NG)EN-DC/NE-DC BC with LTE inter-band CA and NR single carrier”.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | ***SupportedBandwidthCombinationSet* or *supportedBandwidthCombinationSetIntraENDC*** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Q1-2 Based on the Q1-1, do companies think any clarifications are needed in the specification?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes or No** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

### 3.1.2 90M limitation

To determine whether the UE supports a channel bandwidth of 90 MHz, the network shall also validate *SupportedBandwidthCombinationSetEN-DC*, though currently it only happens for the BC with the band 41. The proposal are listed as below:

|  |
| --- |
| Proposal 3: To determine whether the UE supports a channel bandwidth of 90 MHz, the network shall also validate *SupportedBandwidthCombinationSetEN-DC*. |

**Q1-3 Do companies generally agree with the above Proposal?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes or No** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Reported BCS when IE intraBandENDC-support is set to “both” (online)

[R2-2103061](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_113bis-e\Docs\R2-2103061.zip) Reported BCS when IE intraBandENDC-support is set to “both” T-Mobile USA Inc. discussion Rel-16 38.306 TEI16

The observations and proposals are listed as below:

|  |
| --- |
| Observation 1: When a UE reports a value of “both” in IE *intraBandENDC-support* the reported BCS in IE *supportedBandwidthCombinationSetIntraENDC* is ambiguous.  Observation 2: Current specification allows the UE’s supporting both intraband contiguous and intraband non-contiguous ENDC to report different intraband BCS values using two different band combination sets.  Proposal 1 RAN2 to endorse one of the options listed above |

**Q2-1 Do companies think any clarifications in the specification or in the chairman’s note is needed, according to the GTW online discussion?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** | **Specification or chairman’s note** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Contiguous and non-contiguous for intra-band EN-DC

[R2-2104030](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_113bis-e\Docs\R2-2104030.zip) Discussion on contiguous and non-contiguous for intra-band EN-DC Huawei, HiSilicon discussion Rel-15 NR\_newRAT-Core

The observation and proposals are listed as below:

|  |
| --- |
| Observation 1: With the legacy IE intraBandENDC-support, UE cannot indicate the support of contiguous or non-contiguous for UL and DL separately.  Proposal 1: Introduce new capability signalling indicating contiguous, non-contiguous or both for UL and DL separately.  Proposal 2: Discuss the release (e.g. Rel-15, Rel-16) to introduce the new capability signalling. |

**Q3-1 Do companies generally agree with the above Proposal 1?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes or No** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Q3-2 Do companies generally agree with the above Proposal 2?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes or No** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# Conclusions

*To be added…*
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