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# 1. Introduction

This document summarizes the following email discussion:

* [AT111-e][611][POS] LPP miscellaneous CR (Qualcomm)

 Scope: Capture RAN2 decisions on P3-P6 of R2-2008120; discuss P7-P16 of R2-2008120 and merge the results into a rapporteur CR.

 Intended outcome: Agreeable CR, in R2-2008260

 Deadline: Thursday 2020-08-27 1200 UTC

The discussion is split into two parts:

Part 1: Capture RAN2 decisions on P3-P6 of R2-2008120 [0]

Part 2: Discuss P7-P16 of R2-2008120 [0]

[0] R2-2008120, "Summary of LPP corrections agenda item 6.6.3", Qualcomm Incorporated.

[1] R2-2006543, "Correction of DL-PRS-NumSymbols", vivo.

[2] R2-2006546, "Discussion on remaining issues on LPP", vivo.

[3] R2-2006663, "Correction on 37.355 to capture agreements of area scope for posSIB validity", CATT.

[4] R2-2006847, "Need of reference TRP in the TRP-LocationInfo IE for UE-based assistance data distribution efficiency", Ericsson

[5] R2-2006949, "Handling on RAN1 positioning related capabilities", Intel Corporation.

[6] R2-2006950, "Capture RAN1 positioning related capabilities", Intel Corporation.

[7] R2-2007632, "Addition of missing SRS for Positioning capabilities", Qualcomm Incorporated.

[8] R2-2007634, "Assistance data sharing and priority for measurements", Qualcomm Incorporated.

[9] R2-2007635, "Addition of missing padding rule for initial counter c0", Qualcomm Incorporated.

[10] R2-2007833, "Correction of the SRS capability in LPP", Huawei, HiSilicon.

[11] R2-2007834, "Correction on SignalMeasurementInformation", Huawei, HiSilicon.

[12] R2-2007835, "Correction on ProvideAssistantData", Huawei, HiSilicon.

[13] R2-2007836, "Correction on PRS configuration", Huawei, HiSilicon.

[14] R2-2007941, "Correction to NR-SSB-Config", ZTE Corporation, Sanechips.

[15] R2-200xxxx, "Report of session on positioning and sidelink relay", Session Chair (MediaTek).

# 2. Part 1

The conclusion for the Proposals 3 – 8 [0][15] are implemented in a draft CR which is provided in the offline discussion folder:

[https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg\_ran/WG2\_RL2/TSGR2\_111-e/Inbox/Drafts/%5BOffline-611%5D%5BPOS%5D%20LPP%20miscellaneous%20CR%20(Qualcomm)](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_111-e/Inbox/Drafts/%5BOffline-611%5D%5BPOS%5D%20LPP%20miscellaneous%20CR%20%28Qualcomm%29)

with file name:

R2-200xxxx\_(CR 37355 miscellaneous corrections)\_v1.docx

NOTE: This document also implements Proposal 8 of [0] as a starting point for the discussion taking the on-line comments made into account (although, no conclusion was captured in the meeting notes yet).

Companies are invited to provide any comments on \_v1 of the draft CR.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# 3. Part 2

## 3.1 Description of TimingReportingGranularityFactor [2]

Reason for change:

The field description for the *TimingReportingGranularityFactor* in IE *NR-DL-TDOA-ReportConfig* and IE *NR-Multi-RTT-ReportConfig* currently specifies:

|  |
| --- |
| ***timingReportingGranularityFactor***This field specifies the reporting granularity for the UE timing measurements (DL RSTD, the UE Rx-Tx time difference).  |

However, the above description is not enough to reflect the relationship between LMF request and UE report.

