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# 1 Introduction

This document is to kick off the following email discussion:

* [AT111-e][005][NR15] Misc Configuration (ZTE)

 Scope: Treat [R2-2008091](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_111-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2008091.zip), [R2-2008092](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_111-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2008092.zip), [R2-2007264](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_111-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2007264.zip), [R2-2007265](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_111-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2007265.zip), [R2-2006889](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_111-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2006889.zip), [R2-2006890](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_111-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2006890.zip), [R2-2007121](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_111-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2007121.zip), [R2-2007122](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_111-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2007122.zip), [R2-2008086](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_111-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2008086.zip), [R2-2008087](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_111-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2008087.zip) (proponents to drive)

 Part 1: Decision whether to make corrections, identify agreeable parts. Identify Controversial issues for on-line treatment (if any).

 Deadline: Aug 20, 0900 UTC.

 Part 2: For agreeable parts, continuation to agree CRs.

 Deadline: Aug 26, 0900 UTC.

# 2 Discussion

Companies are requested to add their comments for each of the treated CRs of this email discussion in the boxes below (one for each CR to be treated).

## 2.1 Clarification on re-establishment procedure

[R2-2008091](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_111-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2008091.zip) Clarification on re-establishment procedure (R15) ZTE corporation, Sanechips CR Rel-15 38.331 15.10.0 1987 - F NR\_newRAT-Core Late

[R2-2008092](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_111-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2008092.zip) Clarification on re-establishment procedure (R16) ZTE corporation, Sanechips CR Rel-16 38.331 16.1.0 1988 - A NR\_newRAT-Core Late

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree?(Yes or No) | Comments |
| Qcom | No | It’s network responsibility (i.e. target cell in this case) to ensure all proper fields are included and configured accordingly during re-establishment. In addition, the proposed change is an NBC.  |
| Ericsson (Antonino Orsino) | No | First, our understanding is that this change prevent the use of delta configuration in the future. Of course, we could still do delta on the measConfig and otherConfig, but maybe the benefits are not so relevant in this case.Second, we think the reason for change mentioned in the CR is not enterely true. Our understanding is that the UE, before the re-establishment procedure, shall:1> apply the default L1 parameter values as specified in corresponding physical layer specifications except for the parameters for which values are provided in *SIB1*;1> apply the default MAC Cell Group configuration as specified in 9.2.2;1> apply the CCCH configuration as specified in 9.1.1.2;Further, when UE transmits the re-establishment request message, the UE shall:> apply the specified configuration defined in 9.2.1 for SRB1;This means that the UE implicitly releases the MAC-CellGroupConfig, physicalCellGroupConfig and source cell SRB1 config. The reason for it is to bring UE to a known state for the target. Therefore, the changes proposed in the CR are not needed.Third, we agree with Qualcomm that the proposed change is NBC and we should avoid such changes in this late stage of Rel-15. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 2.2 Incorrect creation of SCG MAC entity

[R2-2007264](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_111-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2007264.zip) Incorrect creation of SCG MAC entity Ericsson CR Rel-15 38.331 15.10.0 1814 - F NR\_newRAT-Core

[R2-2007265](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_111-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2007265.zip) Incorrect creation of SCG MAC entity Ericsson CR Rel-16 38.331 16.1.0 1815 - A NR\_newRAT-Core

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree?(Yes or No) | Comments |
| Qcom | Yes/No | It seems a redundant info as it’s already mentioned during “SCG establishment”, but we’re fine if majority agrees  |
| Ericsson (Antonino Orsino) | Yes | We are the proponent company. As explained also in the CR coverpage, the issue is that the UE should create an SCG MAC entity also when the configuration received is for the MCG (in case of DC) or for NR SA. We believe that this is not the correct behaviour and it should be quite straigforward to correct it. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 2.3 Correction on condition of SyncAndCellAdd

[R2-2006889](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_111-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2006889.zip) CR on condition of SyncAndCellAdd ZTE Corporation, Sanechips CR Rel-15 38.331 15.10.0 1748 - F NR\_newRAT-Core

[R2-2006890](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_111-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2006890.zip) CR on condition of SyncAndCellAdd ZTE Corporation, Sanechips CR Rel-16 38.331 16.1.0 1749 - A NR\_newRAT-Core

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree?(Yes or No) | Comments |
| Qcom | Yes but | we agree with the intention, but we don't agree with the wording as it adds more confusion. Suggested wording: For SpCell, the field is optionally present, Need N, upon reconfiguration without reconfigurationWithSync, and upon reconfiguration with reconfigurationWithSync to the same SpCell.~~other than PCell change and PSCell addition/change.~~ |
| Ericsson (Antonino Orsino) | Yes with comment | We agree with the intention and we actually think that ZTE wording is a bit more clear than what proposed by Qualcomm. However, we are also open to other wording suggestion. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 2.4 Clarify UE dedicated configuration of rlf-TimersAndConstants

[R2-2007121](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_111-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2007121.zip) Clarification on the UE dedicated configuration of rlf-TimersAndConstants Apple CR Rel-15 38.331 15.10.0 1788 - F NR\_newRAT-Core

[R2-2007122](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_111-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2007122.zip) Clarification on the UE dedicated configuration of rlf-TimersAndConstants Apple CR Rel-16 38.331 16.1.0 1789 - A NR\_newRAT-Core

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree?(Yes or No) | Comments |
| Qcom | No | per the spec, the PCell SIB1 has always has to includes these timers and constants, so UE applies these paramters first (as per SIB1), and then whatever is provided by the dedicatedSIB1-delivery, it will overwrite what was provided via SIB1.From SIB1 fields descriptions:***ue-TimersAndConstants*** *Timer and constant values to be used by the UE. The cell operating as PCell always provides this field.* |
| Ericsson (Antonino Orsino) | No | We agree with Qualcomm that the use cases pointed out by Apple will never happen. Therefore, there is no issue to correct.  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 2.5 Clarify SRB for fullConfig during RRC Resume

[R2-2008086](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_111-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2008086.zip) Clarification on the SRB configuration for fullConfig during RRC Resume procedure (R15) ZTE corporation, Sanechips CR Rel-15 38.331 15.10.0 1985 - F NR\_newRAT-Core

[R2-2008087](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_111-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2008087.zip) Clarification on the SRB configuration for fullConfig during RRC Resume procedure (R16) ZTE corporation, Sanechips CR Rel-16 38.331 16.1.0 1986 - F NR\_newRAT-Core

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree?(Yes or No) | Comments |
| Qcom | Yes | We’re fine with this change |
| Ericsson (Antonino Orsino) | Maybe | We do not see this as a critical change but we are okay to go with majority view. Maybe good to include it in the Rapporteur’s CR (if we go for it). |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# Conclusion

In the previous sections we made the following observations:

Based on the discussion in the previous sections we propose the following:
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