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# 1 Introduction

This is report for the following e-mail discussion.

* [AT110e][025][TEI16 Other] In-principle Agreed CRs (Mediatek)

Scope: Treat all documents under 6.19.0, and 6.20.1.0 (proponents are responsible to explain and drive)

Expected Outcome: Agree In-principle agreed CRs, Deadline: June 5, 0700 UTC.

# 2 Discussion on In-principle Agreed CRs

## 2.1 single entry PHR with P bit (OPPO)

Discussion on the following IPA CRs:

[R2-2004583](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_110-e\Docs\R2-2004583.zip) UE capability for single entry PHR with P bit OPPO, Ericsson, MediaTek Inc., Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell, vivo, ZTE, Xiaomi CR Rel-16 38.331 16.0.0 1589 1 F TEI16 R2-2004214

[R2-2004584](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_110-e\Docs\R2-2004584.zip) UE capability for single entry PHR with P bit OPPO, Ericsson, MediaTek Inc., Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell, vivo, ZTE, Xiaomi CR Rel-16 38.306 16.0.0 0296 1 F TEI16 R2-2004215

[R2-2004883](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_110-e\Docs\R2-2004883.zip) P bit for Single Entry PHR Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell, Apple, Ericsson, Lenovo, MediaTek Inc., NTT DOCOMO, INC., OPPO CR Rel-16 38.321 16.0.0 0716 1 F TEI16 R2-2003010

Companies are invited to provide comments on the IPA CR(s). Could they be agreed or there is some additional suggestion? Proponent companies please clarify whether there is change compared to the IPA CR(s) in last meeting.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Ericsson | The CRs can be agreed. |
| Nokia | No change for R2-2004883 compared to the IPA CR in last meeting apart from more co-sourcing companies added → the CR can be agreed |
| OPPO | No change. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## 2.2 BCS to asymmetric channel bandwidths (Huawei)

Discussion on the following IPA CR:

[R2-2005399](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_110-e\Docs\R2-2005399.zip) CR on introduction of BCS to asymmetric channel bandwidths (38.306) Huawei, HiSilicon, Telus CR Rel-16 38.306 16.0.0 0289 2 B NR\_n66\_BW R2-2004210

Companies are invited to provide comments on the IPA CR(s). Could they be agreed or there is some additional suggestion? Proponent companies please clarify whether there is change compared to the IPA CR(s) in last meeting.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Ericsson | The CR can be agreed. |
| Nokia | The CR can be agreed. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## 2.3 eCall (Huawei)

Discussion on the following LS and IPA CRs:

[R2-2004318](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_110-e\Docs\R2-2004318.zip) Reply LS on support for eCall over NR (S2-2003308; contact: Qualcomm) SA2 LS in Rel-16 EIEI, 5GS\_Ph1 To:SA, RAN2, CT1, CT Cc:SA1, SA4, TSG RAN, SA5, RAN5

Expect to be Noted

[R2-2005388](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_110-e\Docs\R2-2005388.zip) Introduction of eCall over IMS for NR Huawei, HiSilicon CR Rel-16 38.300 16.1.0 0239 - C TEI16

[R2-2005389](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_110-e\Docs\R2-2005389.zip) Introduction of eCall over IMS for NR Huawei, HiSilicon CR Rel-16 38.304 16.0.0 0173 - C TEI16

[R2-2005390](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_110-e\Docs\R2-2005390.zip) Introduction of eCall over IMS for NR Huawei, HiSilicon CR Rel-16 38.331 16.0.0 1670 - C TEI16

[R2-2005391](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_110-e\Docs\R2-2005391.zip) Corrections on Emergency Services Huawei, HiSilicon CR Rel-15 38.300 15.9.0 0240 - F TEI15

Companies are invited to provide comments on the IPA CR(s) and incoming LS. Could the CRs to be agreed or there is some additional suggestion? For the incoming LS, could we just note it? Proponent companies please clarify whether there is change compared to the IPA CR(s) in last meeting.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Just some comments on “For the incoming LS, could we just note it?”.  At RAN2-109b-e meeting, we also provided a draft reply LS (respond to the SA LS [R2-2002549](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2002549.zip)), and the LS was not needed based on RAN2 minutes.  [R2-2003568](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003568.zip) Draft reply LS on support for eCall over NR Huawei discussion Rel-16 TEI16  [055] noted, not needed  So we think that RAN2 could just note the LS [R2-2004318](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_110-e\Docs\R2-2004318.zip). |
| Ericsson | The CRs can be agreed. |
| Nokia | R2-2005388 should be agreed.  R2-2005389 should not be agreed, as there is no need to clarify a NAS function that has no impact to idle/inactive mobility.  R2-2005390 should be agreed.  R2-2005391 can be agreed. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Regarding Nokia’s comments on R2-2005389 (38.304 CR), we have the following responses.  The change in the 38.304 CR is following LTE TS 36.304 definition. The CR for introducing this change in TS 36.304 is listed as below:  RP-162327 0341 - Support of eCall Only Mode for Network and Cell Selection  **Reason for change:** Changes to support PLMN selection for a UE in eCall only Mode were approved by CT1 in CRs 0303 (in C1-163836) and 0305 (in C1-164264) to TS 23.122 in Rel-14. Some small corresponding changes are needed to 36.304 to align with this.    **Summary of change:** Add a definition of eCall only mode. Indicate that the NAS side supports restriction of location registration for a UE in eCall only mode.    **Consequences if not approved:** Lack of complete alignment with TS 23.122 and possible errors in supporting UEs in eCall only mode.  If 38.304 CR is excluded, the issues mentioned in RP-162327 CR0341 may happen. In addition, according to the summary of eCall (i.e. [R2-2004185](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2004185.zip) Summary of [AT109bis-e][055][TEI16] eCall over NR), 38.304 CR was supported by the following companies:   * Qualcomm * OPPO * ZTE * Huawei, HiSilicon * Samsung * Ericsson * Lenovo   So we think R2-2005389 is needed. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## 2.4 Need for Gap (MediaTek)

