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# 1 Introduction

The following email discussion was triggered at RAN2#113:

* [AT113-e][605][Relay] Continuation of L2 architecture issues (InterDigital)

Scope: Discuss the priority 2 proposals P6, P15-P19 from R2-2102091 and implement the agreements on the priority 1 proposals. Work towards conclusions if possible.

Intended outcome: Endorsable TP

Deadline: Tuesday 2021-02-02 1200 UTC (for TP availability)

The summary document summarizes the portion of the scope related to “work towards conclusions if possible”

# 2 Conclusion Section

## 2.1 Evaluation and Conclusion for L2 Sidelink based UE-to-Network Relay

Rapporteur suggests the following text for conclusion section for L2 UE to NW Relay, which was generated by considering input in the following contributions [3][25][26][27][28] while avoiding overlaps and discussion of L2/L3 comparison material.

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Relay/Remote UE Authorization

Both Relay and Remote UE separately follow Rel-16 V2X design (TS 23.287), and no RAN2 impact is expected.

Relay (Re)Selection

Relay (Re)selection was studied for both L2 and L3 UE-to-Network Relay and the baseline solution is applied to both. In addition, for RRC\_CONNECTED remote UE in L2 UE-to-Network Relay, gNB decision on relay (re)selection is considered.

Discovery

Discovery was studied for both L2 and L3 UE-to-Network Relay and the baseline solution is applied to both. For L2 U2N Relay, the Relay UE should always be connected to a SL capable gNB. Further details of discovery configuration for the remote UE may be discussed in the normative phase.

Protocol Stack Design

The protocol stack and Uu adaptation layer function were studied for L2 UE-to-Network Relay. Whether the adaptation layer is also supported at the PC5 interface between Remote UE and Relay UE may be discussed in the normative phase. In L2 U2N Relay architecture, the remote UE is visible to the gNB, and the remote UE has its own PDU sessions. It supports the gNB configured/controlled bearer mapping for relayed traffic, which could also save the RLC bearer number by supporting the N:1 mapping from E2E bearers.

QoS Management

The general QoS handling for L2 UE-to-Network Relay was studied. The gNB can handle the QoS breakdown over Uu and PC5 for end-to-end QoS enforcement. Details of handling in case PC5 RLC channels with different e2e QoS are mapped to the same Uu RLC channel can be discussed in the normative phase. The end-to-end QoS enforcement can be supported. The gNB is aware of AS conditions of sidelink and Uu link, based on which the QoS breakdown can be flexible and tailored to such conditions (e.g. can be used to adapt the QoS breakdown when there is congestion on sidelink). In case of OOC, remote UE operates using the configuration provided in SIB or dedicated RRC signaling with overall better QoS performance than using pre-configuration. QoS can be enforced for each bearer as the gNB can decide whether an E2E bearer is admitted or not depending on the current congestion.

Security

In case of L2 UE-to-Network Relay, at AS layer, the security (confidentiality and integrity protection) is already enforced end to end at the PDCP layer between the endpoints at the Remote UE and the gNB.

Control Plane Procedures

Both connection establishment procedure and path switching procedures were captured for L2 UE-to-Network Relay. The establishment of Uu SRB1/SRB2 and DRB of the remote UE is subject to legacy Uu configuration procedures. It supports the remote UE’s RRC connection management, which can provide dedicated RRC configuration to remote UE, reduce the interruption/avoid data loss due to RLF recovery, and speed up RRC connection and data resume, etc. Further details of the steps for path switch procedure (e.g. measurements, message content) and potential differences on the Uu interface for inter-gNB cases may be discussed in the normative phase.

The Option 2 as studied in TR36.746 for FeD2D paging is selected as the baseline paging relaying solution for L2 UE-to-Network Relay. By supporting paging, for remote UE in RRC\_IDLE/RRC\_INACTIVE, the DL data reachability can be supported during remote UE’s mobility.

