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# Introduction

In RAN2#110-e, the following tdocs have been submitted under the agenda 6.8.2.1.

1. R2-2004517 Missing SIB for positioning Nokia (Rapporteur)
2. R2-2004638 Text Proposal for on demand system information procedure Ericsson
3. R2-2005094 Corrections to stage-2 spec Huawei, HiSilicon
4. R2-2005210 Corrections to NR Positioning Qualcomm Incorporated
5. R2-2005700 SUL support for Rel-16 positioning purpose Samsung R&D Institute UK

In R2-2005103, we summarize the issues contained in the tdocs submitted under the stage2 discussion on the following aspects. Note that the changes are discussed based on the baseline CR provided in [4]:

* posSIB description in 38.300
* Description for on-demand posSIB request in 38.305
* Change to the description in RRC/MAC protocol
* Change to the general description for NRPPa procedure
* chagne to NR E-CID, DL-AOD
* Change to Location measurement indication
* Discussion on positioning SI request and SUL

During online discussion in R2#110-e, R2-2005103 was discussed and the following agreements are made, along with a way forward with an email discussion for resolving the remaining issues.

Agreements:

- Change the “UL positioning measurement” to “NG-RAN measurements for NR positioning” in the description for RRC and MAC protocols.

- Remove “Quality of each measurement” that may be transferred from UE to the LMF for NR DL AoD positioning in clause 8.11.2.2

* [AT110-e][610][POS] Stage 2 proposals (Huawei)

 Scope: Conclude on proposals related to the update of 38.305:

* Updates for request of posSI in different RRC states
* Determine where the ARFCN needs to be added to the information transferred between UE and LMF
* Whether to include the “same Rx beam indication” in the information transferred from UE to LMF for DL-AoD

 Intended outcome: Agreeable TP to be merged into the stage 2 running CR, in R2-2005889

 Deadline: Wednesday 2020-06-10 1000 UTC

In this discussion, we progress based on the agreements online

# Discussions

## Description for on-demand posSIB request in 38.305

In [2], several changes to the positioning stage2 spec has been proposed.

* Explain in 7.5.1 that UE can request SI in different RRC states
* A new chapter capturing the procedure for on-demand SI request in IDLE/INACTIVE and CONNECTED

In [2], the following change to the general description is proposed

|  |
| --- |
| Positioning assistance data can be included in positioning System Information Blocks (posSIBs) as described in TS 36.331 [13], TS 38.331 [14] and TS 36.355 [19]. The posSIBs are carried in RRC System Information (SI) messages. UE may also request posSIBs by means of on demand SI request in RRC Idle/Inactivate states and using on demand connected mode procedure while in connected mode as described in TS 38.331 [14]. The mapping of posSIBs (assistance data) to SI messages is flexibly configurable and provided to the UE in SIB1 for NG-RAN node TS 36.331 [13], TS 38.331 [14]. |

Q1: Do companies think the above change is reasonable? If the answer is yes, is there any issue with the above text?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Opinion | Comments |
| vivo | yes |  |
| Qualcomm | Yes, in principle | We should probably distinguish posSIBs and posSI. E.g.,UE may also request posSI by means of on demand SI request in RRC Idle/Inactivate states and posSIBs using on demand connected mode procedure while in connected mode as described in TS 38.331 [14]. |

Furthermore, a new Clause is added in the section for procedure for broadcast of assistance data

|  |
| --- |
| 7.5.X On demand System Information Procedures UE in RRC Idle or RRC Inactive state may request positioning SI message(s) by sending msg1 (specific preambles) configured by NW for SI request or using msg3 *RRCSystemInfoRequest* asdescribed in TS 38.331 [14]*.*UE in RRC connected mode may request posSIB(s) by sending an UL message (*DedicatedSIBRequest*) as described in TS 38.331 [14]*.* The NG-RAN Node provides the posSIB(s) using *RRCReconfiguration* message as described in TS 38.331 [14].Figure 7.5.2-2: Procedures to support On demand delivery of assistance data.The steps are same as described in section 7.5.2. The only change is for step 2 or subsequent step 6 as below.2a. The NG-RAN node includes the received System Information groups in RRC System Information Messages and corresponding scheduling information in SIB1 as described in TS 38.331 [14]. The UE checks the *posSI-SchedulingInformation* TS 38.331 [14] for deciding whether on demand request is to be made.2b. The UE requests either positioning SI(s) in RRC Idle/Inactive state or posSIB(s) while in RRC Connected state.2c. The NG-RAN Node provides the positioning SI via broadcast for the request made in RRC Idle/Inactive state. The NG-RAN provides the requested posSIB(s) either via broadcast or unicast for the requet made in RRC Connected state.6. The NG-RAN node replaces the previously stored System Information groups with the new information received at Step 5 and includes the new System Information groups in RRC System Information Messages to be provided by means of broadcast or on demand system information procedure. |

Q2: Do companies think it is necessary to add a new Clause for on-demand SI procedure? If yes, is there any issue with the existing text?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Opinion | Comments |
| vivo | No | I think it is discussed in the meeting, only precise description is needed without a new clause in the spec. |
| Qualcomm | No | I think the addition under Q1 is sufficient. |

## NR E-CID

For measurement reporting for E-CID, frequency information also needs to be sent from UE to LMF. This is reflected in the current LPP. Within the current TRP-ID, the ARFCN needs to be included.

NR-ECID-SignalMeasurementInformation-r16 ::= SEQUENCE {

 nr-PrimaryCellMeasuredResults-r16 NR-MeasuredResultsElement-r16,

 nr-MeasuredResultsList-r16 NR-MeasuredResultsList-r16 OPTIONAL,

 ...

}

NR-MeasuredResultsList-r16 ::= SEQUENCE (SIZE(1..32)) OF MeasuredResultsElement-r16

NR-MeasuredResultsElement-r16 ::= SEQUENCE {

 systemFrameNumber BIT STRING (SIZE (10)),

 trp-ID-r16 TRP-ID-r16 OPTIONAL,

 measResultNR-r16 SEQUENCE {

 cellResults-r16 SEQUENCE{

 resultsSSB-Cell-r16 MeasQuantityResults-r16 OPTIONAL,

 resultsCSI-RS-Cell-r16 MeasQuantityResults-r16 OPTIONAL

 },

 rsIndexResults-r16 SEQUENCE{

 resultsSSB-Indexes-r16 ResultsPerSSB-IndexList-r16 OPTIONAL,

 resultsCSI-RS-Indexes-r16 ResultsPerCSI-RS-IndexList-r16 OPTIONAL

 } OPTIONAL

 },

 ...

}

Based on the above, [3] has proposed the following:

***Add ARFCN to the information that may be transferred from UE to the LMF for NR E-CID positioning in Table 8.9.2.2-1***

The reason is that the UE needs to let the LMF know based on which CSI-RS or SSB the measurement is performed.

Q3: Do companies think it is necessary to add *ARFCN to the information that may be transferred from UE to the LMF for NR E-CID positioning in Table 8.9.2.2-1?*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Opinion | Comments |
| vivo | Yes | ARFCN has already captured in the current LPP, it is reasonable to add it in stage 2 as well. |
| Qualcomm |  | No strong opinion. Typically, our Stage 2 “Information Tables” have not that level of granularity. E.g., also for LTE E-CID this information is transferred but not listed in the Tables. But if added, we need to be consistent and add it to other applicable Tables as well. |

While during the online discussion, companies have wondered whether the ARFCN needs to be transferred from the UE to LMF for all the positioning methods.

Q4: Do companies think it is necessary to add *ARFCN to the information that may be transferred from UE to the LMF for positioning methods other than NR E-CID?*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Opinion | Comments |
| vivo | No | Only E-CID is preferred. |
| Qualcomm |  | As mentioned above, if we add it to E-CID, we should add it to all applicable Tables. At the moment, we list only the PCI. It could be changed to PCI/ARFCN or {PCI,ARFCN} set. |

## DL-AOD

Furthermore, for DL-AoD, there is no quality reporting for DL PRS-RSRP. Therefore, we suggest to remove quality from Table 8.11.2.2-1. This has been agreed during online discussion.

Another issue is on the same Rx beam indication for DL-AoD. we have a specific indication on whether the PRS-RSRPs are measured under the same Rx beam, which should be added.

We also notice that RAN1 has made the following agreement

|  |
| --- |
| Agreement:* When the UE reports DL PRS-RSRP measurement on DL PRS resources from one DL PRS resource set, the UE may report the *nr-DL-PRS-RxBeamIndex* to associate with each of the RSRP measurement in the report if for each *nr-DL-PRS-RxBeamIndex* reported there are at least 2 RSRP measurements associated with it within the DL PRS resource set.
* The DL PRS-RSRP measurements for a TRP reported with the same *nr-DL-PRS-RxBeamIndex* have been received using the same Rx beam.
* Note: In the current LPP spec, *nr-DL-PRS-RxbeamIndex* is only reported for DL-AoD measurement.
 |

Q5: Do companies agree to add “Same Rx beam indication” that may be transferred from UE to the LMF for NR DL AoD positioning in clause 8.11.2.2?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Opinion | Comments |
| vivo | No | nr-DL-PRS-RxBeamIndex-r16 is able to indicate same Rx beam indication in NR-DL-AoD-MeasElement-r16 |
| Qualcomm | Yes | In my understanding, this is an essential parameter for DL-AoD positioning and should be captured in the Stage 2 Table as well. |

# Conclusion

In this contribution, we progress based on the result of the online discussion during R2#110-e and propose the following:

# Text Proposal