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# 1 Introduction

This document is the report of the following e-mail discussion:

* [AT111-e][302][NBIOT/eMTC R15] WUS last used cell (Huawei)

Status:

Scope: After SA2 reply, discuss what to do in RAN2.

Intended outcome: Report in R2-2008302, and CRs (36.300, 36.304, and if needed 36.331)

Deadline: Tuesday 25 1100 UTC.

# 2 Discussion

## 2.1 Background

Documents [1] and [2] were discussed during online session with the following comments:

[R2-2007334](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_111-e/Docs/R2-2007334.zip) Discussion of WUS last used cell Huawei, HiSilicon discussion Rel-15 NB\_IOTenh2-Core, LTE\_eMTC4-Core

*Proposal 1: Signal in RRCConnectionRelease message that the connection has been rejected at the eNB.*

*Proposal 2: Introduce a new indication ‘ConnectionRejection’ as a non critical extension in RRCConnectionRelease message.*

*Proposal 3: Mandate WUS capable UEs to support the new indication ‘ConnectionRejection’.*

[R2-2007566](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_111-e/Docs/R2-2007566.zip) Way forward on WUS usage upon RRC connection release without S1 setup/release Qualcomm Incorporated discussion Rel-15 NB\_IOTenh2-Core

*Proposal 1: Consider solution for issue 1 does not require any RAN2 specification changes.*

*Proposal 2: If issue 2 is a rare occurrence then RAN2 should consider solution 2 as the way forward.*

*Proposal 3: If issue 2 is a frequent occurrence then RAN2 should consider introducing RRC signaling to indicate WUS UE to continue the same behaviour regarding use of WUS as if the previous access had not taken place.*

Discussion on above 2 papers:

* Huawei think there may be a long time before re-attempts if this problem occurs.
* QC thinks dedicated signalling may be needed if the problem is frequent.
* Ericsson wonders if UE will try again if it was not successful. HW thinks probably not.
* Ericsson thinks anyway this doesn’t happen frequently but in case it does the UE monitors the PO anyway so the consequence of not making any changes is acceptable.
* ZTE thinks SA2 have a potential solution from MME and we should make sure there are not 2 solutions. HW agree and think we may need to wait for SA2.
* Thales thinks we should correct this if the issue is relatively frequent, but should wait for SA2.
* QC thinks the question is how often the UE would end up being rejected in case UE thinks it should be using WUS while NW thinks otherwise.
* Nokia thinks if we do need a solution then RRC connection release is a clean solution.
* [AT111-e][302][NBIOT/eMTC R15] WUS last used cell (Huawei)

Status:

Scope: After SA2 reply, discuss what to do in RAN2.

Intended outcome: Report in R2-2008302, and CRs (36.300, 36.304, and if needed 36.331)

Deadline: Tuesday 25 1100 UTC.

Since the online session, RAN2 received a LS from RAN3 [3] as follows:

|  |
| --- |
| RAN3 would like to thank RAN2 for the reply LS on system support for WUS. RAN3 understands that RAN2 identified a potential problem scenario where a UE could be unreachable for a period if it remains in the same cell, after a release occurs and the S1 connection was not established.  RAN3 has identified at least one case (i.e. MME overload for CP CIoT) where the associated condition requires receiving msg5, and therefore RAN3 confirms that the described problem scenario can occur. RAN3 does not expect the scenario to be frequent.  RAN3 has also identified several possible solutions within the RAN, i.e.:   * eNB disables WUS for a period after above event (i.e. SIB WUS indicator is not broadcast) * eNB uses WUS for all WUS-supporting UEs for a period after above event * eNB adds indicator in *RRCConnectionRelease* so that UE changes behaviour   The first two options have impact on gNB behaviour and can be realized by implementation. Besides, both carry some inefficiencies, since they either use WUS unnecessarily, or remove WUS for a period and lead to SI update twice. The inefficiency depends partly on how often the above events might happen in a network, how many UEs are involved, and also for how long the eNB should maintain the modified behaviour (which is related to the maximum periodic TAU timer).  The third option would modify only the behaviour of the affected UE and is therefore likely to be more efficient. However it is up to RAN2 to evaluate this change.  In conclusion, RAN3 confirms the scenario and thinks that at least some solutions are available as described. Since the identified solutions have no stage 3 impacts on RAN3 specifications, RAN3 would like to suggest that a final decision on this matter can be made by RAN2. |

SA2 has also just agreed on a reply LS [4] as follows:

|  |
| --- |
| SA2 would like to thank RAN2 for the reply LS on system support for WUS (S2-2005090/R2-2005985). SA2 acknowledges the RAN2 identified potential problem scenario where a UE could be unreachable for a period if it remains in the same cell, after a release occurs and the S1 connection was not established.  SA2 discussed the scenario described by RAN2 and as the core network is never contacted the core network cannot be aware of the scenario.  SA2 has discussed the option of the MME being configured with paging strategies to allow the first page in the last known ECGI or TA to be provided with the last known ECGI, and paging retransmissions to not be provided with the last known ECGI for subsequent paging and WUS being broadcast when the last known ECGI is not provided. This option may resolve the UE unreachable issue mentioned in RAN2 liaison but will have impacts on paging strategies a network can use and/or undesirable impact on the population of WUS capable UEs in the TA.  As a result SA2 asks RAN2 and RAN3 to address the scenario without impact to the core network. If any alignment is needed in SA2, then please let SA2 know, so SA2 can take any feedback into account. |

Based on RAN3 and SA2 replies, the solution is up to RAN2.

## 2.2 Which solution to select in RAN2

Three potential solutions has been proposed in RAN2 and in the RAN3 reply LS:

1. eNB disables WUS for a period after above event (i.e. SIB WUS indicator is not broadcast)
2. eNB uses WUS for all WUS-supporting UEs for a period after above event
3. eNB adds indicator in *RRCConnectionRelease* so that UE changes behaviour

Companies are invited to provide comments on the three solutions, including what is the expected impact (if any) on the RAN2 specification(s), and indicate their preference.

**Company views**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Preference (a, b or c)** | **Comments** |
| Qualcomm | B | Solution A is strongly not preferred as it will cause all UEs in the cell to re-read SIBs.  Solution B is preferred as it avoids ASN.1 change (especially to R15). We think the issue is quite rare and could be handled by network implementation.  If there is strong support then Solution C is acceptable too but the UE action upon receiving the new indicator needs to be discussed and not have impact on functionality other than (G)WUS. |
| Ericsson | B | Agree with Qualcomm regarding the comments on solutions A and B. If there is really a need/strong support for C, we think there is an alternative with no ASN.1 impact. For example, when the UE is released to idle with (*extended)WaitTime* configured in the *RRCConnectionRelease* message, one can specify that UE does not consider that cell as the last cell where RRC connection was established successfully since it would implicitly indicate that there was a problem with S1 connection even though RRC connection was established successfully. |
|  |  |  |

Conclusion:

**Other comments.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Conclusion:

## 2.3 RAN2 CRs

It is proposed to discuss the CRs in a second phase after RAN2 has agreed the solution to go for.

The RAN2 CRs postponed at last meeting are available in [6], [7], [8] and [9].

Note that SA2 has also agreed CRs introducing WUS support for 5GC [10][11] and CRs updating WUS in EPC according to RAN3 feedback. SA2 is sending a LS to inform RAN2 and RAN3 [5].
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