The system information (i.e SI) request from remote UE and forwarding mechanism from Relay UE to Remote UE was studied L2 UE-to-Network Relay. Specifically, the relay UE can forward system information to the remote UE via broadcast, groupcast or unicast. On-demand SI request is supported for all RRC states. The detailed mechanism for such SI request and forwarding and the exact system information that can be relayed to Remote UEs can be discussed at normative phase. It supports the SI delivery in case remote UE is OCC, which supports remote UE using SIB provided configuration rather than only using pre-configuration.

For L2 UE-to- Network relay, the Relay UE may provide UAC parameters to Remote UE. The access control check is performed at Remote UE using the parameters of the cell it intends to access. It supports the remote UE access control to achieve the RAN overload control. Remote UE access control can take into account SL congestion as the gNB is aware of the remote UE.

Service Continuity

L2 UE-to-Network Relay uses RAN2 aspects of Rel-15 NR handover procedure as a baseline. The AS layer service continuity (i.e. lossless and in-sequence delivery of PDCP PDU with similar performance like legacy HO) can be guaranteed during path switch in L2 U2N relay.

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

**Q3.1 Do companies have any major concern with the above suggested text. If so, please provide the suggested changes in the comments section.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Response (Y/N) | Comments |
| MediaTek | No | We agree with the current wording.  If we wish to polish the wording, the order of the bullets can be adjust to follow the order of the objectives as listed in SID of SL relay. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 2.2 Evaluation and Conclusion for L2 Sidelink based UE-to-UE Relay

Rapporteur suggests the following text for conclusion section for L2 UE to UE Relay, which was generated by taking text directly from the following contributions [3][25][26][27][28] while avoiding overlaps and discussion of L2/L3 comparison material.

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Relay/Remote UE Authorization

Both Relay and Remote UE separately follow Rel-16 V2X design (TS 23.287), and no RAN2 impact is expected.

Relay (Re)Selection

Relay (Re)selection was studied for both L2 and L3 UE-to-UE Relay and the baseline solution is applied to both.

Discovery

Discovery was studied for both L2 and L3 UE-to-UE Relay and the baseline solution is applied to both.

Protocol stack design

The protocol stack and PC5 adaptation layer function (both first hop PC5 and second hop PC5) were studied for L2 UE-to-UE Relay.

QoS management

The design of QoS support for both L2 and L3 UE-to-UE relay are in the scope of SA2. No RAN2 impact of the solution captured in SA2 is identified thus far.

Security

In case of L2 UE-to-UE Relay, the security is established at PDCP layer in an end to end manner between source remote UE and destination remote UE. The end-to-end security can be supported.

CP procedures

The connection establishment procedure was studied for L2 UE-to-UE Relay. RAN2 consider the SA2 solution in TR 23.752 as baseline. Further RAN2 impacts can be discussed in WI phase, if any.

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

**Q3.2 Do companies have any major concern with the above suggested text. If so, please provide the suggested changes in the comments section.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Response (Y/N) | Comments |
| MediaTek | No | We agree with the current wording.  If we wish to polish the wording, the order of the bullets can be adjust to follow the order of the objectives as listed in SID of SL relay. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 2.3 RAN2 Recommendation

**Q3.3 Do companies agree that for L2 relay:**

* **RAN2 has determined L2 relay solution to be feasible**
* **L2 relay meets all of the objectives of the SID**
* **Mechanisms for layer-2 relay with minimum specification impact have been studied and identified by RAN2**
* **RAN2 recommends L2 UE to NW and UE to UE relay can proceed to normative work**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Response (Y/N) | Comments |
| MediaTek | Yes | We agree with the current wording.  For the last bullet (bullet 4), one alternative is to capture the recommendation for normative work in a seperate subsection within conclusion section, which applies to both L2 and L3 solution. With this saying, the first three bullets can be kept within the conclusion for L2. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
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