Summary of Change:

|  |
| --- |
| ***timingReportingGranularityFactor***This field specifies the reporting granularity for the UE timing measurements (DL RSTD, the UE Rx-Tx time difference). Value (0..5 ) correspond to (k0-r16.. k5-r16 ) of nr-RSTD-r16 and nr-RSTD-ResultDiff-r16 in NR-DL-TDOA-MeasElement-r16.This field in *NR-DL-TDOA-RequestLocationInformation* is used for the LMF to recommend the reporting granularity. The UE may select a granularity value for timing report which is different from the LMF request and informs the LMF. |

Rapporteur Comments:

The description should be for DL RSTD in IE *NR-DL-TDOA-ReportConfig* and for UE Rx-Tx time difference in IE *NR-Multi-RTT-ReportConfig* (i.e., first sentence of the field description should also be corrected).

**Proposal 9:** With respect to the *timingReportingGranularityFactor* field description, RAN2 to check the details of the proposed field description in R2-2006546 [9] and then merge it into LPP Rapporteur CR.

Companies are invited to provide any comments on Proposal 9 and/or on the details of the proposed change:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## 3.2 Description of *nr-RSTD-ResultDiff’* [2],[11]

Reason for change:

In current TS 37.355, there is no descriptions of *nr-RSTD-ResultDiff* in IE *NR-DL-TDOA-SignalMeasurementInformation* field descriptions.

Summary of Change:

[2] proposes:

|  |
| --- |
| ***nr-RSTD-ResultDiff***This field specifies the relative timing of the reference TRP between different resources under the reference path.*nr-RSTD-ResultDiff* also need follow *timingReportingGranularityFactor-r16* requirement in *NR-DL-TDOA-ReportConfig-r16* |

[11] proposes:

|  |
| --- |
| ***nr-RSTD-ResultDiff***This field specifies the additional DL RSTD measurement results relative to *nr-RSTD*. Mapping of the measured quantity is defined as in TS 38.133 [46].  |

Rapporteur Comments:

The proposed text in [11] seems clearer; reference for the report mapping is needed. In addition, this is not the only *xxx‑ResultDiff* field description which is missing. [11] adds the other missing descriptions as well (see section 3.4).

**Proposal 10:** With respect to the missing field description for *nr-RSTD-ResultDiff* use R2-2007834 [11] as baseline (see also Proposal 12).

Companies are invited to provide any comments on Proposal 10:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## 3.3 *areaScope* for posSIB validity [3]

Reason for change:

In last RAN2#110e meeting, it was agreed:

Agreements:

Postpone the separate positioning system information area ID to Rel-17 and reuse the existing area ID.

However, the above agreement highlighted in yellow is not captured in either RRC or LPP.

Summary of Change:

A general description of posSIB validity is introduced in section 7.1:

|  |
| --- |
| 7.1 General[…]Any NR posSIB can be configured to be cell specific or area specific, based on *areaScope* in *posSIB-MappingInfo* provided by lower layer. The cell specific posSIB is applicable only within a cell that provides the posSIB while the area specific posSIB is applicable within an area referred to as SI area, which consists of one or several cells and is identified by s*ystemInformationAreaID* provided by lower layer*.* If the UE stores the acquired area specific posSIB, then the UE stores the associated s*ystemInformationAreaID* provided by lower layer. The UE checks the area validity of stored posSIB based on s*ystemInformationAreaID* and *areaScope* specified in TS 38.331 [35], *valueTag* (if available)defined in the IE *AssistanceDataSIBelement*. If both s*ystemInformationAreaID* and *valueTag* (if available) of the stored version of a posSIB are identical to the posSIB received from the current serving cell, the stored posSIB is considered as valid.  |

Rapporteur Comments:

It seems that (at least parts of) the proposed text would be more appropriate for TS 38.331. The proposed text also seems to provide some procedure description and not only "general information".

**Proposal 11:** With respect to the *areaScope* for posSIBs, RAN2 to discuss and decide whether any additional specification in TS 37.355 is needed or not. If additional specification is needed in TS 37.355, check the details of the proposed changes in R2-2006663 [3] and then merge it into LPP Rapporteur CR.

Companies are invited to provide any comments on Proposal 11 and/or on the details of the proposed change:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## 3.4 Signal measurement information [11]

Reason for change:

Several field descriptions in IEs *xxx-SignalMeasurementInformation* are either missing or not correct.

