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# Introduction

This to handle offline discussion #204 clarifying what replies RAN2 should send to SA4 to the incoming LSes R2-2004381 and R2-2004382 [1] and [2].

# Discussion

## LS replies

It is the rapporteur’s understanding that R2-2004381 is also covered by R2-2004382, so RAN2 only needs to reply to R2-2004382 which contains the complete scope. The purpose of R2-2004381 is to highlight that the former “streaming indication” should be called “recording session indication” instead. The action to RAN2 is to implement the recording session indication, but that action is included in R2-2004382 also.

Q1: Does RAN2 agree that a reply only needs to be sent to R2-2004382?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | No strong opinion. Maybe another option is that we could reply to R2-2004382 and additionally mention that the RAN2 reply has covered the R2-2004381. |
|  |  |
|  |  |

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

The second question is related to RAN2 actions and what to reply to SA5. Two input contributions have been provided in [R2-2004623](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_110-e/Docs/R2-2004623.zip) and [R2-2005385](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_110-e/Docs/R2-2005385.zip). R2-2004623 proposes that RAN2 should implement what SA5 is asking for and R2-2005385 says that there is no time to implement it in rel-16. It can be noted that it is the third time that SA5 is asking RAN2 to implement the functionality and that a CR has been provided in [R2-2004624](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_110-e/Docs/R2-2004624.zip).

Q2: Does RAN2 agree to implement the functionality requested by SA5? If the answer is No, please indicate the reason and an explanation that can be given to SA5 in a reply LS.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | In our contribution R2-2005385, we provided our analysis and suggestions. For the Q2 “Does RAN2 agree to implement the functionality requested by SA5?”, our suggestion is that there is no time to implement it in rel-16 from RAN2 point of view. If there is also extra work for RAN3, we think there is the same situation (i.e. no time to implement it in rel-16). It is noted that LTE Rel-15 QMC topic included both RAN2 and RAN3 parts.In addtion, regarding how to progress on it in the future, it is hard to judge for the moment because it may depend on technical aspects, 3GPP procedures and so on. |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# Summary and proposal

TBD
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