Discussion on the following IPA CRs:

[R2-2004806](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_110-e\Docs\R2-2004806.zip) Introduction of NeedForGap capability for NR measurement - 36.306 MediaTek Inc. CR Rel-16 36.306 16.0.0 1730 2 B NR\_newRAT-Core, TEI16 R2-2002782

[R2-2004807](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_110-e\Docs\R2-2004807.zip) Introduction of NeedForGap capability for NR measurement - 36.331 MediaTek Inc. CR Rel-16 36.331 16.0.0 4197 4 B NR\_newRAT-Core, TEI16 R2-2002781

[R2-2004808](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_110-e\Docs\R2-2004808.zip) Introduction of NeedForGap capability for NR measurement - 38.300 MediaTek Inc. CR Rel-16 38.300 16.1.0 0191 3 B NR\_newRAT-Core, TEI16 R2-2004160

[R2-2004810](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_110-e\Docs\R2-2004810.zip) Introduction of NeedForGap capability for NR measurement - 38.306 MediaTek Inc. CR Rel-16 38.306 16.0.0 0238 2 B NR\_newRAT-Core, TEI16 R2-2002785

[R2-2004811](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_110-e\Docs\R2-2004811.zip) Introduction of NeedForGap capability for NR measurement - 38.331 MediaTek Inc. CR Rel-16 38.331 16.0.0 1453 4 B NR\_newRAT-Core, TEI16 R2-2004161 Revised

R2-2005693 Introduction of NeedForGap capability for NR measurement - 38.331 MediaTek Inc. CR Rel-16 38.331 16.0.0 1453 5 B NR\_newRAT-Core, TEI16 [R2-2004811](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_110-e\Docs\R2-2004811.zip) Late

Companies are invited to provide comments on the IPA CR(s). Could they be agreed or there is some additional suggestion? Proponent companies please clarify whether there is change compared to the IPA CR(s) in last meeting.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| MediaTek | The current submitted CRs are the same as the AIP CRs in last meeting. However, we have noticed that there is an ASN.1 RIL issue E209 that proposes some related change on 38.331. Therefore, I have reserved one more revision for the 38.331 CR. I intend to follow the proposal from E209. In addition, there is one discussion paper (R2-2004393) that is going to be treated in offline#036. The P1 in R2-2004393, if agreed, requires more change on inter-node message part of 38.331.  In summary, there may be 3 additional change in 38.331 CR  <1> As suggested by the E209, rewording the 3 if statement in 5.3.5.3  <2> As suggested by rapporteur in E209, move the *needForGapsConfigNR* from *OtherConfig* to *RRCReconfiguration-v16xy-IEs*. The reason is that the feature that is configured via *OtherConfig* usually does not reporting is in *RRCReconfigurationComplete.* For consistent, it is suggested to move it to the configuration to message level.  <3> Depending on the discussion in offline#026, add new inter-node signaling. |
| Nokia | The CRs can be agreed while the open updates about INM to 38.331 CR can be decided by offline#36.  For 38.331 CR, we want to confirm the understanding of UE behaviour if NW request UE report NeedForGap capability via *RRCReconfiguration* message which includes the *needForGapsConfigNR.* According to procedure below, we think UE should always report the capability if NW request it, even if there is no capability change compared to last reported *NeedForGap* capability.   |  | | --- | | R2-2004811:  2> if the *RRCReconfiguration* message was received via SRB1:  3> if the UE is configured to provide the measurement gap requirement information of NR target bands:  4> if the *RRCReconfiguration* message includes the *needForGapsConfigNR*; or  4> if the the *NeedForGapsInfoNR* information is changed compared to last time the UE reports this information:  5> include the *NeedForGapsInfoNR* and set the contents as follows:  6> include *intraFreq-needForGap* and set the gap requirement informantion of intra-frequency measurement for each NR serving cell;  6> for each supported NR band that is also included in *requestTargetBandFilterNR* (if configured), include an entry in *interFreq-needForGap* and set the gap requirement information for that band; | |
| OPPO | The TEI 16 corrections for Need for Gap will be included in this CR if agreed. Right? |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## 2.5 Upper Layer Indication (Huawei)

Discussion on the following IPA CR:

[R2-2005308](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_110-e\Docs\R2-2005308.zip) upperLayerIndication enhancements Huawei, HiSilicon, BT, Samsung CR Rel-16 36.331 16.0.0 4266 2 C NR\_newRAT-Core, TEI16 R2-2004264

Companies are invited to provide comments on the IPA CR(s). Could they be agreed or there is some additional suggestion? Proponent companies please clarify whether there is change compared to the IPA CR(s) in last meeting.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# 3 Conclusions

Base on the discussion in section 2, we have the following proposals:

**Proposal 1:**