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# 1. Introduction

The document is to report the summary of the following email discussion:

|  |
| --- |
| * [AT110e][044][IIOT] Scheduling Enhancements (vivo)

Scope: Treat R2-2004737, R2-2004677, R2-2005338. Note that the proposal in R2-2004677 was attempted last meeting, failed due to nonsufficient support. Now there seems to be additional supporter so we can check if people has changed their mind (no need to re-do a lot of the discussion) Wanted Outcome: Agreements  Deadline: June 5 0700 UTC |

This email discussion focuses on the issue on whether to support the CG periodicities of multiple of 2/7 symbols.

# 2. Issues/proposals

## 2.1 CG periodicities of multiple of 2/7 symbols

According to the current NR RRC configuration for the configuration grant, it seems that the CG periodicity can be multiple of 14 symbols. Supporting periodicity (e.g. 4) of multiple of 2/7 symbols may cause cross-slot boundary PUSCH. According to the discussion [5] in the RAN2#109bis-e meeting, RAN2 discussed the following issue:

|  |
| --- |
| FFS whether to support allowing CG periodicities of multiple of 2/7 symbols as a separate capability with a cross-slot boundary capability as a pre-requisite. |

It seems that not many companies provided their views. 5 companies preferred to support the CG periodicities of multiple of 2/7 symbols as a separate UE capability, and 2 companies considered that this is not needed. As a consequence, RAN2 did not make any conclusion on this FFS issue.

The paper [1] co-sourced by 6 companies proposes to “support CG periodicities of multiple of 2/7 symbols as a separate UE capability”, assuming that “PUSCHs that overlap with the slot boundary are handled according to RAN1 specifications (i.e. no RAN1 impact)”. The benefits/ use cases of supporting such capability in [1] are listed as follows:

|  |
| --- |
| * Observation 1: RAN1 specifications allow a UE to transmit a PUSCH that overlaps with the slot boundary if it supports and it is configured with “PUSCH repetition Type B” as part of the CG configuration. Otherwise, it does not transmit those PUSCHs that overlap with the slot boundary.
* Observation 2: There are numerous use cases defined in TS 22.104 for Rel-16 which require periodicities of multiple of 2/7 symbols.
* Observation 3: Covering periodicities of multiple of 2 symbols via multiple CG configurations requires seven separate CG configurations, which is highly inefficient in terms of processing and configuration complexity.
* Observation 4: The collisions of SRS with CG occasions can already take place in Rel-15, e.g, for CG periodicity of 2 symbols.
 |

The papers [2][3][4][6] propose not to support CG periodicities of multiple of 2/7 symbols in Rel-16. The concerns from [2][3][4][6] are listed as follows:

|  |
| --- |
| * No need to support CG periodicities of multiple of 2/7 symbols for cross-slot boundary feature. [2]
* CG periodicities of 2/7 symbols are already supported. [2]
* The configuration of CG periodicities of multiple of 2/7 symbols can be implemented by configuring multiple CGs. [2]
* TSC traffic is symmetric-like traffic at the most case. Yet, no issue/concern is raised on support SPS periodicities of multiple of 2/7 symbols. [2]
* It might be premature to support this feature and further introduce a capability which relies on cross-slot boundary without any formal interaction with RAN1. [3]
* The slot level periodicity introduced in Rel-16 already provides significant level of flexibility, so the additional gain is not so big. [4][6]
 |

**Question 1: Do we need to support extra CG periodicities of multiple of 2/7 symbols?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Answers (Yes/No)** | **Comment** |
| vivo | Probably Yes | It seems that we do have some uses cases in TS 22.104 for Rel-16 which require periodicities of multiple of 2/7 symbols. Configuring multiple configurations of CG with 14 symbols periodicity to support a single traffic would reduce the number of periodical traffics to be supported by the UE. Given that RAN2 agreed to support the maximum 32 CG configurations per MAC entity, reducing the number of periodic traffics to be supported by the UE may not be acceptable for the UE working as a router/switch. |
|  |  |  |

As no contribution proposes to use the cross-slot boundary capability as a pre-requisite, the rapporteur considers that we can probably decide whether we need an new capability indication for supporting CG periodicities of multiple of 2/7 symbols if RAN2 agreed to support the extra CG periodicities of multiple of 2/7 symbols, and companies can provide comments on whether a pre-requisite condition is needed.

**Question 2: Does the UE independently indicate the support of CG periodicities of multiple of 2/7 symbols?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Answers (Yes/No)** | **Comment** |
| vivo | Yes | It seems that according to the RAN1 specification, the UE not supporting cross-slot boundary PUSCH does not transmit those PUSCHs that overlap with the slot boundary. We are not sure whether there is any other pre-requisites. Considering the IOT test, we consider that a new capability bit is probably needed. |
|  |  |  |
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…

# 4.Reference

[1] [R2-2004677](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_110-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2004677.zip), Periodicities of multiple of 2 or 7 symbols for CG Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell, Ericsson, NTT Docomo, CMCC, CATT, Sony, discussion

[2] [R2-2005338](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_110-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2005338.zip), Open issues on scheduling enhancement, OPPO, discussion

[3] R2-2005153, Discussion about remaining issues on scheduling enhancements, Huawei, HiSilicon, discussion

[4] R2-2005651, Remaining UE Capability Issues for IIOT, Samsung, discussion

[5] R2-2004149, CMCC, “Summary of offline discussion-026- Scheduling Enhancements”

[6] R2-2005301, Intel, “Summary of offline discussion-026- Scheduling Enhancements”