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# Introduction

This document summarizes the following email discussion.

* [AT110e][017A][NR15] UE cap Number of bearers (Qualcomm)

Scope: Treat [R2-2004441](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_110-e/Docs/R2-2004441.zip), [R2-2005358](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_110-e/Docs/R2-2005358.zip), [R2-2005359](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_110-e/Docs/R2-2005359.zip), [R2-2004432](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_110-e/Docs/R2-2004432.zip), [R2-2004433](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_110-e/Docs/R2-2004433.zip), [R2-2005004](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_110-e/Docs/R2-2005004.zip), [R2-2005005](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_110-e/Docs/R2-2005005.zip), [R2-2005007](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_110-e/Docs/R2-2005007.zip) (proponents are responsible to explain and drive)

Part 1: Decision whether to make corrections or not, identify agreeable corrections. Deadline: June 4, 0700 UTC.

Part 2: For agreeable parts, continuation to agree CRs. Deadline: June 10, 0700 UTC

# Discussion: Part 1 (by June 4, 0700 UTC)

The following topics are discussed in this email discussion.

* Clarifying a note in section 8 of TS38.306
* Clarifying the number of RLC bearers a UE shall support.
* Need of inter-node coordination when the minimum UE requirement for the number of RLC bearers is clarified.

## Note for #DRBs in section 8 of TS38.306

Contributions (e.g. [R2-2004432](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_110-e/Docs/R2-2004432.zip)) proposes to clarify the following note.

| Parameter | Description | Value |
| --- | --- | --- |
| #DRBs | The number of DRBs that a UE shall support. | 16 per UE.NOTE: 8 per MAC entity with duplication. |

It is rapporteur’s understanding that there is good consensus from RAN2#109bis-e meeting that the note was intended to indicate the limitation that the Duplication Activation/Deactivation MAC-CE has a single octet field to point to duplication DRBs associated with a MAC entity.

Companies are requested to comment if they agree the note should be clarified or not.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company name** | **Agree / Disagree** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Number of RLC bearers

The need of clarifying the minimum UE requirement for the number of RLC bearers was discussed in RAN2#109bis-e meeting. There are two proposals submitted to this RAN2#101-e meeting.

**Option 1** ([R2-2004432](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_110-e/Docs/R2-2004432.zip), [R2-2004433](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_110-e/Docs/R2-2004433.zip); Qualcomm et al.):

* NR SA, NR-DC and NE-DC
	+ 16 per UE
* (NG)EN-DC and EUTRA standalone
	+ 15 per UE if the UE supports extendedNumberOfDRBs-r15.
	+ 8 per UE if the UE does not support extendedNumberOfDRBs-r15.

**Option 2** ([R2-2005005](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_110-e/Docs/R2-2005005.zip), [R2-2005007](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_110-e/Docs/R2-2005007.zip); Huawei et al.):

* For NR
	+ 16 per UE, if the UE does not support duplication (i.e. pdcp-DuplicationMCG-OrSCG-DRB or pdcp-DuplicationSplitDRB) or split bearers (i.e. splitDRB-withUL-Both-MCG-SCG);
	+ 16 per cell group, if the UE supports split bearers (i.e. splitDRB-withUL-Both-MCG-SCG or pdcp-DuplicationSplitDRB), but does not support CA duplication (i.e. pdcp-DuplicationMCG-OrSCG-DRB);
	+ 24 per cell group, if the UE supports CA duplication (i.e. pdcp-DuplicationMCG-OrSCG-DRB).
* For EUTRA:
	+ 8 per UE, if the UE does not support extendedNumberOfDRBs-r15, pdcp-Duplication-r15 or split bearer;
	+ 8 per cell group, if the UE:
		- supports pdcp-Duplication-r15, but does not support extendedLCID-Duplication-r15, or
		- supports split bearer, but does not support extendedNumberOfDRBs-r15;
	+ 15 per UE, if the UE supports split bearer, but does not support extendedNumberOfDRBs-r15.
	+ 15 per cell group, if the UE:
		- supports pdcp-Duplication-r15 and extendedLCID-Duplication-r15, or
		- supports split bearer and extendedNumberOfDRBs-r15.

The existence of the two proposals sufficiently motivates the need of clarification in the standard.

Companies are requested to comment if they support any of the options above.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company name** | **Option 1 / Option 2** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Need of inter-node coordination

It was commented in RAN2#109bis-e meeting that some form of inter-node coordination between MN and SN may be necessary regarding the number of configured RLC bearers, when the minimum UE requirement, hence the limitation in the number of RLC bearers the UE supports, is clarified.

[R2-2004441](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_110-e/Docs/R2-2004441.zip) points out that the current CG-ConfigInfo already includes mcg-RB-Config, which contains RadioBearerConfig of MN. In the RadioBearerConfig of MN, PDCP-config for each DRB indicates whether the DRB is configured with more than one RLC entities or not. This way, SN can learn how many RLC bearers are consumed by MN-terminated DRBs.

Companies are requested to comment if they agree to the observation above.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company name** | **Agree / Disagree** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# Discussion: Part 2 (by June 10, 0700 UTC)

Xxxxxxxxxx

# Conclusion

xxxxxxxxxx
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