Summary of Change:

1 Add field description for nr-RSRP and nr-RSRQ for NR E-CID.

2 Fixed the citation of the DL PRS-RSRQ with definition in TS 38.215, and mapping in TS 38.133.

3 Add field descriptions for nr-TimeStamp for DL-TDOA, DL-AOD, and Multi-RTT, nr-TimingQuality for Multi-RTT, fields associated with additional measurements for DL-TDOA, DL-AoD, and Multi-RTT.

4 Remove nr-UE-RxTxTimeDiffAdditional from the field description of nr-AdditionalPathList for Multi-RTT.

5 Add field description for nr-DL-TDOA-AdditionalMeasurements, nr-RSTD-ResultDiff, nr-DL-PRS-RSRP-ResultDiff, nr-DL-TDOA-AdditionalMeasurements, dl-PRS-RSRP-ResultDiff

Rapporteur Comments:

There is currently no logic visible in the order of the fields in the field description Tables. In LPP, the fields are typically listed in the order as the field appears in the ASN.1. Given that many new parent IEs contain now several child-IEs in the same section, we could also consider sorting them alphabetically. However, currently, the order seems rather random.

**Proposal 12:** RAN2 to check the details in R2-2007834 [11] and then merge it into the LPP Rapporteur CR.

Companies are invited to provide any comments on Proposal 12 and/or on the details of the proposed change:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## 3.5 DL-PRS configuration [13]

Reason for change:

Several field descriptions related to DL-PRS configuration are either missing or not correct.

Summary of Change:

1. Remove "reference and neighbour TRP" in the description of the IE to make it general and add field description for nr-DL-PRS-ResourceID-List.

2. Remove field descriptions for dl-PRS-SubcarrierSpacing, dl-PRS-ResourceBandwidth, dl-PRS-StartPRB, dl-PRS-PointA and dl-PRS-CyclicPrefix under NR-DL-PRS-Info.

3. Re-organize the field descriptions of NR-DL-PRS-AssistanceData into NR-DL-PRS-AssistanceData, NR-DL-PRS-AssistanceDataPerFreq, NR-DL-PRS-AssistanceDataPerTRP, NR-DL-PRS-PositioningFrequencyLayer.

4. Correct the field names of "nr-DL-PRS-expectedRSTD", and "nr-DL-PRS-expectedRSTD-uncertainty", and add field descriptions for them.

5. Re-organize the field descriptions of NR-DL-PRS-Info into NR-DL-PRS-ResourceSet, NR-DL-PRS-Resource, and DL-PRS-QCL-Info.

6. Add missing field descriptions for NR-DL-PRS-Info.

7. Add missing field descriptions for NR-SSB-Config.

8. Add missing field descriptions for NR-TimeStamp.

Rapporteur Comments:

1. There is some small overlap with the CR in [8]; e.g. change 1 and *nr-SelectedDL-PRS-FrequencyLayerIndex*.

2. There is some overlap with the CRs in [2],[14] (see section 3.2 above): deletion of *ssb-index* field descriptionin IE NR-SSB-Config.

3. The CR proposes multiple field description Tables for a single IE section. This is typically done in TS 38.331, but not in LPP.

**Proposal 13:** RAN2 to check the details in R2-2007836 [13] and then merge it into LPP Rapporteur CR.

Companies are invited to provide any comments on Proposal 13 and/or on the details of the proposed change:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## 3.6 Assistance data sharing and priority for measurements [8],[12]

Reason for change:

1. There is currently no description for the assistance data sharing via *NR-SelectedDL-PRS-IndexList*.

2. There is currently no priority order in the *NR-DL-PRS-AssistanceData*.

Summary of Change:

1. Missing (field) descriptions for *NR-DL-PRS-AssistanceData* and *NR-SelectedDL-PRS-IndexList* is added.

2. It is specified that the UE should assume that the assistance data are sorted in decreasing order of priority.

Rapporteur Comments:

1. For change 1 above, the CRs in [8] and [12] overlap. [8] is more comprehensive, since it e.g. adds the missing description for the IE *NR-SelectedDL-PRS-IndexList* as well.

