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# 1 Introduction

This document is to kick off the following email discussion:

* [AT111-e][610][POS] RRC miscellaneous CR (Ericsson)

 Scope: Generate a positioning update RRC CR:

* Review R2-2006942 and capture RAN2 agreements from P1 and P2 of R2-2007581
* Discuss P8, P10, P11 of R2-2007581 and capture agreeable aspects

 Intended outcome: Agreeable CR

 Deadline: Thursday 2020-08-27 1200 UTC

# 2 Discussion

In R2-2007078, it is mentioned that posSIB-MappingInfo is forwarded to upper layers upon reception of SIB1. However, if the cell is barred based on contents of received SIB1, posSIB-MappingInfo should not be forwarded to upper layers.

Hence, R2-2007078 proposes to move the forwarding of the field *posSIB-MappingInfo* to the upper layer only after the corresponding cell barring check, similar to the position where *SI-schedulingInfo* locates in the spec.

**Proposal: posSIB-MappingInfo is forwarded to upper layers upon reception of SIB1 only if the cell from which SIB1 is acquired is not barred**

Companies are requested to provide their view

* Propoal Agree
* Proposal Disagree
* Any other view

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree/Disagree | Comments |
| Ercisson | Agree |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

It is observed in [**R2-2006755**] that T350 is stopped twice for RRC re-establishment. **R2-2006755** proposed to remove the duplicated one.

**Proposal: Delete the duplicated behaviour of stopping timer T350 for RRC re-establishment. [R2-2006755]**

Companies are requested to provide their view

* Propoal Agree
* Proposal Disagree
* Any other view

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Proposal Agree/Disagree | Comments |
| Ericsson | Agree | This is more editorial correction. Agree with the changes |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

In R2-2006844, it was mentioned that in Rel-16, it was discussed the need of unicast tag. There was support from several companies. However, it was agreed that in Rel-16 it will not be introduced but considered for Rel-17 for use case targetting latency reductions. Hence, the ellpisis based extension marker could be added for easier future extension. Otherwise, it would take more bits to extend without having the ellipsis extention marker

Hence, R2-2006844 proposed to add an extension marker to the field posSI-BroadcastStatus in posSchedulingInfoList.

Proposal11: Add an extension marker to the field posSI-BroadcastStatus in posSchedulingInfoList. [R2-2006844]

Companies are requested to provide their view:

* Propoal Agree
* Proposal Disagree
* Any other view

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Proposal Agree/Disagree | Comments |
| Ericsson | Agree | The extension marker should be provided where it may be considered an extension is needed and where signalling bits can be saved |
|  |  |  |

# Conclusion

Based on the discussion in the previous sections we propose the following proposal as:
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