2. [12] specifies in e.g., *NR-DL-TDOA-ProvideAssistanceData* that if the *nr-SelectedDL-PRS-IndexList* field is present, "the *nr-DL-PRS-AssistanceData* shall be present in one and only one of *NR-DL-TDOA-ProvideAssistanceData*, *NR-DL-AoD-ProvideAssistanceData*, and *NR-Multi-RTT-ProvideAssistanceData*."
I believe the "shall" is not correct here (or at least not needed). This is a network behaviour which is typically not associated with a "shall" requirement (unless needed for the functionality to work). The *nr-SelectedDL-PRS-IndexList* may be present without any *nr-DL-PRS-AssistanceData* in *NR-DL-TDOA-ProvideAssistanceData*, *NR-DL-AoD-ProvideAssistanceData*, or *NR-Multi-RTT-ProvideAssistanceData,* e.g., in case of *NR-DL-PRS-AssistanceData* are provided via broadcast or in case multiple LPP Provide Assistance Data messages are used in a location session. I also think that the *NR-DL-PRS-AssistanceData* do not necessarily need to be provided in one and only one of the IEs *NR-DL-TDOA-ProvideAssistanceData*, *NR-DL-AoD-ProvideAssistanceData*, and *NR-Multi-RTT-ProvideAssistanceData.* I.e., this can be up to network implementation, as long as it is clear that the *NR-SelectedDL-PRS-IndexList* (if present) provides the applicable resources.

3. On the priority order in the *NR-DL-PRS-AssistanceData* (Change 2 above), there are currently still two FFSs in RAN1:

|  |
| --- |
| Agreement:* When a UE is configured in the assistance data of a positioning method with a number of PRS resources beyond its capability (FG 13-2,13-3,13-4 for AoD, TDOA, MRTT respectively),  the UE assumes the DL-PRS Resources in the assistance data are sorted in a decreasing order of measurement priority. Specifically, according to the current RAN2 structure of the assistance data, the following priority is assumed:
1. FFS: the 4 frequency layers are sorted according to priority,
2. The 64 TRPs per frequency layer are sorted according to priority,
3. The 2 sets per TRP of the frequency layer are sorted according to priority,
4. FFS: The 64 resources of the set per TRP per frequency layer are sorted according to priority.
* The reference indicated by nr-DL-PRS-ReferenceInfo-r16 for each frequency layer has the highest priority at least for DL-TDOA
 |

**Proposal 14:** With respect to the assistance data sharing via IE *NR-SelectedDL-PRS-IndexList,* use the CR in R2-2007634 [8] as baseline. RAN2 to check the details of [8] and then merge it into the LPP Rapporteur CR.

**Proposal 15:** With respect to the assistance data order in IE *NR-DL-PRS-AssistanceData* and/or *NR-SelectedDL-PRS-IndexList*, await the conclusion in RAN1.

Companies are invited to provide any comments on Proposal 14/15 and/or on the details of the proposed change:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## 3.7 Padding rule for initial counter C0 in the posSIB ciphering [9]

Reason for change:

For deciphering of the broadcast assistance data, the UE receives the first portion of the initial counter denoted C0 using NAS signalling, as specified in TS 24.301 and TS 24.501. This NAS signalling provides the C0 value as a variable length octet string which may contain less than 128-bits. If the C0 value is less than 128-bits, zero padding to obtain a 128-bits value must be performed by the UE, which however, is currently not specified.

Summary of Change:

It is specified that if the C0 bit string contains less than 128-bits, the UE should pad out the bit string with zeroes in most significant bit positions to achieve 128 bits.

Rapporteur Comments:

The issue exists already in Rel-15. However, I think a Rel-16 CR would be sufficient.

**Proposal 16:** RAN2 to check the details in R2-2007635 [9] and then merge it into LPP Rapporteur CR.

Companies are invited to provide any comments on Proposal 16 and/or on the details of the proposed change:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |